

Client and Family Leadership Committee Meeting Summary Date: Thursday, March 27, 2019 | Time: 1:00pm-4:00pm

MHSOAC Office 1325 J Street, Suite 1700, Sacramento, CA 95814 Darrell Steinberg Conference Room

DRAFT

Committee Members:	Staff:	Other Attendees:
Commissioner Reneeta Anthony	Angela Brand	Stacie Hiramoto
Andrea Crook	Tom Orrock	
Lisa Dryan-Zagala	Lester Robancho	
Crystal Harper	Filomena Yeroshek	
Jean Marie Harris*		
Richard Krzyzanowski		
Pete LaFollette		
Sally Mandujan		
Geoff McLennon		
Darlene Prettyman*		
Elizabeth Stone		
Ruth Tiscareno*		
Sharon Yates*		

^{*}Participation by phone

Committee members absent: Commissioner Mayra Alvarez, Christopher Barton, Eve Eichwald, Hector Ramirez

Welcome/Introductions

Commissioner Anthony, Committee Chair, called the meeting to order and welcomed everyone. Introductions were provided by all present in the room as well as on the phone.

Agenda Item 1: Review of the Bagley-Keane Open Meeting Act

Filomena Yeroshek, MHSOAC Chief Counsel, provided a background of the Bagley-Keene Act and discussed how the Bagley-Keene Act relates to the work of the CFLC

Agenda Item 2: Review of Travel Procedure

Angela Brand, MHSOAC Staff, outlines the procedure for travel and travel reimbursement.

Agenda Item 3: Update on Assembly Bill 46 (Carrillo)

Committee members heard an update on the status of Assembly Bill 46 authored by Assemblymember Wendy Carrillo. The bill seeks to clean up and modernize the language in California statutes to lessen the stigmatizing language towards those with mental health disorders. The Commission previously voted to sponsor the bill.

At the time of the meeting, AB 46 was to be reviewed by the California State Assembly Committee on Judiciary.

Committee members agreed that making an official stance is a great step forward.

Agenda Item 4: Committee Goals, Expectations, and Vision for 2019-2020

Committee members were asked to identify one or two key items to focus and work on. Commissioner Anthony emphasized addressing the challenges regarding the Community Program Planning (CPP) process. Currently, counties and state agencies don't have the capacity or time that is needed to perform outreach to gather public input needed by consumers and family members in developing community mental health plans.

Committee discussion points on CPP included:

- Participatory action research; the people who will be using the services should be involved in the discussions on improving CPP
- Parents and youth should be acknowledged and accounted for in future discussions as well. Childcare and being a working parent can both act as barriers
- A deeper dive into the CPP process happening at the county level, including the evaluation of past county stakeholder projects, organization of county bodies, boards and meetings being held, and county culture
- Senate Bill 10 (Beall) which focuses on peer support specialist certification, is a bill that can be focused on
- The question of how to incorporate a structured, top-down planning structure
- Community forums are venues for the public to have a seat at the table
- The Committee should explore what is required of counties as per California code

Adjourn

Meeting adjourned at 4:00pm