

# Well and Thriving

Prevention and Early Intervention in California



**SECOND DRAFT Released for Public Comment on September 23, 2022**

## About the Commission

---

The Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission, an independent State agency, was created in 2004 by voter-approved Proposition 63, the Mental Health Services Act. Californians created the Commission to provide oversight, accountability, and leadership to guide the transformation of California's mental health system. The 16-member Commission is composed of one Senator, one Assembly member, the State Attorney General, the State Superintendent of Public Instruction, and 12 public members appointed by the Governor. By law, the Governor's appointees represent different sectors of society, including individuals with mental health needs, family members of people with mental health needs, law enforcement, education, labor, business, and mental health professionals.

### Commissioners

**MARA MADRIGAL-WEISS**, Chair; *Executive Director, Student Wellness and School Culture, Student Services and Programs Division, San Diego County Office of Education*

**MAYRA E. ALVAREZ**; Vice Chair; *President, The Children's Partnership*

**MARK BONTRAGER**; *Director of Regulatory Affairs, Partnership HealthPlan of California*

**JOHN BOYD, Psy.D.**; *Hospital Division Chief Executive Officer, Rogers Behavioral Health*

**BILL BROWN**; *Sheriff, County of Santa Barbara*

**KEYONDRIA BUNCH, Ph.D.**; *Supervising Psychologist, Los Angeles County Department of Mental Health*

**STEVE CARNEVALE**; *Executive Chairman, Sawgrass*

**WENDY CARRILLO**; *California State Assemblymember, District 51*

**RAYSHELL CHAMBERS**; *Co-Executive Director and Chief Operations Officer, Painted Brain*

**SHUO (SHUONAN) CHEN**; *General Partner, IOVC*

**DAVE CORTESE**; *California State Senate, District 15*

**ITAI DANOVITCH, M.D.**; *Chair, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Neurosciences, Cedars-Sinai Medical Center*

**DAVID GORDON**; *Superintendent, Sacramento County Office of Education*

**GLADYS MITCHELL**; *Former Staff Services Manager, California Department of Health Care Services and California Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs*

**ALFRED ROWLETT**; *Chief Executive Officer, Turning Point Community Programs*

**KHATERA TAMPLEN**; *Consumer Empowerment Manager, Alameda County Behavioral Health Care Services*

### Executive Director

**TOBY EWING, Ph.D.**

## Acknowledgement

---

The Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission would like to express its thanks to the peers, advocates, community members, family members, administrators, providers, researchers, and policymakers who contributed to the development of this report. We greatly appreciate the time, commitment, and energy devoted to exploring the challenges and solutions to improving our mental health system.

The report underscores the imperative for a strategic statewide approach to prevention and early intervention, in addition to high quality mental healthcare. The state's population is exceptionally diverse, yet a fundamental need for human connection, information, and resources to promote and protect wellbeing is a shared need. This work recognizes that all people, with or without a mental health challenge, can thrive when given appropriate and early support. This report is an invitation for a broad audience, especially those outside the mental health system, to learn about and act on opportunities that promote and protect the wellbeing of people, families, and communities while recognizing how all are interconnected.

## Prevention and Early Intervention Subcommittee

---

MARA MADRIGAL-WEISS, Commission and Subcommittee Chair; Executive Director, Student Wellness and School Culture, Student Services and Programs Division, San Diego County Office of Education

MAYRA E. ALVAREZ; Commission and Subcommittee Vice Chair; President, The Children's Partnership

### **Commission Staff to the Subcommittee**

KALI PATTERSON, M.A.; Research Scientist

ASHLEY MILLS, M.S.; Research Supervisor

### **Special thank you to Commission staff for their contributions to this effort**

KARA CHUNG, M.S.; Health Program Specialist

MARCUS GALESTE, Ph.D.; Senior Researcher

ANNA NAIFY, Psy.D.; Consulting Psychologist

SHARMIL SHAH, Psy.D.; Chief of Program Operations

## Executive Summary

---

The year 2020 marked a time of profound devastation and reckoning in California and around the world. The global COVID-19 pandemic threatened the health and mental health of billions worldwide, damaged the economy, and forced many to shelter in isolation. However, even as the pandemic exposed gaps and inequities in our health care system and public health infrastructure, it created opportunities to reconsider how California can best support and protect the health and wellbeing of its people.

With these great challenges come great opportunities to reorient systems and approaches toward prevention and early intervention in mental health. Now is the time to rebuild and reimagine an equitable path forward so that all Californians have an opportunity to be well and thrive. Such a path would minimize factors that increase or worsen mental health challenges and promote factors that strengthen mental wellbeing, including self-esteem, community connectedness, and nurturing relationships. At the same time, interventions that address mental health challenges early – including screening, triage, and connection to care – can help minimize harm to individuals, families, and communities.

California's Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission (the Commission) in 2019 embarked upon an effort to advance statewide prevention and early intervention in mental health. This effort was launched by Senate Bill 1004 (Weiner, 2018) and guided by the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) and its Prevention and Early Intervention (PEI) component. Accounting for only a fraction of California's \$8–10 billion public mental health budget, PEI represents a rare instance in mental health policy where funds are set aside specifically for preventive strategies. The nearly \$520 million in PEI funds allocated each year to local mental health departments bolster programs and providers tasked with overcoming deeply embedded community challenges, including stigma and insufficient services and support. The funds also help to foster resilience among those who have been unserved, underserved, or harmed by services in the past.

Under the direction of a subcommittee led by Commission Chair Mara Madrigal-Weiss and Commission Vice Chair Mayra Alvarez, the Commission engaged national and local experts in the mental health prevention and early intervention field, reviewed research, and convened in-person and virtual events. During these events, community members, researchers, administrators, and other subject matter experts provided guidance and insight.

### Action is Needed Now

Funding earmarked for prevention and early intervention programs is essential for improving outcomes, especially in unserved and underserved communities. Yet funding alone is not enough. Without broader initiatives, statewide barriers – such as systemic inequities, injustices, and socioeconomic disparities – will continue to stymie progress.

Through its research and community events, the Commission identified four findings and corresponding recommendations. These finding and recommendations lay the groundwork to overcome key systemic barriers, guide future funding decisions, and advance a statewide strategic approach to prevention and early intervention.

**RECOMMENDATION ONE The State must establish multi-disciplinary leadership, deploy a strategic plan, and build capacity for using data and technical assistance to advance a statewide strategic approach to prevention and early intervention.**

California does not have a strategic approach in place to address the socioeconomic and structural conditions that underpin mental health inequities or to advance statewide prevention and early intervention efforts.

**RECOMMENDATION TWO The State's strategic approach to prevention and early intervention must directly address basic needs and trauma exposure and bolster resilience for individuals, families, and communities.**

Unmet basic human needs and trauma exposure drive mental health risks. These factors will continue to disrupt statewide prevention and early intervention efforts and outcomes unless they are addressed.

**RECOMMENDATION THREE The State's strategic approach to prevention and early intervention must ensure that all people have access to the information and resources necessary to support their own or another person's mental health needs.**

Strategies to increase public awareness and knowledge of mental health often are small and sporadic, while harmful misconceptions surrounding mental health challenges persist. Mass media and social media reinforce these misconceptions.

**RECOMMENDATION FOUR The State's strategic approach to prevention and early intervention must ensure that every Californian has access to effective and appropriate mental health screening, services, and supports aligned with their needs.**

Strategies that increase early identification and effective care for people with mental health challenges can enhance outcomes. Yet few Californians benefit from such strategies. Too often, the result is suicide, homelessness, incarceration, or other preventable crises.

## Prevention and Early Intervention for All Californians

California's nearly \$520 million investment in PEI programs and services represents an important resource for prevention and early intervention in the mental health arena. However, more is needed to create long-lasting transformational change. In developing this report, the Commission identified actionable strategies and opportunities to advance prevention and early intervention within and outside the mental health system. Now is the time to renew and reform our approach. We can build healthy systems, settings, and communities for all Californians for generations to come.

# Table of Contents

---

Introduction .....	1
The Prevention and Early Intervention Project .....	6
Findings and Recommendations .....	10
Conclusion .....	73
References .....	74

# Introduction

---

In a 2019 interview, former National Institute of Mental Health director Dr. Thomas Insel described the state of mental health in California and the U.S. “I’ve spent 40 years working in this field,” said Insel.<sup>1</sup> “We have seen vast improvements in those 40 years in infectious disease, cardiovascular care, many areas of medicine, but not behavioral health. Suicides are up about 33 percent since the turn of the century. Overdose deaths are skyrocketing. People with mental illness die about 23 years early – and we’re not closing the gap. [...] “We’ve got to come up with better solutions now.”<sup>2</sup>

Since this interview, the state of mental health in California has only worsened – but not at the fault of the many people who work tirelessly to support the mental health needs of Californians. Soon after this interview, the global COVID-19 pandemic threatened the health and wellbeing of billions worldwide,<sup>3</sup> constricted the economy,<sup>4</sup> and forced many to shelter in place, some in total isolation.<sup>5</sup> Against this backdrop, longstanding racial divides came into sharp focus after a police officer murdered George Floyd. Escalating reports of police violence among communities of color sparked renewed nationwide protests of police misconduct and racism.<sup>6</sup> The director of the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for the first time declared racism a serious public health threat.<sup>7</sup> These unfolding and often compounding community crises and stressors demanded swift action from decision-makers, many of whom were under significant stress themselves.

As these events transpired, many Californians experienced detrimental changes to their mental health and wellbeing.<sup>8</sup> For some, decreased mental wellbeing began to impact their daily lives for the first time.<sup>9</sup> Some experts are pointing to amassing stress associated with the COVID-19 pandemic, political unrest, and systemic racism and inequality as chief contributors to this decline in wellbeing.<sup>10</sup> These and other factors that threaten mental wellbeing are not new, but they are increasing and will continue to increase unless change occurs, leading to challenges for our already overburdened mental health workforce.<sup>11</sup> When asked how the system should be designed, Dr. Insel replied, “The system now is crisis driven. The biggest transformation will come when we can identify problems and intervene earlier. That’s when we get the best outcomes in diabetes, heart disease, cancer. It’s equally true in behavioral health.”<sup>12</sup>

## Prevention to Catalyze Transformational Change

According to the World Health Organization, *mental health* is “a state of wellbeing in which every individual realizes their own potential, can cope with the normal stresses of life, can work productively and fruitfully, and is able to make a contribution to their community.”<sup>13</sup>

With the right tools and support, mental health is possible for all people, including those who live with mental health challenges.<sup>14</sup> Basic needs are foundational to such wellbeing, however.<sup>15</sup> These needs include safe living and working environments, adequate food and housing, connections to community and culture, access to high-quality mental health care, and social support.<sup>16</sup>

*Mental health challenges* refer to circumstances in which a person's mental health needs negatively impact their daily life or functioning. These challenges include conditions characterized by uncommon patterns of thoughts and behaviors that cause distress or impair functioning.<sup>17</sup> *Substance use disorders*, a category of mental disorder, often occur in tandem with other mental health challenges.<sup>18</sup> Throughout this report, references to mental health challenges include substance use disorders.

The promise of a prevention and early intervention approach is grounded in decades of research showing that many factors influencing mental health can be modified, often preventing mental health challenges from emerging at all.<sup>19</sup> Research also establishes that early intervention and support lessen suffering, reduce suicide, and improve quality of life.<sup>20</sup>

Prevention and early intervention approaches provide long-lasting benefits that are felt throughout communities and across generations.<sup>21</sup> The approaches also pay for themselves. The National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine in 2009 calculated that for every dollar invested in prevention and early intervention, society saves \$2 to \$10 in health care costs, criminal justice expenses, and the avoidance of lost productivity.<sup>22</sup> Savings also result from a reduced need for emergency services or long-term care.<sup>23</sup> When prevention programs begin in early childhood, the returns are even higher – up to almost \$13 per dollar invested.<sup>24</sup>

### **The Prevention Continuum**

Prevention and early intervention strategies fall along a continuum of care that includes *promotion, prevention, early detection and intervention, and recovery*. Such strategies can, and often do, overlap.

Mental health *promotion* strives to improve the wellbeing of whole communities through<sup>25</sup> such strategies as raising public awareness, reducing stigma, and ensuring access to activities and resources that support wellbeing.<sup>26</sup>

*Prevention* in the context of mental health seeks to reduce the incidence, prevalence, and recurrence of mental health challenges. It also focuses on minimizing the time spent with symptoms and decreasing the impact of illness on families and communities.<sup>27</sup> Prevention is most effective when it is provided simultaneously across individuals, families, communities, and societies in ways that respond to their unique and fluid needs.<sup>28</sup>

*Early Intervention* describes mental health services and supports that promote recovery and prevent mental health needs from becoming severe and disabling.<sup>29</sup> Effective early intervention can ensure optimal outcomes even for those with the greatest challenges.<sup>30</sup>

*Recovery* is the process through which people improve their health and wellbeing, become better able to live self-directed lives, and set the stage to reach their full potential.<sup>31</sup> Recovery is different for everyone. It may include learning to make healthy choices to support wellbeing, establishing a safe and secure place to live, or building or rebuilding relationships and social networks.<sup>32</sup> Recovery often is not linear or timebound, and many people experience cycles of relapse and recovery.<sup>33</sup> Such strategies may include learning new coping tools, developing relapse or crisis contingency plans, and putting in place graduated levels of supports that can be selected if mental health challenges change or reemerge.<sup>34</sup>

## Whole Community Approaches

Increasingly, national<sup>35</sup> and international<sup>36</sup> health and mental health leaders advocate for approaches to promote the mental health and wellbeing of everyone; not one person at a time. Such approaches recognize that prevention and early intervention programs and services must occur in tandem with policies and practices to ease risk factors, such as economic deprivation, social isolation, racial injustice, and political unrest.<sup>37</sup>

In the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic, the American Psychological Association in 2020 called for a population health approach to tackle the nation's emerging public mental health crisis.<sup>38</sup> This approach does not replace individualized intervention. Rather, it emphasizes the potential for those within and outside the mental health field to address the harms of society-wide risk factors like systemic racism and a faltering economy. The need is greatest for marginalized populations.<sup>39</sup>

A population health approach builds on traditional public health practices by employing policies and interventions that improve the mental health of a whole population.<sup>40</sup> This requires examining a broad range of factors that influence wellbeing. Such factors include geography, socioeconomic conditions, the political climate, and sources of mental health services and supports.<sup>41</sup> A population health approach works across various systems to promote health equity in each of these areas.<sup>42</sup>

The population mental health approach draws upon strategies for prevention and early intervention to support groups who may be at risk in addition to those already experiencing mental health challenges.<sup>43</sup> Large-scale initiatives often are required to tackle structural barriers to wellbeing, access to services and supports, and social determinants of health, defined as the conditions in which people live, learn, play, work, and age.<sup>44</sup> At the same time, strategies are used to ensure equitable access to effective services and supports, acknowledging that such responses will vary necessarily across

a continuum of needs, within different settings, and at each life stage.<sup>45</sup> An understanding of how culture, beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors influence wellbeing is foundational to any effective population health approach.<sup>46</sup>

### **Characteristics of Effective Prevention and Early Intervention Strategies**

Effective prevention and early intervention strategies are tailored to the unique risks, strengths, needs, cultures, and languages of individuals, families, and communities.<sup>47</sup> Strategies are delivered in numerous settings, across specific life events and stages, among specific populations.<sup>48</sup> Such strategies target the root causes of disrupted wellbeing in communities. Continuous community engagement plays a critical role.<sup>49</sup>

Effective prevention and early intervention strategies also are nimble enough to adapt to changing risk factors, needs, and emerging events.<sup>50</sup> They respond to and mitigate the harmful impacts of unexpected stressful or traumatic events in communities,<sup>51</sup> such as mass shootings, terrorist attacks, natural disasters,<sup>52</sup> and political or social turmoil.<sup>53</sup>

Environmental, social, and other factors vary as a person grows, lives, and ages, with each life stage providing opportunities to prevent and address mental health challenges.<sup>54</sup> Effective prevention and early intervention strategies consider a “life course perspective,” taking into account how conditions and events across the lifespan shape one’s wellbeing.<sup>55</sup>

Finally, successful prevention and early intervention strategies are offered where people spend most of their time, such as in their community, at school, work, home, places of worship, or health care settings.<sup>56</sup>

### **Prevention Established in the Mental Health Services Act**

Californians in 2004 voted to pass Proposition 63, which was later enacted as the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA).<sup>57</sup> The first of its kind in the U.S., the MHSA outlines a vision for transformational change of California’s mental health system. Funded by a 1 percent tax on personal incomes over \$1 million, MHSA funds are allocated to 59 local mental health departments across California’s 58 counties.<sup>58</sup> For each county, approximately 20 percent of MHSA annual revenues are earmarked to support prevention and early intervention (PEI) programs and services.<sup>59</sup> According to the latest revenue data, the PEI component of the MHSA generated nearly \$520 million for programs and services during fiscal year 2020-21.<sup>60</sup> Local departments use the funds to deliver an array of programs and services focused on prevention, outreach, stigma reduction, screening and timely access to services, suicide prevention, and early intervention.<sup>61</sup> Accounting for only a fraction of California’s \$8–10 billion public mental health budget, PEI represents a rare instance in mental health policy where funds are specifically set aside for prevention and early intervention.

## Senate Bill 1004

SB 1004 was enacted in 2018 to advance the MHSA vision by creating additional focus and structure for PEI-funded programs. The bill authorizes the Commission to establish additional priorities and develop a strategy for monitoring and supporting PEI programs and services.<sup>62</sup> This bill and its funding priorities are grounded in the same concepts, opportunities, and best practices described in this report. The bill promotes a life-course approach as reflected in its focus on childhood trauma and strategies to support the mental health needs of youth and older adults.<sup>63</sup> It emphasizes the importance of early detection and support to achieve the best outcomes for people with mental health challenges by prioritizing early intervention for psychosis or mood disorders.<sup>64</sup> Current PEI priority areas also encompass practices that are community-centered and culturally responsive and that strive to advance mental health equity.<sup>65</sup>

Through SB 1004, the Governor and the Legislature identified the following priorities for local PEI program development and delivery:<sup>66</sup>

- Programs that target children exposed to, or who are at risk of exposure to, adverse and traumatic childhood events to prevent or address the early origins of mental health challenges and prevent negative outcomes.
- Evidence-based approaches and services to support recovery for people experiencing first, or early, symptoms of psychosis or mood disorders, such as by identifying and supporting early signs and symptoms, keeping people engaged in school or at work, and supporting them on a path to better health and wellness.
- Strategies that target secondary school and transition age youth, with a priority on partnerships with college mental health programs that educate and engage college age youth and provide either on-campus, off-campus, or linkages to mental health services.
- Strategies to reach underserved cultural populations and address specific barriers related to racial, ethnic, cultural, language, gender, age, economic, or other disparities in mental health services access, quality, and outcomes.
- Strategies targeting the mental health needs of older adults, including screening and early identification of mental health challenges, suicide prevention, and outreach and engagement with caregivers, victims of elder abuse, and individuals who live alone or are isolated.

The bill also authorizes the Commission to identify additional priorities, with community input, that are proven effective in achieving the bill's goals. The next section of this report outlines the Commission's process for exploring how the bill's goals and others

could be achieved to lay a foundation for effective and sustained prevention and early intervention programs and services.

Through its process, the Commission heard from community members and other experts that California has yet to establish a strategic approach to prevention and early intervention. There are many needs, funding sources, partners, and assets, yet they have not been connected or coordinated. Meanwhile, communities have been pummeled by crisis after crisis, leaving deepened deficits in basic human needs, such as housing and healthcare. Exposure to trauma has become the norm for many of California's communities. These factors, and others, create the context in which California's PEI initiatives are delivered and often outweighed by the scale of community needs.

## The Prevention and Early Intervention Project

---

Catalyzed by SB 1004, the Commission launched a policy research project in early 2019 to explore statewide opportunities for prevention and early intervention (PEI) in mental health.<sup>67</sup> The Commission also began to investigate options for bolstering PEI programs through data monitoring, evaluation, and technical support. To lead the project, the Commission formed a Prevention and Early Intervention Subcommittee chaired by Commission Chair Mara Madrigal-Weiss and Vice Chair Mayra E. Alvarez.<sup>68</sup>

### Engagement with Community Members and Other Experts

The Subcommittee held meetings in Sacramento and Monterey counties in 2019 to hear presentations that identified areas of need. The presentations explored challenges and opportunities surrounding PEI in such areas as health inequities, outreach efforts, workforce development, effective program evaluation, cultural relevancy, and program flexibility.

The Subcommittee also convened 10 virtual listening sessions targeting specific communities and regions across California beginning in 2020. The sessions explored risk and protective factors and identified unique approaches to meeting the needs of African American, Asian American and Pacific Islander, Latinx, Native, and LGBTQ+ communities. Commission staff partnered with cultural brokers to host sessions, recruit participants, and facilitate conversations. These sessions were small, each including seven to 12 participants.

The Subcommittee held five virtual listening sessions in early 2021 for California's Northern, Bay Area, Southern, Los Angeles, and Central regions. Together these sessions attracted over 500 community members who, with the help of peer and family member facilitators, provided their thoughts and perspectives regarding how PEI could

be advanced to improve outcomes, reduce disparities, and increase timely access to services and supports.

In March and April 2021, the Subcommittee held three statewide virtual public forums to explore ways to leverage state and local data, evaluation methodologies, and opportunities for technical support to advance prevention and early intervention. Approximately 300 participants attended these technology forums, including community members, advocates, providers, evaluation professionals, subject matter experts, and local behavioral health department staff. Each forum included presentations by subject matter experts, videos to highlight key prevention and early intervention concepts, and group discussions.

The Commission held two virtual public hearings during regularly scheduled Commission meetings in February and April 2021. The hearings included presentations by subject matter experts that explored key concepts in prevention and early intervention and opportunities across the lifespan.

In September 2021, in partnership with the California Alliance of Child and Family Services and The Children's Partnership, the Commission co-hosted a virtual panel conversation on prevention and early intervention and school and community partnerships. A panel of community providers who serve California's children and youth highlighted opportunities to promote mental health and wellbeing among youth, especially those currently and historically marginalized.

In addition to PEI-specific activities, Commission staff also gathered information during other Commission-hosted events held in 2020 and 2021. These included Innovation Idea Labs hosted by the Youth Innovation Committee, events to support the Workplace Mental Health Project, and an Immigrant and Refugee listening session.<sup>69</sup> At its December 8, 2021, meeting, the Commission's Cultural and Linguistic Competency Committee approved several recommendations related to the Commission's prevention and early intervention project.<sup>70</sup> Those recommendations are:

1. Emphasize transition age youth generally under the identified priorities in Senate Bill 1004 (Wiener, 2018). Prioritizing just college-age transition age youth disadvantages transition age youth of color.
2. Add language under the identified priorities in Senate Bill 1004 (Wiener, 2018) to specifically reference "community defined evidence-based practices" as programs that can be funded under PEI, such as "culturally-competent and linguistically-appropriate prevention and intervention, including culturally-defined evidence-based practices."
3. Include the establishment of hiring preferences for applicants with backgrounds in ethnic studies and related academic disciplines in systems-change efforts.

4. Establish mechanisms to incentivize behavioral health employees to take courses in ethnic studies and related academic disciplines to create robust personnel development opportunities to build capacity within existing behavioral health care departments to serve historically marginalized communities.

Commission staff, meanwhile, conducted interviews with subject matter experts and other local and national leaders working to advance mental health prevention and promotion. Interviewees included representatives from the World Health Organization, RAND Corporation, the American Public Health Association, and the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. Also interviewed were mental health researchers from Columbia University, Harvard University, the University of California, Davis, and the University of California, Los Angeles. The Commission consulted with representatives in other state agencies as well, including the California Department of Public Health's Office of Health Equity, the California Department of Social Services, and First 5 California.

## Program Data Analysis

Commission staff conducted a content analysis of nearly 850 program descriptions from 59 local MHSAs Three-Year Program and Expenditure Reports.<sup>71</sup> Commission staff also compiled data and information from Annual PEI Reports submitted by local behavioral health departments.<sup>72</sup> These reports should document data and information required by regulation and include basic participant data, such as:

- Participant demographics,
- Number of individuals served by PEI services,
- Number and type of potential responders reached in outreach activities,
- Number of individuals referred to county and noncounty mental health services,
- Number of individuals referred to different types of services, and
- Descriptive statistics related to referral timing for outreach programs and activities to improve timely access to services.

Missing data and information in both program descriptions and participant data limited the use of such programmatic data in the Commission's findings. For example, upwards of 70 percent of program descriptions did not specify the setting in which services took place, and over 68 percent of program descriptions did not specify who staffed each program. Similarly, most reports did not contain information on referrals, outreach activities, and timing of activities. To support improved data quality, Commission staff designed a draft, optional template for the Annual PEI Report and held several meetings from June 2021 to December 2021 with local department representatives to hear feedback on the draft.

## Public Awareness Strategies

Commission staff produced short videos with subject matter experts. These videos highlight key concepts related to mental health promotion and prevention and early intervention. In 10 minutes or less, the videos deliver key messages that describe contemporary challenges and opportunities to help advance health equity and maximize mental health awareness using technology.<sup>73</sup>

## Public Comment

A draft of this report was first released for public comment on August 24, 2022. The Subcommittee will review written and verbal comments and consider revisions to the document prior to approval by the Subcommittee. The Subcommittee will meet as many times as needed to hear comments. Once approved, the Subcommittee will submit the revised draft to the Commission for consideration of adoption. An implementation plan will be developed following adoption of the final report.

---

**Note:** *Quotes from community members and other experts documented below include identifying information about the speaker when such information is available. Commission staff received permission to publish statements made by speakers during project events whenever possible.*

## Findings and Recommendations

---

Broad, multidisciplinary, statewide initiatives are needed to combat California’s growing mental health crisis. These initiatives must be grounded in a strategic approach to prevention and early intervention. The Commission has identified four key findings and recommendations to guide this work. Each finding combines public input with scientific evidence and is accompanied by a summary of relevant best practices and promising solutions. These opportunities for prevention and early intervention will demand significant time, leadership, and investment of fiscal and human resources. The result will be a stronger foundation for prevention and early intervention that will benefit Californians now and for generations to come.

	<b>Finding</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>
1	California does not have a strategic approach in place to address the socioeconomic and structural conditions that underpin mental health inequities or to advance statewide prevention and early intervention.	The State must establish multi-disciplinary leadership, deploy a strategic plan, and build capacity for using data and technical assistance to advance a statewide strategic approach to prevention and early intervention.
2	Unmet basic human needs and trauma exposure drive mental health risks. These factors will continue to disrupt statewide prevention and early intervention efforts and outcomes unless they are addressed.	The State’s strategic approach to prevention and early intervention must directly address basic needs and trauma exposure and bolster resilience for individuals, families, and communities.
3	Strategies to increase public awareness and knowledge of mental health often are small and sporadic, while harmful misconceptions surrounding mental health challenges persist. Mass media and social media reinforce these misconceptions.	The State’s strategic approach to prevention and early intervention must ensure that all people have access to the information and resources necessary to support their own or another person’s mental health needs.
4	Strategies that increase early identification and effective care for people with mental health challenges can enhance outcomes. Yet few Californians benefit from such strategies. Too often, the result is suicide, homelessness, incarceration, or other preventable crises.	The State’s strategic approach to prevention and early intervention must ensure that every Californian has access to effective and appropriate mental health screening, services, and supports aligned to their needs.

## FINDING ONE

California does not have a strategic approach in place to address the socioeconomic and structural conditions that underpin mental health inequities or to advance statewide prevention and early intervention.

The MHSA and its funding for prevention and early intervention account for a small fraction of California's \$8–\$10 billion public mental health system. This fraction is even smaller when considered against the many billions of dollars that the state spends to support the health and wellbeing of its residents through subsidized housing, public education, employment support, and other services.

Despite these collective efforts and an unprecedented increase in public spending, innovation, and ingenuity, mental health outcomes in California are worsening, constituting what many experts consider a public health emergency. Entrenched social, economic, and systemic challenges continue to drive inequities in mental health risk, awareness, and access to effective care.<sup>74</sup> No single department or funding source can address these broader societal challenges, nor can the state's mental health community on its own, from administrators and advocates to policymakers and providers.<sup>75</sup> Promoting and protecting the mental health of all communities will demand multisector collaboration within the mental health system and among partners outside the mental health community.<sup>76</sup> Absent is a strategic approach to bring these partners together in a systematic effort to optimize resources, improve systems, and advance prevention and early intervention. Only by coordinating and building capacity among a broad range of providers, administrators, educators, caregivers, advocates, peers, and others can we reduce unnecessary suffering and loss of life due to unsupported mental health needs.

### **Conditions Reinforcing Inequities in Mental Health**

California is known for its large public investments,<sup>77</sup> yet substantial socioeconomic and health inequities persist. These inequities drive disparities in mental health,<sup>78</sup> particularly among Black, Latinx, Native and Indigenous, Asian American, LGBTQ+, rural, and disabled communities.

With limited data capabilities and siloed systems, the impact of the State's investments can be difficult to measure. Also difficult to assess is how state policies and actions contribute to inequities and disparities.

## Social Determinants of Health

Health equity is achieved when all people have access to resources and opportunities that support health and wellbeing.<sup>79</sup> Most health inequities arise when people are disproportionately exposed to factors that threaten wellbeing in the places where they are born, live, grow, work, play, learn, and age.<sup>80</sup> These factors, which affect both physical and mental health, are broadly referred to as social determinants of health (SDOH).<sup>81</sup> Deficits in these determinants increase vulnerability to psychosis, severe depression, and anxiety, as well as a host of chronic physical health conditions.<sup>82</sup> They are considered a fundamental cause of poor physical and mental health worldwide.

Determinants that impact wellbeing include:

- Discrimination, racism, and social exclusion
- Immigration status
- Adverse early life experiences and other significant adult traumas
- Poor education
- Neighborhood and domestic violence
- Unemployment, underemployment, and job insecurity
- Poverty and income inequality
- Food insecurity
- Poor housing quality and housing instability
- Lack of health care<sup>83</sup>

The COVID-19 pandemic laid bare many of the social and structural inequities that for so long have contributed to health disparities among marginalized communities. Groups with lower median incomes, poor housing quality, lower educational attainment, and inadequate internet access have suffered higher rates of infection throughout the pandemic.<sup>84</sup> Two out of every three Californians who have died of COVID-19 had a high school degree or less.<sup>85</sup> Blacks, Latinx individuals,<sup>86</sup> immigrants and refugees<sup>87</sup> all experienced higher COVID-19 death rates than the population as a whole. **Mental health also was threatened by COVID-19. Prolonged isolation to protect high risk groups from infection increased risk of depression and suicide, especially for older adults.**<sup>88</sup> Suicide deaths among California youth increased significantly in the wake of the pandemic, with the sharpest rise among African American youth. Nationally, Black, Latinx, and immigrant communities reported a higher incidence of depression and anxiety. LGBTQ+ communities, especially LGBTQ+ youth, also reported more depression, anxiety, and substance use.<sup>89</sup>

Throughout the pandemic, public health efforts understandably focused on protecting individuals with medical or age-related vulnerabilities to the virus. Yet not all racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic disparities in COVID-19 impacts were attributed to health

status or age. COVID-19 provided a tragic example of how stressors experienced by marginalized groups can complicate and compound risks.

*“Health inequities are the result of more than individual choice or random occurrence. They are the result of the historic and ongoing interplay of inequitable structures, policies, and norms that shape lives.” – Finding from the “Pathways to Health Equity” report from the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity (United States, 2017)<sup>90</sup>*

## Structural Racism and Discrimination

Many of the conditions that drive health inequities stem from structural factors such as laws, rules, or official policies that favor some groups and harm others.<sup>91</sup> These factors, referred to as structural racism and discrimination, unjustly treat groups based on race, sexual orientation, gender or gender identity, physical or intellectual differences or disabilities, age, immigration status, or income.<sup>92</sup> Examples of structural racism include “redlining,” in which loans or insurance are denied to individuals or businesses in disadvantaged neighborhoods;<sup>93</sup> covenants, codes, and restrictions, which bar people from buying homes in neighborhoods based on race or religion;<sup>94</sup> and gerrymandering, in which voting boundaries are manipulated to favor or exclude certain racial and ethnic groups, socioeconomic classes, or political parties.<sup>95</sup> The lack of infrastructure, investments, and political power that results from such policies unfairly disadvantage segregated communities.<sup>96</sup> For example, hospitals, schools, grocery stores, and job opportunities are exceedingly scarce in redlined communities, impacting the social determinants of poor mental health including unemployment, food insecurity, and poverty.<sup>97</sup> Although residential segregation has been outlawed in the U.S., its impacts on health and wellbeing endure.<sup>98</sup>

Structural barriers can perpetuate poverty and other factors that increase mental health risk.<sup>99</sup> For example, poor communities experience greater shortages in mental health providers.<sup>100</sup> Structural barriers also can exacerbate the stigma, prejudice, and trauma that members of marginalized groups,<sup>101</sup> including those with mental health challenges, often experience.<sup>102</sup> During Commission events to gather community insights and guidance as part of this project, members of the public highlighted the power of structural inequities. Event participants repeatedly emphasized that cultural and racial discrimination passed down from previous generations takes a toll on the mental and physical health of those communities that are most harmed by socioeconomic hardship and trauma.

*“Much of the mental health challenges people experience are either caused by or exacerbated by minority stressors that people of color and LGBTQ and other marginalized populations suffer from [...] systemic racism and bias is inherent in so*

*many of the things that people face, whether it's their health care, their housing, their income, their access to such care. And we know that people do have disparities by mere zip code" – Participant during a March 3, 2021, virtual prevention and early intervention listening session with residents from Los Angeles*

Public agency leaders also have begun to acknowledge the impact of structural racism and discrimination. Organizations representing California county health agencies in March 2021 issued a powerful, unified public statement declaring structural racism a public health crisis.<sup>103</sup> "Our members understand that the experience of racism is itself a social determinant of health and is associated with negative mental health impacts for members of Black, Indigenous, Latinx, and Asian and Pacific Islander communities," said Michelle Cabrera, Executive Director of the California Behavioral Health Directors Association.<sup>104</sup> She added: "At the same time, [these] communities all too often face barriers, rooted in systemic racism, in accessing life-saving behavioral health treatment."<sup>105</sup>

### **Structural Barriers in Mental Health Systems**

Structural factors are driving inequities across mental health care systems. For example, high health care costs disproportionately harm rural, Latinx, Native,<sup>106</sup> and undocumented<sup>107</sup> Californians who are less likely to have insurance due to their increased likelihood of being un- or underemployed – itself a reflection of systemic racism and discrimination.<sup>108</sup> LGBTQ+ community members are similarly affected by lower insurance availability due to policies that may reflect systemic discrimination against non-conforming or non-binary sexual orientation or gender identity.<sup>109</sup>

In addition to inequities in access to care, discriminatory policies and practices shape the way mental health challenges are defined, detected, and supported in California's health care systems. Community members participating in the Commission's 2021 public engagement events asserted that program and funding priorities do not always reflect their communities' cultural and linguistic needs. According to community members, part of the problem is a lack of inclusive and equitable community representation in the planning and development of mental health programs and services. One youth representative said during a public hearing that young people often are completely excluded from decisions regarding their wellbeing.

### **Biases in Data**

Data systems are a critical tool to advance broad systems change and promote equity in mental health. Unfortunately, limitations in data infrastructure continue to impede data-informed practices in California.<sup>110</sup>

Unequal representation of certain populations in existing data reinforces discriminatory decision making and policy.<sup>111</sup> Large-scale health surveys used to inform health policy,

for example, generally exclude smaller geographic areas or certain marginalized groups.<sup>112</sup> Another issue is data aggregation, or the grouping of people together into sometimes arbitrary categories based on their race, ethnicity, gender or sexual identity, and other characteristics.<sup>113</sup> Grouping such individuals together makes it difficult to understand disparities within the group and can also lead to false assumptions that reinforce stereotypes and bias.<sup>114</sup> This is assuming such data is even reported, which is often not the case. For example, in national COVID-19 data reported in 2021, race and ethnicity were missing for 34 percent of cases.<sup>115</sup> Also, many health data collection efforts are conducted only in English, thereby excluding those with limited English proficiency who are already underserved.<sup>116</sup>

Public health data often lack consistency in the topics they capture over time as well, making it difficult to assess the impact of upstream prevention initiatives that by their nature can take several years, even decades, to demonstrate a measurable effect.<sup>117</sup> Cost is a foremost limitation. Capturing data at the community and population level is expensive. Moreover, data infrastructure used by government agencies often is siloed, outdated, and underutilized in decision making.<sup>118</sup>

Program and service data similarly lack consistency, reliability, and coordination.<sup>119</sup> For example, in a review of MHSA PEI program data reported by local behavioral health departments, upwards of 70 percent of 850 program descriptions assessed did not specify a setting, and over 68 percent did not include information on staffing. Similarly, most reports did not contain information on referrals, outreach activities, and timing of activities, even though such information is required by State regulations.<sup>120</sup> During one event, several behavioral health department representatives said they sometimes feel the need to choose between satisfying reporting requirements and providing actual services. The challenge is more difficult in smaller counties with fewer resources and staff dedicated to data collection, analysis, and reporting. Complicating matters is that current State requirements are not explicit in the ways counties should define, measure, and report outcomes for MHSA PEI programs and services. Such challenges result in program data that is missing, incomplete, or inconsistent.

Ultimately, relying on limited data systems weakens program evaluation and quality assurance. Incomplete data also misleads priorities for funding, policy making, and resource allocation. As a result, underserved communities continue to be overlooked and underfunded.<sup>121</sup>

## Best Practices and Promising Solutions

The World Health Organization, National Institute of Medicine, U.S. Surgeon General, and other leading health experts agree that no single program, partner, or funding source can adequately support a population's mental health needs.<sup>122</sup> Instead, prevention and early intervention programs and services must be part of broader initiatives that address the systemic and structural inequities that fuel mental health risk.<sup>123</sup> Leadership is needed to catalyze momentum and leverage resources for change.<sup>124</sup> A strategic plan is needed to guide priorities for planning, collaboration, policies, and funding.<sup>125</sup> Investments in data and technical assistance are needed to evaluate and improve initiatives over time.<sup>126</sup>

*“We continue to work in silos that are holding us back from something greater. If we could start converging our silos through the connection of agencies, we would have all the pieces of the puzzle. Different perspectives could come together to develop innovative ideas and solutions to problems that were previously too massive for one agency to solve.” – Hillary Konrad, Prevention Network Development Manager in California’s Office of Child Abuse Prevention, during a March 17, 2021, Commission public engagement event*

### Establish a Foundation for Prevention

Achieving health equity requires broad, upstream initiatives to address the systemic and structural conditions that underlie risk and enhance the conditions that promote wellbeing.<sup>127</sup> Such large-scale change cannot be achieved without participation from multiple partners from various sectors, with alliances at the private, public, state, and local levels, including community-based organizations and tribal governments.<sup>128</sup> Leadership at all levels is necessary to activate change agents and support collaboration.<sup>129</sup> The need for a broad, systems level approach has been recognized at the federal level, such as in Congress’ 2021 *Improving Social Determinants of Health Act*, an initiative to promote interagency partnerships to improve social determinants of health.<sup>130</sup>

### Leadership

Developing strong and effective leadership is necessary to activate change agents and bridge effective alliances.<sup>131</sup> Such leadership must be visionary and capable of braiding systems and resources to effect bold, innovative, and lasting change.<sup>132</sup>

The need for leadership in prevention and early intervention was emphasized repeatedly by community members during Commission public engagement events. Yet partners from the child welfare and criminal justice arenas said they feel unable or unprepared to play a role in mental health. They described feeling siloed from their

mental health partners, with limited infrastructure and data that would permit collaboration toward common goals.

### **Opportunity Spotlight: Leadership to Promote Healthy Aging**

In 2019, California developed a statewide Master Plan for Aging with the aim of better supporting the state's growing population of older adults and people with disabilities, now and in the future.<sup>133</sup> The plan's five overarching goals provide a "blueprint" for state and local government, the private sector, and other partners to promote healthy aging across the lifespan.<sup>134</sup> By 2030, the plan strives to ensure housing for people of all ages, improve access to home and community-based health care services, ensure inclusive and equitable opportunities for community participation and engagement, bolster the caregiving workforce, and increase economic security for aging Californians.<sup>135</sup> In 2021, California's Governor appointed a Senior Advisor on Aging, Disability, and Alzheimer's to lead initiatives and partnerships across agencies and systems to advance the Master Plan for Aging goals.<sup>136</sup> Similar leadership is needed to drive innovation and partnerships so that California can realize its vision for prevention and early intervention in mental health.

### **Partners and Alliances**

Partners outside the mental health system play a critical role in mental health prevention.<sup>137</sup> These partners include people with mental health challenges and their families, advocates, researchers, community-based service providers, business representatives, public health officials, faith-based communities, first responders, health care workers, tribal leaders, traditional healers, and representatives from the education, justice system, social services sectors, among others.<sup>138</sup>

Public health has a long history of leveraging multisector partnerships for disease prevention and health promotion. For example, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has been leading interagency partnerships focused specifically on improving social determinants of health,<sup>139</sup> such as collaborations with the federal Department of Housing and Urban Development and Department of Transportation. The collaborations promote better health by improving both living conditions and access to transportation<sup>140</sup> for low-income individuals, older adults, and people with disabilities.<sup>141</sup>

In another project, the CDC's National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention evaluated 42 multi-sector community partnerships across the country that address social determinants of health.<sup>142</sup> The evaluation found that 90 percent of the partnerships generated health-promoting improvements such as new walking trails, bike lanes, and playgrounds, community and school gardens, and tobacco-free policies.<sup>143</sup> More than half of the initiatives yielded immediate positive health outcomes, including improved

health behaviors and decreased health care costs.<sup>144</sup> Although immediate outcomes are enticing, many of the initiatives were designed to produce outcomes that are long-term and long-lasting through changes in policy, systems, and the environment.<sup>145</sup> To assess longer-term outcomes, data from 29 partnerships were used to forecast impacts over two decades. According to their findings, partnerships have the potential to prevent 2,140 coronary heart disease events, 1,650 strokes, and 850 deaths over 20 years, resulting in \$566 million in savings due to averted medical and productivity costs.<sup>146</sup> Such outcomes are striking given the modest size of the 29 initiatives studied.

### **Opportunity Spotlight: State-Supported, Community-Led Interagency Prevention**

California has taken an interagency approach to better serve children and youth in the foster care system and beyond through Assembly Bill 2083.<sup>147</sup> Established in 2018, this bill promotes a “local systems of care” framework by requiring counties across the state to identify and coordinate the roles and responsibilities of the various local entities that serve children and youth in foster care such as behavioral health departments, regional centers, education departments, social services, etc.<sup>148</sup> The legislation also calls for the establishment of a Joint Interagency Resolution team, to provide guidance, support, and technical assistance to counties.<sup>149</sup> The Interagency Resolution Team’s mission includes:

1. Promote collaboration and communication across systems to meet the needs of children, youth, and families;
2. Support timely access to trauma-informed services for children and youth; and
3. Resolve technical assistance requests by counties and partner agencies, as requested, to meet the needs of children and youth.

Since its implementation, many counties have constructed Interagency Leadership Teams that are primed to collectively administer broader prevention frameworks at the systems and community level.<sup>150</sup> Scaling this and similar interagency approaches to reach more communities could greatly enhance California’s capacity to implement upstream, comprehensive prevention.<sup>151</sup>

## **Create and Implement a Strategic Plan**

Developing a strategic plan to tackle a complex public health challenge is a common best practice. In fact, a strategic plan often is required for public funding. For instance, an approved plan is required for applicants receiving Substance Abuse Prevention Treatment Block Grants from U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.<sup>152</sup> Examples of strategic plans in the public health arena include the California Department of Public Health’s integrated plan to address human immunodeficiency virus (HIV),

hepatitis C virus, and sexually transmitted infections.<sup>153</sup> The California Office of Traffic Safety created a highway safety plan to guide a strategic approach to ensure street safety, especially for bicyclist and pedestrians.<sup>154</sup> Within mental health, the California Department of Public Health has a strategic plan for suicide prevention.<sup>155</sup>

### **Statewide Prevention Plan**

A comprehensive strategic plan can be a powerful tool to help coordinate and map complex, multidisciplinary, and interagency approaches to prevention and early intervention.<sup>156</sup> Prevention strategies in mental health generally fall into three broad types. The first, primary prevention, targets an entire population, not just those at risk, as well as members of groups who are at higher-than-average risk.<sup>157</sup> Secondary prevention focuses on early detection and connection to services and supports.<sup>158</sup> The third type, tertiary prevention, seeks to prevent relapse and promote recovery for people with mental health challenges.<sup>159</sup> Prevention and early intervention approaches are most effective when primary, secondary, and tertiary prevention strategies are used simultaneously to address a continuum of risks, needs, and recovery for individuals and the population at large.<sup>160</sup>

California Gov. Gavin Newsom recognizes the opportunity and need for strategic planning to prevent mental health challenges and substance use disorders. California's Department of Health Care Services is leading an effort launched in April 2022 to develop the state's first Behavioral Health Prevention Plan.<sup>161</sup> This plan will include guidance for assessment, capacity, planning, implementation, evaluation, sustainability, and cultural competence in the prevention of mental health challenges and substance use disorders.<sup>162</sup> This plan also will map California's various state and federal funding streams and use data to guide implementation of best practices in California's diverse communities.<sup>163</sup> This strategic approach should help guide existing and future investments, including MHSA funding, to improve state and local prevention efforts.

#### **Opportunity Spotlight: Priorities for Funding Earmarked for Prevention and Early Intervention**

The Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) outlines a vision for transformational change of the California public mental health system with funding from a 1 percent tax on personal income over \$1 million. Most of this funding is allocated to California's 59 local mental health departments. Local departments use MHSA funds specifically earmarked for prevention and early intervention approaches that prevent and lessen the suffering and negative outcomes associated with mental health challenges.<sup>164</sup> These approaches include outreach and engagement, health promotion, stigma reduction, screening and linkage to services, suicide prevention, and early intervention for a variety of mental health challenges.<sup>165</sup> To guide local program development and delivery, the State has identified several priority areas that include:<sup>166</sup>

- Childhood trauma prevention and early intervention to address the origins of mental health challenges
- Early psychosis and mood disorder detection, and mood disorder and suicide prevention cross the lifespan
- Youth outreach and engagement strategies, with an emphasis on partnerships with college mental health programs
- Culturally competent and linguistically appropriate prevention and interventions for diverse communities
- The mental health needs of older adults

Local mental health departments also may identify other priorities in addition to or in lieu of those listed above.<sup>167</sup>

In drafting legislation on priorities for prevention and early intervention in mental health, the Governor and Legislature recognized that priorities should evolve based on new knowledge and changing needs. As a result, they authorized the Commission in 2018, through Senate Bill 1004, to explore and establish additional priorities for the use of MHSA prevention and early intervention funding.<sup>168</sup> A statewide strategic approach to prevention and early intervention would guide the identification of additional priorities for this earmarked funding, along with other public investments in strategies to reduce the drivers of mental health risk, such as unmet basic needs, poverty, and trauma. A strategic statewide plan would guide priorities to maximize all public investments intended to reduce mental health risk and build resiliency.

### **Planning with Community Experts**

To be most effective, prevention and early intervention strategies must be tailored to unique community needs, risks, and strengths. They must prioritize those who are marginalized, underserved, or at greater risk.<sup>169</sup> In California, our communities form a diverse mosaic of cultures, languages, lifestyles, physical environments, and resources. We also differ in terms of what threatens<sup>170</sup> or protects<sup>171</sup> our mental health and wellbeing. However, every community is an expert in its local needs and assets.<sup>172</sup> Community participation therefore is a critical component of strategic planning for prevention and early intervention. Individual communities are in the best position to understand the barriers faced by groups who are unserved or inappropriately served.<sup>173</sup> And devoting space for community representation in decision making promotes transparency, inclusion, and accountability for the way local resources are allocated.<sup>174</sup>

In many cases, community members have not had the opportunity to communicate their needs.<sup>175</sup> They also may have a mistrust of government due to experienced oppression. Some simply cannot participate because of employment or family obligations or other

barriers.<sup>176</sup> During an April 21, 2021, Commission public engagement event, presenter and youth leader Matthew Diep remarked on the critical need for community voices in mental health decision making, particularly voices of youth. He emphasized the need for community members to “be there” from development through implementation and evaluation. Indeed, people who are closest to the problem often are closest to the solution and should have a place at the decision table.

### **Opportunity Spotlight: Community Needs Assessment**

County behavioral health departments in California are required to assess the mental health needs of residents who qualify for services under the Community Services and Supports (CSS) component of the Mental Health Services Act.<sup>177</sup> This assessment asks about racial and ethnic background, age, and gender identity.<sup>178</sup> Departments use these data and other information to identify priority areas for CSS funding.<sup>179</sup> The information allows partners to align their resources and program priorities in ways that better support a community’s mental health needs and reduces disparities.<sup>180</sup> In practice, mental health needs assessment strategies vary greatly depending on county resources.<sup>181</sup> During Commission public engagement events, participants from all California regions repeatedly mentioned the lack of community inclusion in mental health decision making. One participant in a Los Angeles engagement event urged the State to “hold counties accountable to execute ongoing, robust, diverse stakeholder engagement in the program planning, delivery, revision, and reviewal processes of mental health services.”

## **Build Capacity with Data and Technical Assistance**

Capacity building, the process by which organizations enhance their systems and resources, is a powerful tool for achieving equity in mental health. The process can allow more underserved communities to benefit from critical investments, policies, and direct services to promote mental health.<sup>182</sup> Providing data and evaluation and delivering technical assistance and training are common capacity-building strategies.<sup>183</sup>

### **Integrated Data Systems**

Data systems are essential to an effective prevention approach, providing information to identify and respond quickly to health risk and needs. In the realm of public health, for example, real-time emergency department data are used to identify disease outbreaks and make quick and accurate predictions to inform prevention decisions.<sup>184</sup>

Similarly, data systems can promote health equity by allowing the ongoing monitoring of disparities, including documenting how different communities are impacted by risk and needs.<sup>185</sup>

Using data to identify the mental health needs of communities and monitor changes in those needs over time is a valuable tool for advancing broader mental health initiatives.<sup>186</sup> Assessing community trends in mental health diagnoses and risk factors can help guide targeted prevention strategies.<sup>187</sup> Similarly, identifying disparities in service access and utilization can inform priorities for program funding and capacity building.<sup>188</sup> Understanding diverse characteristics of communities also can help policymakers identify specific service needs such as translation services, transportation, or access to culturally responsive providers. Information on community characteristics can be particularly valuable to inform targeted responses to adverse or traumatic events such as wildfires, acts of violence in communities, or the significant challenges resulting from the COVID-19 pandemic.

During the Commission's public engagement events, several participants highlighted the need for a centralized, State-supported data system that would allow mental health data to be disseminated to the public. Community members, providers, and subject-matter experts participating in the public engagement events identified specific data measures to prioritize, including those that capture basic needs such as access to healthy food, housing, and safety, as well as structural factors such as systemic inequities, minority stress, trauma, and poverty. Many participants also stressed the importance of measuring and disseminating information about community strengths and protective factors, including cultural practices, social cohesion, social capital, and local leadership.

#### **Opportunity Spotlight: Leverage Existing Data**

Public health partners have been exploring how integrating large data systems could be used to better understand and support a population's mental health.<sup>189</sup> California's Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment recently used statewide air monitoring and hospital data systems to assess the impact of poor air quality on mental health risk.<sup>190</sup> Looking at data between 2005 and 2013, investigators discovered higher rates of mental health-related emergency room visits during days or weeks when poorer air quality (measured by ozone and fine particle concentration) was detected.<sup>191</sup>

Understanding the association between poor air quality and mental health points to potential opportunities for prevention. For example, providing mental health screening and support in areas impacted by noxious wildfire smoke could help prevent the need for hospitalizations. Disseminating these findings also is a way to practice transparency, improve public awareness, and empower individuals and communities.<sup>192</sup> For example, disseminating information about the impacts of air quality on mental health can empower people to make informed decisions about where to live and how to protect their health.<sup>193</sup> Facts also can fuel advocacy for more aggressive pollution and climate-mitigation efforts to prevent statewide mental health challenges.<sup>194</sup>

Linking climate data with mental health data also demonstrates how data can be used to bridge systemic silos, allowing mental health and non-mental health agencies, like the California Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, to identify and communicate opportunities, coordinate resources, and act jointly toward mutual goals.<sup>195</sup>

California possesses many tools for measuring and tracking mental health data, such as the California Health Interview Survey<sup>196</sup> and the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.<sup>197</sup> Each measures an array of physical and mental health and wellbeing factors, including those related to social determinants of health.<sup>198</sup> State and local agencies, such as school districts, social service agencies, criminal justice systems, and child welfare offices, also capture data relevant to mental health, as do private and public health care and behavioral health institutions.<sup>199</sup> Leveraging and enhancing existing data systems to develop a centralized, integrated data infrastructure that is responsive to community needs and statewide goals could enhance the State's capacity to better understand and support the mental health needs of Californians.

### **Evaluation of Prevention and Early Intervention Programs**

Evaluating the development, implementation, and outcomes of prevention and early intervention programs is necessary to ensure programs are having their intended impact on the communities they serve. Meaningful evaluation relies on the quality and precision of local program data.

Prevention and early intervention programs and services often differ from region to region, as do the data that are collected and reported.<sup>200</sup> Although necessary to meet the needs and expectations of communities, this variability in programs and data poses significant challenges for assessing the local and statewide impacts of its prevention and early intervention investments.<sup>201</sup>

Throughout the Commission's public engagement activities, participants reiterated the need for more State guidance and resources to support data-driven planning, delivery, and evaluation of prevention and early intervention programs and services. On several occasions, local behavioral health departments have requested that the State offer standardized data reporting and evaluation tools, such as uniform data collection and reporting guidelines and standardized performance metrics for common programs. To support the use of such tools, participants also emphasized the need for resources that include clear and consistent definitions, templates for data collection, and an inventory of standardized tools and measures for evaluation.

**Opportunity Spotlight: Standardizing PEI Program Data**

California's prevention and early intervention programs, including those delivered through MHSA and other funding streams, have varied widely in the types of services offered and data collected.<sup>202</sup> Lack of standardization is a key challenge.

Collecting standardized program data on these and like programs could guide statewide investments and best practices in prevention and early intervention services.<sup>203</sup>

Potential metrics could include needs and risk assessment data, timeliness and quality of care, and data across outpatient, inpatient, and emergency services and the cost associated with these services. Others could include recovery-focused, individual-level outcomes related to employment, housing, and family connectedness.<sup>204</sup>

Standardized data also could enhance local behavioral health department's capacity for better supporting underserved populations such as youth, older adults,<sup>205</sup> and marginalized populations. For example, outcome measures could be used determine the effectiveness of cultural or linguistic adaptations of existing programs or to establish a new evidence base for community-defined practices. These data could be used to transform care through training and technical assistance, facilitate services for individuals in real time, and answer program, county, and State-level questions.<sup>206</sup>

**Training and Technical Assistance**

Many of California's prevention partners lack the resources and skills to contribute to a statewide prevention and early intervention strategy. Training and technical assistance are critical steps in addressing these gaps.<sup>207</sup>

Technical assistance is the process of providing an organization or community with focused support that meets resource and development needs.<sup>208</sup> Technical assistance may be delivered in many ways, such as via one-on-one consultation, facilitated small groups, direct technical support, or web-based tools and information.<sup>209</sup> Training, especially when delivered alongside technical assistance, further enhances capacity by helping partners build a knowledge base and technical skillset necessary to implement best practices.

At the local level, training and technical assistance resources can support data collection and community engagement to assist with local needs assessments, regulatory reporting, and program evaluation.<sup>210</sup> Providing informational resources, such as a clearinghouse of evidence-based practices, together with training can promote effective programs and services.<sup>211</sup> Technical assistance also can enhance program capacity by supporting the sharing and coordination of resources, assets, and information.<sup>212</sup>

Training and technical assistance are critical for strengthening the role of partners in non-mental health systems and settings. For example, trainings and resources on best practices for mental health screening, support, and linkage to services, such as those described in Finding 4, can build capacity among non-mental health care providers to detect and respond to mental health needs early and effectively.<sup>213</sup> Training in trauma-informed practices for emergency first responders can help prevent the escalation of a mental health crisis,<sup>214</sup> while training for law enforcement staff can prevent the unnecessary use of force or incarceration when responding to a person experiencing significant mental health challenges.<sup>215</sup> Training and technical assistance in organizations also can promote policies and decisions that are mental-health and trauma-informed.<sup>216</sup>

### **Opportunity Spotlight: Centralized Training and Technical Assistance**

The National Center for Child Traumatic Stress (NCCTS) was created in 2000 to lead a network of national initiatives to raise the standard of care and increase access to services for children and families who have experienced trauma.<sup>217</sup> The NCCTS coordinates and supports the work of providers, family members, researchers, and national partners spanning 286 centers across 48 states.<sup>218</sup> Among its many roles, the NCCTS provides training and technical assistance to build capacity within this national network.<sup>219</sup> Resources include a carefully curated, publicly available online library of effective standardized, trauma-informed clinical interventions. The library houses information about rigorously evaluated treatments for trauma, as well as promising emerging practices.<sup>220</sup> The NCCTS also offers a series of online and in-person trainings that cover a range of topics for varied audiences, from basic trauma education to assessment and intervention techniques for providers.<sup>221</sup> According to the center's website, the NCCTS has trained more than two million professionals in trauma-informed interventions and benefited hundreds of thousands more through community and website resources.<sup>222</sup> The work of the NCCTS also resulted in over 10,000 local and state partnerships, increasing capacity for integrating trauma-informed services among all child-serving systems including schools.<sup>223</sup>

## **RECOMMENDATION ONE**

The State must establish multi-disciplinary leadership, deploy a strategic plan, and build capacity for using data and technical assistance to advance a statewide strategic approach to prevention and early intervention.

This strategic approach must address the core drivers of mental health risk and ensure that resources to promote resilience are distributed appropriately. Equity must be

embedded within all prevention initiatives, with an aim of addressing structural racism and discrimination and other structural and systemic factors that underlie mental health disparities. As part of its strategic plan, the State must build on lessons learned through its robust investments in wellbeing, assessing what can be leveraged and what should be remedied to have the greatest impact on the mental health of Californians. Through ongoing data monitoring and evaluation, technical assistance, public engagement, and transparency, the State can ensure its strategies meet the needs of communities.

**1.1. The California Governor must designate leadership to guide and coordinate planning for state and local multisector prevention and early intervention initiatives, in consultation with a broad coalition of private and public partners. These efforts should:**

a. Establish an executive-level leadership position, division, and/or department dedicated to the development, implementation, and oversight of integrated statewide prevention and early intervention initiatives. This position should facilitate meaningful alliances and collaboration among state and local agencies that have influence over the places where people live, work, play, learn, and age. Economic, employment, health, education, welfare, transportation, immigration, climate change, housing, and criminal justice partners, among others, all should be engaged.

b. Establish a coalition to advise and guide statewide objectives, strategies, and outcomes. Coalition members should represent a broad range of subject matter experts, including people who have experienced mental health challenges, family members of people living with mental health challenges, providers, administrators, researchers, policy makers, and Native and Indigenous peoples and others.

c. Leverage and coordinate the work of existing prevention-focused initiatives in California, such as California's *System of Care* framework serving children and youth in foster care, the Department of Social Services' *Comprehensive Prevention Planning Process* for preventing child abuse, the Department of Aging's *Master Plan for Aging*, the Homeless Coordinating and Financing Council's *Action Plan for Preventing and Ending Homelessness*, California's *ACEs Aware* initiative to address adverse childhood experiences, the Department of Public Health's Office of Suicide Prevention, the *California Reducing Disparities Project*, among others.

**1.2. The State must develop and implement a strategic plan to guide prevention and early intervention investments, evaluation research, capacity building, and related strategies in California. This strategic plan should:**

a. Address the recommendations throughout this report to advance statewide prevention and early intervention, including meeting basic needs, promoting broader

awareness of mental health, increasing screening and other strategies to increase early access to care, and delivering high-quality mental health services and supports.

*b.* Focus on equity and address the structural and systemic factors that create and sustain health and mental health disparities in California. As part of this effort, the plan must set goals and objectives for integrating equity-driven practices into any future State-funded programs and initiatives. These goals and objectives must focus on remediating the disproportionate harm experienced by unserved or underserved populations, including tribal nations, refugees and immigrants, communities experiencing poverty, and other groups historically or currently facing discrimination based on race, ethnicity, culture, gender, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, or ability.

*c.* Require all State-funded programs and agencies, including but not limited to those directly involved in mental health, to develop and deploy strategic equity plans to assess and remediate bias and discrimination within their systems, procedures, and practices. **The State must hold grantees accountable for implementing equity plans including the collection of data to demonstrate how disparities are identified and addressed.**

**1.3. The State must invest in data, training, and technical assistance to enhance its capacity for statewide prevention and early intervention. These efforts should:**

*a.* **Leverage California's robust resources in technology to develop a cutting-edge data infrastructure capable of broad integration of statewide data. This data should be used as a key part of future decision-making at the state and local level. The State must ensure data systems accurately represent California's diverse communities and address any sources of bias and discrimination in the ways data is collected and used in decision making.**

*b.* Monitor data on individual, community, and systems-level indicators of mental health risk; mental health diagnoses; mental health programs and services; outcomes; and other metrics related to mental health and related areas of wellbeing. Data should capture statewide prevalence of and disparities in the social determinants of health and trauma. Data also must measure public mental health awareness, timeliness and quality of screening, and linkage and access to services. Such data will enable the State's prevention leader and strategic prevention partners to develop, monitor, and fortify statewide mental health prevention and early intervention initiatives.

*c.* Gather new data and improve existing data collection to identify gaps in service needs, particularly among marginalized communities. For example, data should be evaluated to ensure that existing law directing use of prevention and early intervention funds does not disadvantage transition-age youth of color, an issue identified by the Commission's Cultural and Linguistic Competency Committee.

d. Ensure robust funding and oversight to bring effective prevention and early intervention initiatives to scale in all communities across the State, beginning with a strategy to leverage MHSA and other public funds earmarked for prevention and early intervention.

e. Define and monitor required standardized data elements reported from publicly funded prevention and early intervention programs. The State should provide funding and technical assistance to support the collection, reporting, and evaluation of this data.

f. Provide incentives, training, technical consultation, and other resources to support the role of external partners in advancing mental health prevention and early intervention. In addition to members of the public, external partners may include providers, administrators, or change agents from non-mental health agencies.

## FINDING TWO

**Unmet basic human needs and trauma exposure drive the risk associated with many mental health needs. These factors will continue to disrupt statewide prevention and early intervention efforts and outcomes unless they are addressed.**

A wide array of personal, environmental, social, and other factors can positively or negatively impact mental health.<sup>224</sup> Prevention strategies should focus on reducing the factors that carry negative impacts while increasing those that protect and improve mental health.<sup>225</sup> Prevention efforts have the greatest impact when they focus on factors that are shared in common by a community or population.<sup>226</sup> In California, such shared risk factors include insufficient access to basic social, economic, and physical health resources. Trauma is another common and dangerous factor threatening the current and future mental health of Californians. Unlike genetic predispositions to mental health challenges, these factors can be modified and represent factors that are foundational to healthy, thriving communities.

### **Drivers of Mental Health Risk**

A complex set of factors shapes the experiences and outcomes that underlie a person's mental health. These factors, related to biology, environment, society, and behavior, can change dramatically over time.<sup>227</sup> Those that increase risks of developing mental health challenges are called *risk factors*. Those that buffer against risk are called *protective factors*.<sup>228</sup> Depending on these factors, a person may be genetically predisposed to a mental health challenge, yet never develop symptoms -- or may be able to manage symptoms with little disruption to their lives. With a different set of

factors, the same person may develop significant symptoms and experience severe negative outcomes.

Examples of common mental health risk factors include social isolation,<sup>229</sup> poor attachment to caregivers, child abuse and neglect, poverty, job loss,<sup>230</sup> mental health stigma, access to substances,<sup>231</sup> and exposure to racism, community or domestic violence, and other forms of trauma.<sup>232</sup> Each of these can be sources of stress or barriers to effective coping.

Protective factors can include access to information and resources, stable employment or income, adequate food and housing, education, health care,<sup>233</sup> feeling connected to and supported by another person, or belonging to a social support network.<sup>234</sup>

Protective factors strengthen coping and resiliency, facilitate social connections, and provide a feeling of control over one's actions and their consequences, all of which improve physical and mental health outcomes.<sup>235</sup>

Risk and protective factors can be as diverse as California's population. However, research and community input have identified key mental health risk factors that remain common across groups: unmet basic needs and exposure to trauma. These risk factors are discussed in this finding along with opportunities and possible solutions to prevent or mitigate them.

## Unmet Basic Needs

The opportunity to be physically and mentally healthy is considered a fundamental human right.<sup>236</sup> The United Nations Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights defines the right to health as the right to *basic needs*, including food and nutrition, housing, safe water, adequate sanitation, safe and healthy working conditions, and a healthy environment.<sup>237</sup> Many experts also consider access to transportation, health care, education, and supportive social relationships as basic human needs.<sup>238</sup>

Research repeatedly has shown that a person deprived of basic needs is at greater risk of experiencing mental health challenges including psychosis,<sup>239</sup> severe depression, and anxiety,<sup>240</sup> as well as physical challenges like diabetes and heart disease.<sup>241</sup> Those who lack basic human needs also have a shorter life expectancy than people with greater social and economic opportunities.<sup>242</sup>

California has made significant investments in addressing the basic needs of its residents. Despite these critical changes to policy and practice, however, many people continue to struggle to meet basic social, economic, and health-related needs.<sup>243</sup> Unmet basic needs disproportionately impact Latinx, Black, Native and indigenous, and refugee communities<sup>244</sup>, as well as caregivers and many rural residents.<sup>245</sup>

*“We live in some of the poorest communities in California. Access to jobs, education, just the social determinants of health – air quality is terrible – those very basic needs aren’t being met, and so it can be a very hopeless and helpless situation for youth. Some of them can leave their communities for better opportunities, but those who can’t can become very desperate and hopeless.” – Participant during a March 8, 2021, Commission public engagement event with residents from Central California*

### **Income and Affordability**

More than one in three California households does not earn sufficient income to meet basic needs, according to a 2021 report by United Ways of California.<sup>246</sup> This number rises to one in two among households with children under age 6.<sup>247</sup> Such deprivation is confounding, given that California has one of the world’s largest economies,<sup>248</sup> ranking first in the U.S. Soaring housing costs are the primary driver, with roughly 4.1 million California households spending more than 30 percent of their income on housing.<sup>249</sup> At the same time, the costs of raising young children are rising, with child-care expenses often exceeding the cost of housing for many families.<sup>250</sup>

### **Health care**

Many Californians have unmet basic health needs due to lack of access to affordable health care.<sup>251</sup> Access to mental health care is even more limited.<sup>252</sup> In a 2019 statewide poll administered by the Kaiser Family Foundation and the California Health care Foundation, mental health care access ranked as the top health priority that Californians wanted the Governor and Legislature to address.<sup>253</sup>

Health care access based on ability to pay is an important driver of health care disparities,<sup>254</sup> as approximately 3 million Californians lack health care insurance.<sup>255</sup> Even those with coverage are not getting the care they need, including mental health care. Many with insurance face high out-of-pocket costs for health care, averaging \$7,545 annually for California families in 2018.<sup>256</sup> Residents of rural and poor communities face additional challenges in accessing health care, as providers and care facilities are scarcer in these areas.<sup>257</sup>

Mental health is one of the largest drivers of health care costs in the United States.<sup>258</sup> According to a White House report, costs associated with mental health services have more than doubled nationally in the last decade,<sup>259</sup> approaching \$280 billion in 2020.<sup>260</sup> At the individual level, people with the most severe mental health challenges shoulder far greater financial burdens than those who are less impacted.<sup>261</sup>

Lack of affordable health coverage takes an enormous toll on a person’s mental and physical health and quality of life.<sup>262</sup> Undetected or poorly managed health care needs contribute to higher rates of illness, higher levels of stress, and shorter life expectancy among people without coverage.<sup>263</sup> Being uninsured carries economic consequences as

well. Illness not only increases the risk of unemployment. It also contributes to financial debt due to medical bills.<sup>264</sup> Regardless of income, adults in the U.S. cite high health care costs and uncertainty about future coverage as major sources of stress, according to the American Psychological Association.<sup>265</sup>

### **Community Disparities**

Ongoing socioeconomic and health care disparities disproportionately impact certain communities. For example, uninsured rates are highest among Latinx, Native,<sup>266</sup> and undocumented Californians.<sup>267</sup> In rural communities, which account for roughly 850,000 Californians, incomes are about 25 percent lower than for the state as a whole.<sup>268</sup> Rural areas also experience above-average unemployment rates.<sup>269</sup> In both rural and urban settings, under-resourced communities also experience disparate deprivation in basic needs such as education, safety, green spaces, proximity to grocery stores, public transportation, and affordable housing.<sup>270</sup>

Healthy aging also has become unaffordable in California. With rising living costs increasingly outpacing average retirement income and social security benefits, people over the age of 65 are at risk of poverty, hunger, and homelessness.<sup>271</sup> An estimated 20 percent of Californians over age 65 currently live in poverty, and residents over the age of 50 are now the fastest growing population of homeless people.<sup>272</sup> This is profound given that older adults are expected to represent one quarter of the state's population by 2030.<sup>273</sup>

In all communities, a massive gap remains between the most impoverished and the most resourced Californians,<sup>274</sup> and the potential for upward socioeconomic mobility<sup>275</sup> has not improved for many communities in the past two decades.<sup>276</sup> According to the Public Policy Institute of California, the gap between high- and low-income households in California continues to grow.<sup>277</sup> Families at the top of the income distribution curve today earn up to 11 times more than those at the bottom.<sup>278</sup> Nationally, California ranks among the top five states with the greatest income inequality. Wealth is distributed even more unevenly than income. Two percent of Californians own 20 percent of the state's total net worth.

Unequal distribution of income and wealth is associated with higher disease and mortality risk in both developing and industrialized countries.<sup>279</sup> Research shows that populations with greater income inequality have a higher prevalence of schizophrenia, depression, anxiety, and substance abuse.<sup>280</sup>

Digital technology is a fundamental need in modern society.<sup>281</sup> The internet has become a critical conduit of social and emotional support for many people, especially those who are underserved, isolated,<sup>282</sup> or have disabilities.<sup>283</sup> During the COVID-19 pandemic, internet-based resources became a lifeline for many people cut off from the places and people they previously relied on for employment, education, and social and emotional

support.<sup>284</sup> Yet disparities in technology access and digital literacy among Californians continue to limit the reach of online resources, especially for those in rural or under-resourced communities.<sup>285</sup> Community members participating in Commission public engagement events underscored that people who cannot afford high-speed internet or digital devices, or who lack the necessary skills to navigate technologies, are excluded from the quickly evolving digital landscape.<sup>286</sup>

## Trauma Exposure

Trauma can have profound and lifelong effects on a person's physical and mental health.<sup>287</sup> Trauma can be experienced in many forms including violence, abuse, or neglect, perceived discrimination, political persecution (such as that experienced by refugees), environmental disasters, or public health crises.<sup>288</sup> Cumulative traumatic experiences can initiate a chronic stress response, known as toxic stress, that may disrupt a person's social, emotional, and cognitive functioning long after the events that caused them.<sup>289</sup> The more severe or frequent the trauma, the higher the risk of toxic stress.<sup>290</sup>

## Childhood Trauma

Children's developing immune and nervous systems make them especially vulnerable to trauma. If not properly addressed, childhood trauma can set the stage for a lifetime of physical and mental health challenges.<sup>291</sup> A subset of traumas experienced before the age of 18 – referred to as *adverse childhood experiences*, or ACEs – have been linked to increased risk of mental health challenges such as depression, anxiety, suicide, and psychosis.<sup>292</sup> Adverse childhood experiences also predict liver disease, heart disease, stroke, smoking, Alzheimer's disease, and dementia.<sup>293</sup> As many as 21 million cases of depression among U.S. adults are attributed to ACEs.<sup>294</sup>

A person with six or more ACEs is expected to die 20 years earlier on average than someone who has none.<sup>295</sup> California's first appointed Surgeon General, Dr. Nadine Burke Harris, identifies adverse childhood experiences as "a root cause of some of the most harmful, persistent, and expensive societal and health challenges facing our world today."<sup>296</sup>

*"The saddest way that trauma impacts communities is that it robs the children of [feeling protected] by their parents and robs the confidence in parents to [protect their children]."*

– Dr. Vilma Reyes, Clinical Supervisor, Director of Training, Associate Director of Community Programs, University of California, San Francisco Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, during an April 22, 2021, Commission public engagement event

Childhood trauma is exceedingly common in California. At least three out of every five Californian adults have experienced at least one adverse childhood experience,<sup>297</sup> with rates even higher in rural areas.<sup>298</sup> Indeed, the fallout of adverse childhood experiences is estimated to cost California more than \$112 billion annually in health care expenses and lost productivity.<sup>299</sup>

Fortunately, adjacent research has shown that children can be insulated from the harm of trauma when they have access to *positive childhood experiences*. Positive childhood experiences broadly refer to advantageous, usually non-monetary, experiences occurring before the age of 18.<sup>300</sup> Examples include feeling safe, protected, accepted, and supported by parents and family members, the ability to talk openly with parents or caregivers, and healthy household routines.<sup>301</sup> When children don't have access to such experiences in their home, they can still benefit from positive experiences in other settings.<sup>302</sup> Examples include feeling supported by friends or neighbors, having a sense of belonging and connection with a larger group such as in school, church, and clubs, participation in community or cultural traditions, and having at least one positive relationship with a non-parent adult.<sup>303</sup> The extent to which a child has access to any of these experiences is dependent on the health of their household and community.<sup>304</sup> Conditions such as poverty, violence, and deprivation, therefore, can interfere with the protective benefit of positive childhood experiences.

## Poverty

Poverty and trauma are intertwined. Severe poverty on its own can be a form of trauma,<sup>305</sup> impacting a person's body and brain in ways similar to physical abuse and neglect.<sup>306</sup> At the same time, poverty and severe deprivation set the stage for further trauma.<sup>307</sup> People living in poor areas, on average, experience higher rates of crime, violence, and stressors in their communities and homes.<sup>308</sup> Overall, children living in poor households experience more ACEs than their peers.<sup>309</sup> People in poorer communities also may have fewer resources to cope and heal from traumatic experiences, increasing the risk that they will experience long-term effects of trauma.<sup>310</sup>

This reality was shared by a trauma survivor during a Commission engagement event. The survivor described the struggle of meeting her mental health needs as a parent on a limited income. "If I don't have child care [or transportation] to go to my counseling appointment, then I'm not getting counseling," the community member said. "If I'm too busy making sure that I have food in my fridge and the rent is paid [...] I'm going to prioritize feeding my child and making sure my child has somewhere to sleep before I'm going to prioritize a potential mental health [need] that might happen in the future."

Poverty also threatens the mental health of long-term caregivers and those in their care.<sup>311</sup> The estimated 6.7 million Californians who provide long-term care for a friend or family member are foundational to the state's long-term services and supports

infrastructure. According to a 2018 report by California's Task Force on Family Caregiving, the combined economic value of these unpaid caregiving contributions<sup>312</sup> surpasses the entire Medi-Cal budget.<sup>313</sup> The report also points to the challenges California's caregivers face in balancing employment and caregiving, accessing culturally relevant and competent services, paying for supportive services, and attending to their own health and wellbeing.<sup>314</sup> Together these challenges place caregivers at significantly greater risk of stress, burnout, poverty, and poorer physical and mental health.<sup>315</sup>

### **Wildfires and other Large-Scale Adversities**

In addition to individual and generational traumas, trauma can be shared by communities.<sup>316</sup> *Community trauma* can result from natural disasters, acts of violence such as mass shootings, or systemic adversities that impact populations such as structural racism, discrimination, and socioeconomic disparities.<sup>317</sup> Symptoms of community trauma include severed social networks, a low sense of political efficacy, deteriorating living environments, neighborhood violence, and intergenerational poverty.<sup>318</sup> Decades of research indicates that each incident of large-scale adversity increases mental health risks for exposed individuals, ranging from short-term anxiety to longer-term depression and post-traumatic stress disorder.<sup>319</sup> Cumulatively, large-scale adversity weakens a community, strips its resilience, and threatens the collective pursuit of healing and wellness.<sup>320</sup>

Californians have endured an unprecedented number of community traumas over the last decade. As this report is being written, communities statewide still grapple with the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic while simultaneously confronting national and global political and social unrest, severe drought, massive wildfires, and a possible economic recession.<sup>321</sup>

Thousands of Californians have lost their homes, livelihoods, and communities due to wildfires. Many have lost their lives.<sup>322</sup> As wildfires continue across the state, many health experts are concerned about the mental health impacts of these traumatic events.<sup>323</sup> In one recent study, researchers from the University California San Diego found that six months after the devastating 2018 Camp Fire in Butte County, Northern California residents experienced increased post-traumatic stress disorder, depression, and anxiety.<sup>324</sup> Mental health risk increased with proximity to the fire and was greatest among people with a history of childhood trauma.<sup>325</sup> Resilience was greatest among those with strong social supports and those who engaged in mindfulness coping practices.<sup>326</sup>

Many impacted by wildfire are already on the margins of poverty and deprivation<sup>327</sup> and lack the means to replace lost homes, vehicles, and other basic needs.<sup>328</sup> At the same time, skyrocketing home insurance costs in designated high-risk fire zones are

exacerbating disparities in housing affordability.<sup>329</sup> Without immediate and bold interventions, climate researchers expect the incidence and severity of wildfires to increase dramatically over the next few decades.<sup>330</sup> Disparities in exposure and vulnerability to wildfire mean that some Californians are subjected to disproportionate – yet preventable – mental health risk.<sup>331</sup>

## Best Practices and Promising Solutions

Prevention is most effective when it includes a combination of strategies to reduce risk and build resilience for individuals, families, and communities.<sup>332</sup> Larger and more sustainable improvements will be achieved when strategies move upstream to target broad, overlapping social, economic, environmental, and systemic barriers to wellbeing.<sup>333</sup>

In addition to broad solutions, direct services and supports are equally important for people who are at greater mental health risk. Vulnerable populations include children in poor households, isolated older adults, and people with disabilities and their caregivers.<sup>334</sup> Many of the strategies coincide. For example, reducing poverty can improve access to basic needs like housing,<sup>335</sup> reduce violence and the risk of child abuse,<sup>336</sup> and improve a community's ability to recover financially and emotionally from acute adversities,<sup>337</sup> such as wildfires. Below are key opportunities for addressing some of California's core drivers of mental health risk, while building its resilience.

*“[We must] address the economic and social barriers that contribute to poor mental health for young people, families, and caregivers [...] priorities should include reducing child poverty and ensuring access to quality child care, early childhood services, and education; healthy food; affordable health care; stable housing; and safe neighborhoods.” – U.S. Surgeon General's 2021 National Advisory Report on youth mental health*

### Meet and Exceed Basic Needs

Reducing disparities in basic needs is critical to upstream, population-based mental health prevention.<sup>338</sup> Access to and affordability of health care for physical and mental health challenges and substance use disorders is a fundamental basic need of all Californians. Reliable, high-quality child care for young children also is a critical need for all communities. Strategies to increase basic needs include ensuring people have access to livable wages, healthy and affordable food, adequate housing, transportation, and internet access, among others. Communities also must be safe and have clean air and water.<sup>339</sup>

## Health Care without Hardship

Universal health coverage that includes mental health coverage is among the targets set by the World Health Organizations<sup>340</sup> and United Nations<sup>341</sup> to achieve sustainable development around the globe. WHO defines universal health coverage as ensuring that all individuals and communities receive the health services they need without suffering financial hardship.<sup>342</sup> It defines health services as the “full spectrum of essential, quality health services, from health promotion to prevention, treatment, rehabilitation, and palliative care, across the life course.”<sup>343</sup>

With universal health coverage, all people can access the physical and mental health care services they need, when and where they need them, independent of their housing, employment, or financial status.<sup>344</sup> While there are multiple approaches to achieving universal health coverage, paths generally include some combination of public and private insurance.<sup>345</sup> Because uninsured people are more likely to depend on emergency care rather than preventive or intervention services, providing these individuals with insurance also reduces strains on emergency services and saves money.<sup>346</sup>

### Opportunity Spotlight: Universal Health Coverage

Implementing universal health coverage can incur substantial startup costs, but research suggests money<sup>347</sup> – and lives – would be saved beginning in the first year. Recent analyses suggest California could save up to \$500 billion<sup>348</sup> in health care costs in the first decade following rollout. Additional savings could be realized if California were to leverage its substantial power as a buyer of prescription medications, the cost of which are currently a substantial stressor for many Californians, especially older adults. Further, depending on the model of universal health coverage, businesses could benefit financially. The cost of providing health insurance currently represents up to a fifth of payroll costs for businesses.<sup>349</sup>

Californians’ health also would improve. Worldwide, universal health coverage is associated with reduced mortality.<sup>350</sup> Some estimates suggest that as many as 4,000 Californian lives would be saved each year if universal health coverage were achieved.<sup>351</sup>

Universal health coverage would accelerate California’s capacity to address some of its greatest mental and physical health disparities and prevent the physical, emotional, and financial toll of physical and mental health crises.<sup>352</sup>

## End Poverty

Reducing poverty will decrease trauma and improve mental health outcomes across the lifespan for current and future generations of Californians.<sup>353</sup> Approaches involving

direct financial support for families in poverty, such as child tax credits and guaranteed income programs, show promise for reducing financial stressors, improving caregiver and child mental health, and preventing conditions linked to child maltreatment.<sup>354</sup>

Reducing poverty also can help children develop to their full potential. For example, in a recent large-scale U.S. clinical trial examining the effects of guaranteed income for new mothers, researchers observed improved brain activity in regions critical for cognitive skill development in young children whose mothers received monthly cash stipends of \$333 for one year.<sup>355</sup> The effect was not seen in a comparison group of children whose mothers received a nominal \$20 monthly payment.<sup>356</sup>

Advocates of income-based programs stress that such approaches are not intended as a panacea for economic disparities. Rather, the approaches should be implemented alongside strategies to improve equity in social and economic domains by helping disadvantaged individuals and communities acquire and retain wealth and achieve economic mobility.<sup>357</sup>

Among California's efforts to address its growing poverty crisis, guaranteed income programs have shown promise not only in reducing economic challenges, but also in improving overall wellbeing.<sup>358</sup> For example, a preliminary evaluation of California's first basic income pilot program in the city of Stockton showed that residents who received \$500 per month reported significant reductions in depression and anxiety along with improvements in subjective wellbeing after one year of participation.<sup>359</sup> Though promising, more research is needed to assess the effectiveness and feasibility of large-scale implementation of guaranteed income programs in California.

### **Opportunity Spotlight: Investments in Child Care**

High quality, low-cost child care during the first five years of a child's life shows promise for helping families overcome poverty.<sup>360</sup> By allowing parents to remain in the workforce, child care not only reduces economic stress and risk of child maltreatment. It also buffers against the harmful effects of poverty and trauma by providing nurturing and supportive environments for children.<sup>361</sup> Children from low-income homes who receive high-quality child care before age 5 exhibit better social and cognitive development compared to their peers without child care.<sup>362</sup> To be effective, child care must be high quality, affordable, and available to diverse cultural and linguistic populations.<sup>363</sup>

A recent report by researchers at the University of California, Berkeley, underscores the need for California to increase investments in high-quality child care for the growing number of families in need.<sup>364</sup> The researchers found that licensing and business costs, low wages, and high staff turnover are among the most important capacity barriers for publicly supported child care programs – barriers that could be addressed with increased financial support.<sup>365</sup>

Such investments yield profound dividends. For each dollar invested, the State realizes two dollars in child-care workforce spending and income tax revenue alone, according to the Berkeley report.<sup>366</sup> Further economic benefits derive from increased workforce participation and productivity among parents and higher salaries for women.<sup>367</sup> Such estimates do not include the financial impacts of projected lifetime improvements in outcomes for the 4.2 million California children with working parents.<sup>368</sup>

### **Build Healthy and Resilient Communities**

While addressing broad disparities in basic social and economic needs is critical for prevention, also needed are investments to build healthy, safe, and supportive communities that promote mental health resilience.<sup>369</sup> Building resilient communities is increasingly important in a state confronting wildfire, drought, pandemic infection, economic swings, and other emerging and ongoing crises that disrupt mental health.<sup>370</sup>

Evidence has shown that resilience is greater in communities that promote physical activity, civic participation, social engagement, and other healthy coping behaviors.<sup>371</sup> Communities as a whole become more resilient when diverse groups and institutions are united by a shared sense of participation, co-operation, and inclusivity.<sup>372</sup>

Research on healthy aging makes clear that being socially and physically active leads to better health and quality of life.<sup>373</sup> These benefits are not just physical, but also have a profound effect on a person's mental and cognitive wellbeing.<sup>374</sup> For example, staying physically<sup>375</sup> and socially<sup>376</sup> active can prevent dementia and depression for older adults.

At any age, being socially engaged plays a critical role in fostering self-confidence and belonging, reduce isolation, and help people access information and resources to sustain their physical and mental health.<sup>377</sup> Supportive relationships in the home, school, and community are especially important for promoting resilience against trauma. Resilience also is enhanced when people have opportunities to engage in activities that align with their cultures and beliefs.<sup>378</sup>

Evidence-informed strategies to increase community resilience include building public green spaces, parks, and safe walkable and bikeable paths that are accessible to people of all ages and abilities.<sup>379</sup> Other important community interventions include investments in recreational and community centers for both young people and older adults, public schools, libraries, and high-quality child care.<sup>380</sup> For these and other approaches, community participation is critical to identify local needs and lead local solutions.<sup>381</sup>

As people and communities become more reliant on remote and web-based platforms to support their mental health and wellbeing, the need for technology becomes more urgent.<sup>382</sup> Public investments in high-speed internet and digital devices can address

access barriers, but must be supplemented with efforts to improve digital literacy, especially in non-English speaking and underserved communities.<sup>383</sup> Gov. Newsom in 2021 took an important step toward bridging the “digital divide” when he signed legislation to advance a \$6 billion plan to increase access to high-speed internet for all Californians.<sup>384</sup> This plan will expand broadband throughout unserved and underserved communities of the state, with formal leadership to oversee the investment.<sup>385</sup>

### **Opportunity Spotlight: California Opportunity Zones**

Economic development approaches that show promise for building resilient communities include leveraging investments in “Opportunity Zones” – federally designated, economically distressed census areas where new investments may be eligible for preferential federal tax treatment or preferential consideration for federal grants and programs.<sup>386</sup> California Opportunity Zones, largely facilitated by the Governor’s Office of Business and Economic Development, support new investments in local businesses, environmental justice programs, sustainability, climate change mitigation, and affordable housing.<sup>387</sup>

Northern California’s Humboldt County is using its Opportunity Zone to revitalize the Port of Humboldt Bay.<sup>388</sup> This area, once a vital local resource, was neglected and underutilized following years of economic downturn and the demise of the local logging industry.<sup>389</sup> Steady increases in poverty, substance use, homelessness, and unaddressed mental health challenges ensued.<sup>390</sup> In partnership with local community members, industries, and Cal Poly Humboldt, the County developed a strategic plan to transform the port and surrounding community into a hub for employment and tourism.<sup>391</sup> Elements of the plan include enhancing green energy infrastructure, increasing affordable housing, fostering small business entrepreneurship, and improving access to health care and child care.<sup>392</sup> These and similar efforts are examples of primary mental prevention as they foster mental health resiliency. They can be leveraged to support other struggling communities across California.<sup>393</sup>

### **Place-based Supports for Parents, Caregivers, and Educators**

Strategies that support children, families, and caregivers are critical to prevent trauma, stress, and other physical and mental health challenges.<sup>394</sup> These strategies help to promote resilience across the lifespan for both caregivers and those for whom they care.<sup>395</sup>

*“We have an evidence base for prevention of poor outcomes for young children. It includes nurturing attachment with all adults in the young child’s life, providing parents and caregivers knowledge of child development, supporting social connections between families, concrete resources for parents to address the direct impacts of poverty, and supporting social-emotional development for children. The biggest barrier to all of these*

*is a lack of dedicated resources, resources that the Prevention and Early Intervention fund can and should provide.” – Participant during a March 3, 2021, Commission public engagement event with residents from Los Angeles*

### **Supports for Parents and Primary Caregivers**

Parents or caregivers of young children play a critical yet often-underrecognized role in promoting the wellbeing of a population, as do those who provide long-term care for a child or adult with significant disabilities or medical needs.<sup>396</sup> These caregivers can better meet the physical and emotional needs of their loved ones when their own physical and emotional needs are met.<sup>397</sup> When caregivers’ physical and mental health needs are met, they become less likely to experience mental health challenges or develop substance use disorders. Importantly, they also become less likely to engage in elder or child abuse or neglect.<sup>398</sup> Addressing the tremendous physical, emotional, and economic challenges that parents and primary caregivers experience therefore can reduce the risk, harm, and transmission of trauma and mental health challenges across generations.<sup>399</sup>

#### **Opportunity Spotlight: Two-generation, family-centered services for parents and caregivers**

Two-generation, family-centered services in the home aim to address the needs of parents or caregivers and their children simultaneously. Decades of evidence demonstrates that home visits by a nurse, early childhood educator, or other trained provider during pregnancy and in the first few years of a child’s life significantly improve outcomes for children and families alike. Generally, this approach delivers in-home services that teach parenting skills, strengthen adult–child attachment, and improve bonding.<sup>400</sup>

One nationally recognized home-visiting program, the Nurse Family Partnership (NFP), involves regular visits from trained nurses who support first-time parents and their families beginning in pregnancy and extending through a child’s second birthday.<sup>401</sup> Nurse Family Partnership and similar programs are supported by decades of evidence demonstrating measurable, long-lasting physical and mental health benefits for parents, families, and children.<sup>402</sup> Caregivers and children who receive in-home services demonstrate improved emotional regulation, lower levels of stress, reduced family conflict, and stronger social bonding, all of which protect against long-term mental health risk.<sup>403</sup> Children who benefit from these programs grow up less likely to maltreat their own children, engage in intimate partner violence, commit crimes, or develop substance use disorders.<sup>404</sup>

The Parents as Teachers Evidence-Based Home Visiting Model offers another example of a comprehensive home-visiting education approach.<sup>405</sup> Community-based "parent

educators” deliver services and supports to families with children from the prenatal period through kindergarten. Parent educators support parent-child interaction, development-centered parenting, and family wellbeing. Outcomes include increased parent knowledge of early childhood development, stronger parenting skills, earlier detection of developmental delays and health challenges, reduced child abuse and neglect, and enhanced school readiness and success.<sup>406</sup>

Adapting programs such as NFP to support long-term caregivers, including those caring for people with disabilities or older adults, could improve the wellbeing of caregivers and those they care for, prevent the escalation of needs, and promote wellbeing for generations now and in the future.<sup>407</sup>

### **Supports for Providers and Educators**

Settings outside the home, such as child-care centers and schools, are foundational for a child’s health and development. Teachers, child-care providers, and facility staff play an important role in supporting a child’s mental health and development, identifying potential problems, and linking children to care.<sup>408</sup> A child-care provider or teacher’s ability to distinguish between what is typical, age-appropriate behavior and what indicates a potential mental health need or developmental delay can make an important difference in initiating early intervention, which is critical for optimal long-term outcomes and cost savings.<sup>409</sup> With the right information and tools, teachers and child-care providers can help to prevent or mitigate challenging behaviors through developmentally appropriate supports and trauma-informed approaches.<sup>410</sup> Programs that use mental health specialists to support providers and educators, such as Early Childhood Mental Health Consultation programs, can improve the care and outcomes for young children.<sup>411</sup>

### **Opportunity Spotlight: Early Childhood Mental Health Consultation**

Early Childhood Mental Health Consultation (ECMHC) is an evidence-based approach that helps parents, teachers, and child-care providers better support the social and emotional needs of young children.<sup>412</sup> In this model, mental health professionals trained in early childhood development are paired with adults who care for infants and young children in a variety of settings, such as child-care centers, preschools, and the home.<sup>413</sup> Children who benefit from these services experience improved social skills and emotional regulation, healthier relationships, and reductions in challenging behaviors and school expulsions.<sup>414</sup> Staff and providers receiving ECMHC support report improved sensitivity and understanding of children’s emotional needs and feel more confident and capable in supporting those needs.<sup>415</sup> The program also reduces staff turnover and enhances a culture of wellbeing in early childhood settings.<sup>416</sup>

California has recently made steps to expand statewide use of infant and early childhood mental health (IECMH) programs. For example, Assembly Bill 2698 (Rubio, 2018)<sup>417</sup> allows subsidized early child-care and education programs to use State funds for staffing and other costs associated with consultation services.<sup>418</sup> Additionally, the 2021–2022 State budget included a \$10 million investment in ECMHC over two years.<sup>419</sup> This investment represents an opportunity to apply mental health consultation in more early childhood settings.<sup>420</sup>

## RECOMMENDATION TWO

The State’s strategic approach to prevention and early intervention must directly address basic needs and trauma exposure and bolster resilience for individuals, families, and communities.

California’s prevention and early intervention approach must prioritize the reduction of disparities in social, economic, and health care needs. It must invest in healthy and sustainable local environments and communities that can withstand future threats, such as wildfires and pandemics, that impact mental health and wellbeing. California also must enhance direct supports for people who are at greatest risk of mental health challenges, including children, dependent adults, and caregivers.

**2.1. The State, with its local public and private partners, must take bold action to ensure every Californian has access to basic social, economic, and health care needs. Meeting these needs is fundamental to health and wellbeing. These actions should include:**

- a. Engaging private and public partners at the state and local level in a thorough assessment of existing public investments to meet the basic needs of Californians. These basic needs include employment, housing, education, health care, safety, food, transportation, and access to high-speed internet and communications devices. The assessment should identify how to address disparities in these domains.
- b. Setting a policy goal to achieve universal health care in California by 2030. Toward this end, the State should continue to expand access to care through Medi-Cal, Covered California, parity legislation, and commercial insurance systems to create a unified health care delivery system available to every Californian.
- c. Assessing the long-term effectiveness of promising strategies to reduce childhood poverty and the feasibility of scaling such strategies to serve more California families with young children. Promising strategies include California’s guaranteed income pilot programs, universal basic income, and enhanced child income-tax credits.

*d.* Setting a statewide goal to achieve universal access to child care. Sustainable, well-financed, high-quality child-care services must be available for all infants and children through age 5. The State must develop a strategy to reduce cost barriers for families while ensuring livable wages and health benefits for providers. Also needed are incentives to reduce business operations and licensing costs for independent and State-run child-care facilities.

**2.2. The State must work with local partners to promote inclusive, safe, and nurturing community environments that promote healthy lifestyles, social cohesion, and resilience in the face of societal or environmental threats to mental health and wellbeing. These efforts should include:**

*a.* Strategies to enhance socioeconomic mobility and increase opportunities to build generational wealth in historically and persistently disadvantaged communities. Strategies should include investments to build viable and sustainable local economies by increasing opportunities for higher education, home ownership, and small and large business development, while broadening career pathways for a variety of skillsets, including for people with disabilities.

*b.* Partnerships between state and local leaders and local development agencies to increase community access to open green spaces, parks, bikeable and walkable paths, cultural and recreational facilities, and other spaces that encourage healthy physical and social activities. State and local leaders also must collaborate with schools, businesses, health care entities, and other institutions and community organizations to ensure that policies and environments in these settings promote healthy behaviors and accommodate a variety of needs and abilities.

*c.* Collaboration with environmental and climate-mitigation agencies to strengthen resilience – including mental health resilience – to the increasingly severe and prevalent climate-related events that confront the state. This effort should include strategies to buffer against the direct and indirect physical, emotional, and economic consequences of wildfires and other climate-related threats such as air pollution, drought, floods, and sea-level rise, among others.

**2.3. As a strategy to reduce trauma and promote mental health across the lifespan, the State must explore opportunities to support parents, primary caregivers, educators, and others who care for children, people with disabilities, aging adults, and other vulnerable groups. These efforts should:**

*a.* Ensure effective care is available in settings shown to be most appropriate and effective for vulnerable groups such as in the home, community settings, child-care facilities, and schools. This effort must include supports for parents, caregivers, teachers, and others who provide care.

b. Leverage State investments in child behavioral health to build on promising programs that help adults better understand and support the social, emotional, and developmental needs of children. This should include expanding in-home services for parents and caregivers such as Nurse Family Partnerships, Parents as Teachers, and other best practices.

c. Leverage State investments in Early Childhood Mental Health Consultation services to reach more caregivers and providers of children in multiple settings, including daycare facilities, schools, and child welfare settings, among others.

d. Expand best practices to help parents, caregivers, providers, and educators better understand and support the needs of children or adults with disabilities in their home, community, and in school settings.

e. Develop a strategy to ensure California's older adults are appropriately cared for as they age with resources and supports that are financially viable, broadly accessible, and reflective of best practices for prolonging quality of life, such as promoting independence and maximizing time spent in a person's or family member's home. This effort must include strategies for supporting the social, health, and financial needs of family or relational caregivers for this growing population.

## FINDING THREE

Strategies to increase public awareness and knowledge of mental health often are small and sporadic, while harmful misconceptions surrounding mental health challenges persist. Mass media and social media reinforce these misconceptions.

The World Health Organization defines health promotion as “the process of enabling people to increase control over, and to improve, their health.”<sup>421</sup> Enhancing people's basic knowledge and awareness of health is central to this process.<sup>422</sup> Health awareness not only promotes healthy decisions and behaviors among individuals, but also promotes the health of a whole population, as awareness spreads across families, communities, and systems.<sup>423</sup> Public health partners have made significant investments in information and education campaigns to prevent or mitigate many leading threats to physical health, from tobacco use to an unhealthy diet.<sup>424</sup> Yet comparable investments have yet to be made in the mental health arena.<sup>425</sup> Limited understanding and awareness of what constitutes mental health and what is meant by mental illness contribute to stigma, misperceptions, and discrimination.<sup>426</sup> Lack of awareness impedes access to care, and drives negative outcomes that disproportionately impact those in underserved communities.<sup>427</sup>

## Barriers to Mental Health Awareness

*Mental health awareness* refers to a person's knowledge and perceptions of what mental health is, why it matters, how mental health challenges are prevented, and when and where individuals can receive support.<sup>428</sup> As with knowledge about physical health, mental health awareness can be strengthened. Doing so can help people manage their own mental health needs and reduce the need for clinical intervention.<sup>429</sup> Improving mental health can be as simple as engaging in healthy behaviors to manage stress, strengthening social connections, and seeking support from those with similar experiences.<sup>430</sup> People also can seek out information to help them understand and manage new and emerging mental health challenges, whether their own or those of another person, including how to navigate complex systems of care.<sup>431</sup>

Improving public awareness is fundamental to mental health promotion. Stigma and lack of knowledge remain significant barriers to improving the mental health of Californians. These challenges are discussed below, followed by promising solutions to enhance statewide mental health awareness.

### Stigma

Negative perceptions and beliefs – or *stigma* – surrounding mental health challenges can prevent or delay accessing support. Vice Admiral Jerome M. Adams, MD, MPH, who served as U.S. Surgeon General from 2017-2021, is among the many experts who regard stigma as a leading obstacle to acknowledging and supporting the mental health needs of Americans.<sup>432</sup> “I advocate daily to eradicate stigma, whether related to a physical or mental health condition, substance misuse, socioeconomic status or other causes,” Dr. Adams said in his 2020 commentary on mental health promotion, “I encourage everyone to do the same. Stigma keeps people in the shadows. It keeps people from getting help. But by opening up and sharing our stories, and by seeking support when we need it, we can shatter stigma and all that it represents. The single most important thing we can do to promote mental health, is to talk openly and often about it, and encourage those with mental health symptoms to seek care!”<sup>433</sup>

Fear, denial, and shame affect not just those who experience mental health challenges. Too often they also shape the attitudes of health care providers, teachers, employers, and others.<sup>434</sup> Stigma can delay or prevent the early identification of mental health needs.<sup>435</sup> It also can impede appropriate management of mental health crises, resulting in delayed care, increased fear, and excessive use of force or restraint.<sup>436</sup>

Mental health stigma is a primary concern among many California communities. In a 2015 survey of more than 1,000 California adults with a probable mental health challenge, 81 percent of those surveyed said they believed people with mental health

needs are likely to experience prejudice and discrimination, and two-thirds said they felt the need to hide their mental health challenges from peers and family members.<sup>437</sup>

*“Mental health is something that everyone has as an inner and interpersonal experience with. The stigma that ‘mental illness’ is a negative thing and something to be ashamed about is a consistent barrier and obstacle.” – Participant during the Commission’s February 22, 2021, public engagement event with Bay Area residents*

Stigma arose frequently during project public events. As one participant from the Bay Area stated during the Commission’s February 22, 2021, event, “the stigma that ‘mental illness’ is a negative thing and something to be ashamed about is a consistent barrier and obstacle.”

Stigma and discrimination directed against those with mental health challenges in the workplace surfaced as a top concern among the almost 300 employee and employer representatives who participated in the Commission’s May 27, 2020, event to support its Workplace Mental Health initiative.<sup>438</sup> Especially harmful are implicit biases that manifest in hiring practices, paid leave decisions, or job protection policies.<sup>439</sup>

Stigma-related barriers disproportionately impact certain communities in California. In the 2013-14 California Health Interview survey conducted by the UCLA Center for Health Policy Research, Latinx and Asian American adults reported more negative beliefs about mental health challenges compared with non-Hispanic white adults.<sup>440</sup> At the same time, they were less likely to have received mental health services during the previous year.<sup>441</sup>

Members of diverse communities reinforced the harm of stigma during the Commission’s 2020 public engagement events.<sup>442</sup> Participants described how fear of experiencing discrimination based on their mental health challenges, amplified by the discrimination they already experienced because of their race or identity, deterred them from seeking mental health support. The issue is particularly acute in communities with a strong mistrust of health care systems or whose cultures, languages, or health practices contrast with Western models of mental health care.<sup>443</sup>

## Information and Education

Limited mental health information and education<sup>444</sup> prevent many Californians from supporting their own mental health needs or the needs of someone for whom they care.<sup>445</sup> Misconceptions and lack of knowledge regarding early signs and symptoms of a new or worsening mental health challenge are especially problematic, contributing to unnecessary delays in accessing care and increased risks of negative and sometimes dangerous outcomes.<sup>446</sup> For example, exaggerated depictions of mental illness in the

media may lead people to overlook subtle changes in mood, behavior, or sleep patterns that can signal a potentially serious problem.<sup>447</sup>

*“When I had my ‘break,’ I knew that there was something going on, [...] but had no idea what mental health was. And the only concept I had of mental illness was how it was portrayed in the media. I had no idea how to connect the dots until it was too late. [...] Had I known where to go, it would have saved years of my life.” – Participant during the Commission’s March 3, 2021, public engagement event with residents from Los Angeles*

Warning signs can be even more nuanced among youth and are therefore more likely to be undetected or misdiagnosed.<sup>448</sup> Studies confirm that severe mental health challenges identified during adulthood often are preceded by milder symptoms that did not warrant clinical recognition at the time.<sup>449</sup> Despite growing evidence of mental health challenges in youth, providers and family members who are misinformed or poorly educated are causing delays in detection and intervention,<sup>450</sup> and reinforcing negative stigmas.<sup>451</sup> Mental health signs and symptoms also may present differently depending on a person’s culture, sometimes in ways that don’t align with clinical diagnostic norms.<sup>452</sup>

Community members participating in the Commission’s public engagement events described how the absence of culturally and linguistically responsive mental health information and resources disproportionately impacts many Californians. For example, members of certain immigrant populations and LGBTQ+ individuals often lack knowledge about available services, how to access them, and what rights they have regarding nondiscriminatory care.<sup>453</sup> They also may be less able to identify and communicate their mental health needs, especially if they are non-English speakers or hold misperceptions of mental illness.<sup>454</sup> One participant from Californian’s Central Region talked about the refugee experience during a Commission public engagement event. “Refugees ... escaping war ... may not have the language or the tools or the resources to understand the ways in which their behaviors are related to post-traumatic stress disorder,” the participant said. “Normalizing those conversations, giving them the resources, is key.”

## **Best Practices and Promising Solutions**

Improving mental health knowledge and awareness requires multifaceted approaches.<sup>455</sup> Providing the right information and resources can empower Californians to play a more active role in supporting their own mental health and that of others in their care.<sup>456</sup> Key opportunities to improve mental health awareness include broad dissemination of public information<sup>457</sup> and resources, alongside mental health training<sup>458</sup>

and education.<sup>459</sup> Such strategies should include improving knowledge of mental health disparities and the structures and systems that reinforce such disparities.<sup>460</sup>

Mental health awareness initiatives also help to reduce stigma, normalize help-seeking behavior, and provide tools for managing emotional health.<sup>461</sup> Done effectively, these approaches can empower people to make healthy decisions and take positive actions to promote their mental wellbeing. Such decisions may include deciding to seek out professional help when it is needed. Positive actions may include successfully navigating service systems.<sup>462</sup> Enhancing public awareness also informs policy decisions<sup>463</sup> that impact the mental health of people in communities and in organizations.<sup>464</sup>

Regardless of the intended audience, strategies to improve awareness are most effective when they are developmentally, culturally, and linguistically responsive and when they are informed by people with similar backgrounds or experiences.<sup>465</sup>

*“What seems to be needed is a lot more education for the public so that we can learn how to spot mental health needs and how to handle those needs. Our communities need more mental health awareness.” – Participant during the Commission’s April 5, 2021, public engagement event*

## Enhancing Public Awareness

Broad public awareness strategies are common in public health promotion and should be used on a similar scale to promote mental health awareness.<sup>466</sup> Large-scale public campaigns,<sup>467</sup> community outreach,<sup>468</sup> and technology-based resources<sup>469</sup> are effective tools for disseminating facts, changing perceptions, and giving people the tools they need to be healthy. The COVID-19 pandemic provides a recent example of the critical role that public information plays in empowering people to safeguard their health.<sup>470</sup> Multiple mediums were used to disseminate and reinforce information about vaccination and other protective measures, and to combat misinformation.<sup>471</sup>

Public health awareness strategies are most effective when they are designed for diverse audiences across age groups, cultures, languages, and geographic areas.<sup>472</sup> They also must adapt over time to incorporate emerging media technology and changes in social norms.<sup>473</sup>

## Public Campaigns

Public health campaigns can have a significant impact on health knowledge and perceptions.<sup>474</sup> Successful previous campaigns have helped to combat stigma and raise awareness of AIDs,<sup>475</sup> promote breast self-exams and mammograms, and encourage tobacco cessation. Such campaigns provide a template for reaching both the general population as well as specific communities.

For example, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) in 1994 launched the Back to Sleep campaign, later renamed Safe to Sleep.<sup>476</sup> The campaign sought to reduce deaths from Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS) by encouraging parents and caregivers to put infants to sleep on their backs.<sup>477</sup> It followed research in the late 1980s and early 1990s that linked SIDS with stomach sleeping.<sup>478</sup> Nationwide public awareness campaigns ranged from public service announcements to partnerships with large companies to include messaging on infant-related product packaging.<sup>479</sup> Respected public figures, including then- second lady Tipper Gore, helped to raise the campaign's visibility.<sup>480</sup> Experts credit the effort with preventing thousands of infant deaths,<sup>481</sup> even as work continues to reach the highest-risk infants with adapted messaging and updated science.<sup>482</sup>

Public information campaigns also can promote mental health. A 2019 study by the RAND Corporation demonstrated the potential of comprehensive social marketing strategies to enhance mental health awareness and services use.<sup>483</sup> In the study, California residents with a probable mental health challenge were assessed following exposure to a statewide stigma reduction campaign.<sup>484</sup> The researchers found that people exposed to the campaign reported feeling less stigma and making greater use of mental health services compared to those who were not exposed.<sup>485</sup> The researchers also found that people were more likely to access mental health services if they believed that recovery was possible and felt capable of interpreting symptoms.<sup>486</sup> Despite such potential, however, mental health campaigns often are short-lived and may fail to reach diverse audiences.<sup>487</sup>

Public health campaigns focused on early warning signs have potential. These can arm people with the information they need to quickly and accurately identify and act on their own mental health needs or those of someone they know or for whom they care.<sup>488</sup> In fact, recognizing subtle changes in behavior or functioning can prevent a potential mental health crisis or relapse.<sup>489</sup> Community members participating in Commission public engagement events repeatedly emphasized the need to improve mental health awareness to equip people and providers with information to identify the early signs of mental health challenges.

#### **Opportunity Spotlight: Knowing the Signs Saves Lives**

Improving awareness of early of signs of existing or potential mental health challenges is important at any age, especially for young people. Despite common beliefs that symptoms emerge during adulthood, evidence suggests otherwise. Symptoms of anxiety, for example, can emerge as early as age 6, behavior disorders by age 11, mood disorders by age 13, and substance use disorders by age 15.<sup>490</sup> **Other mental health challenges such personality disorders and psychosis also can emerge during youth through early adulthood.**<sup>491</sup>

Too often, however, signs and symptoms of mental health challenges in youth go undetected and generally are not recognized until adulthood by which time they have become more severe and debilitating.<sup>492</sup> Lack of knowledge of early signs of mental health challenges, together with lack of awareness of the importance of early intervention, contribute to delays in diagnosis.<sup>493</sup> Fear, stigma, and misperceptions among parents<sup>494</sup> and providers<sup>495</sup> further increase the likelihood that critical early signs will be overlooked.

The consequences of such oversight can be dire, even fatal, as death by suicide is more common among people with unaddressed mental health challenges. According to a 2019 public health survey, nearly one in five U.S. high school students has seriously considered suicide, and nearly one in 10 has made a suicide attempt.<sup>496</sup> Indeed, suicide is the second-leading cause of death among people between the ages of 10 and 24.<sup>497</sup>

Needed are investments in strategies to enhance public knowledge of when, how, and why mental health challenges emerge during a person's lifetime. Such knowledge can enhance early detection and access to life-saving intervention for people experiencing mental health challenges.<sup>498</sup>

### **Community Outreach**

Because mental health information and supports are sometimes best received from trusted community sources,<sup>499</sup> outreach and engagement strategies are key mechanisms for enhancing public awareness and combatting stigma.<sup>500</sup> Participants in the Commission's public engagement events frequently praised local community-based organizations working in their neighborhoods for delivering culturally and linguistically responsive mental health information. Through a Khmer translator, one participant expressed her gratitude for workshops offered in Khmer by a community-based organization in Orange County. The woman said she was able to take the information she learned at the workshops back to others in her community. During a Commission-facilitated virtual Immigrant and Refugee Listening Session on October 21, 2021, other participants reinforced the value of culturally responsive community resources. Promotores de Salud, for example, has gained national recognition for its ability to bridge cultural and linguistic gaps in mental health information, stigma, and service navigation.<sup>501</sup> In this program, community health workers serve as cultural brokers, offering translation, service navigation assistance, and advocacy for underrepresented populations in health care settings.<sup>502</sup>

### **Opportunity Spotlight: Community-Based Mental Health Awareness**

Communities are critical conduits for sharing information and influencing perceptions and health behavior. Youth-based community programs can be effective not only at

enhancing youth mental health awareness but also at shifting social norms, since youth are often the vehicle of innovation and change. For example, the Napa County's CLARO/A Prevention Program works with Latinx youth to address cultural barriers and stigma. The program seeks to help youth understand their mental health needs and know when and how to ask for help. When needed, it also connects participants to mental health services and sources of support through friends, family, school, and community.

### **Online Strategies**

The internet has become a critical conduit of mental health resources for many people, especially those from underserved and isolated communities.<sup>503</sup> It was a lifeline for many Californians during the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>504</sup> With the click of a button, people today can access more mental health information than at any other time in history.<sup>505</sup> Yet despite the potential to enhance mental health promotion in the digital era, people cannot always trust the information they consume online.<sup>506</sup> Some websites post inaccurate or biased information, while others are not up to date, leaving consumers lost or discouraged.<sup>507</sup> The opportunities for internet technology in the mental health space are virtually endless,<sup>508</sup> as is the potential for harm caused by its misuse.<sup>509</sup> Effectively harnessing the power of online platforms to promote mental health will require investments and oversight to ensure information and resources are credible, affordable, and accessible to every Californian while protecting confidential health information.<sup>510</sup>

### **Opportunity Spotlight: Online Self-Help**

Within the last several years, California has expanded online self-help tools at the local and statewide levels. For example, Live Well Madera County launched CredibleMind in 2020 to promote population-based mental health with trustworthy and easily accessible resources, information, and self-assessments.<sup>511</sup> Together for Wellness, another recent website, was created by public and private partners across the state. It offers a wealth of digital resources to support mental health.<sup>512</sup> Investments to expand these or similar models could help shift Californian's understanding and perceptions of mental health and give people the tools they need to support their wellbeing.

### **Delivering Mental Health Training and Education**

Settings such as schools, child-care facilities, workplaces, and law enforcement agencies, as well as primary care and emergency medical departments, are important gateways for identifying and supporting mental health needs in a community.<sup>513</sup> The staff employed in these settings must be well informed.<sup>514</sup> Throughout the Commission's public engagement events, community members and subject matter experts alike emphasized the need for increased mental health training and education for staff in non-mental health settings. Such training can help to reduce systemic and institutional

biases and stigma surrounding mental health challenges. Training and education can also equip providers and peers with the information they need to recognize and support the mental health needs of the people they serve.<sup>515</sup>

### **Mental Health Training in the Workplace**

The potential of workplaces to promote mental health cannot be overstated, as the majority of Californians over the age of 16 spend at least part of their day at work.<sup>516</sup> The values, learning, and practices adopted by an organization impact not only employees, but become infused into their outside lives, families, and communities. Research has shown that employees' health and productivity improve when organizations promote open communication,<sup>517</sup> encourage healthy behaviors such as work breaks and physical activity,<sup>518</sup> and provide opportunities for employees to participate in decisions impacting their workload and schedule. At the same time, unsupportive or unsafe work environments, including workplaces that tolerate or foster toxic power dynamics, bullying and harassment, or excessive workloads, can threaten employee wellbeing.<sup>519</sup> Stigma and discrimination directed at an employee's mental health challenges also can cause significant harm both to individuals and the organization.<sup>520</sup>

During the Commission's April 22, 2021, public engagement event, speakers discussed opportunities for employees to learn how to identify colleagues at risk and help them access services. Community partners attending other Commission engagement events highlighted the need for training to reduce stigma and increase mental health awareness and best practices in the workplace.

Community voices complement research demonstrating the effectiveness of training to improve mental health knowledge and attitudes in the workplace.<sup>521</sup> Evidence-based strategies include providing mental health literacy training to staff and leadership, incorporating<sup>522</sup> mental health education in staff induction and professional development activities, and offering access to mental health information and resources to reinforce training content.<sup>523</sup> Training can be universal or designed with specific professions or populations in mind.<sup>524</sup> Like all other strategies to enhance mental health awareness, training is most effective when it addresses nuances in mental health perceptions and experiences related to age, culture, and language.<sup>525</sup>

*“Mental health is a collective responsibility. It’s not just the responsibility of individuals to do things around self-care. It’s definitely not a matter of just a health care system. It’s about where people live, how they interact with one another, and it’s very much about the workplace experience.” – Paula Allen, Global Leader and SVP, Research and Total Wellbeing, presenting during the Commission’s April 22, 2021 hearing on prevention and early intervention*

**Opportunity Spotlight: Employee Mental Health Awareness Training**

Private and public agencies increasingly recognize the value of mental health training for their employees.<sup>526</sup> Such training can improve the quality of products and services an agency offers its customers. At the same time, it can promote staff wellbeing and productivity.<sup>527</sup>

Kaiser Permanente, for example, has developed a free online Mental Health Awareness training program designed for people in the workplace.<sup>528</sup> The program helps employees and organizations understand the impact of mental health and wellness, recognize common mental health challenges, and support practices that promote emotional wellbeing. It also gives employees tools to talk more openly about mental health.<sup>529</sup>

**Mental Health Education in Schools**

School is a setting in which children, adolescents, and young adults spend a large part of their time, and thus plays a central role in promoting mental health awareness.<sup>530</sup> When given the proper funding and resources, schools not only aid in early screening, detection, and linkage to services, but can also provide mental health education.<sup>531</sup>

Community partners emphasized the importance of education-focused strategies during Commission public engagement events. A participant in a February 22, 2021, virtual listening session with residents from the Bay Area, for example, urged the State to better “incorporat[e] mental health topics into school curriculums to stop cycles of stigma, shame, and failure.”

Just as learning curriculums increase academic literacy, education also is a tool to foster mental health “literacy.”<sup>532</sup> Mental health literacy encompasses five key components: understanding of how to obtain and maintain positive mental health, knowledge and recognition of mental health challenges, reducing stigma, promoting help-seeking efficacy, and improving attitudes about seeking mental health support.<sup>533</sup> Although, what constitutes literacy in these areas may vary depending on a person’s age, culture, and other contextual factors.

Mental health education in schools shows promise for improving mental health literacy. Examples include the incorporation of age-appropriate mental health curricula for students in primary,<sup>534</sup> secondary,<sup>535</sup> and higher education settings,<sup>536</sup> including licensure certification and other programs for health care practitioners.<sup>537</sup> School-based programs also can promote mental health literacy among educators and school staff.<sup>538</sup>

School-based approaches that are developed and led by youth themselves are especially effective.<sup>539</sup> Examples include peer-led outreach and curricula in classes,<sup>540</sup> mentorship for between-grades support, youth wellness centers and zones, and student

voice committees.<sup>541</sup> In addition, students benefit from access to information and resources that affirm their cultures, languages, and identities.<sup>542</sup>

### **Opportunity Spotlight: Mental Health in the Classroom**

California is exploring opportunities to increase mental health education in the classroom. One such opportunity is outlined in Senate Bill 224 (Portantino, 2021).<sup>543</sup> This bill will require middle and high schools that provide health classes to also provide mental health education.<sup>544</sup> Another newly approved bill, Senate Bill 14 (Portantino, 2021), directs the Department of Education to identify a mental health training program for school staff and students in grades 7 through 12.<sup>545</sup> Such programs could be expanded to enhance mental health literacy throughout California.<sup>546</sup>

## RECOMMENDATION THREE

The State's strategic approach to prevention and early intervention must ensure that all people have access to the information and resources necessary to support their own or another person's mental health needs.

Strategies must focus on reducing stigma while broadening awareness of how mental health challenges are perceived across different communities, languages, and cultures. To be most effective, the State's strategies must include public information and resources supplemented by targeted education and training in specific settings. Materials and training must be culturally and linguistically adaptive and guided by the experiences of people with mental health needs and their families.

**3.1. The State, in partnership with public health leaders, should invest in public communication strategies to enhance mental health awareness and combat stigma. These strategies should:**

a. Improve knowledge and awareness of key elements of mental health including the roles of risk and protective factors, understanding mental health needs, effective self-help, and healthy coping practices, and knowing how to access services and supports including prevention and early intervention programs.

b. Improve awareness of when and how mental health challenges occur across a lifespan to reduce misconceptions, promote early and accurate detection and support, and improve outcomes for people experiencing symptoms of psychosis, relapse, mental health crisis, and other mental health challenges.

c. Reduce mental health disparities both by enhancing public understanding of disparities and closing gaps in mental health knowledge and awareness. Such

strategies should include messaging that is specifically designed to improve awareness among underserved communities, especially populations that may experience stigma based on race, gender, or sexual orientation.

*d.* Expand the availability of internet- and technology-based mental health information and resources. Such resources should be publicly available, age-appropriate, adapted for diverse cultures and languages, and supported by the most current research and best practices. Resources may include online assessment tools and self-initiated practices for supporting one's own mental health needs or the needs of a colleague, friend, or family member. Resources also may include digital interfaces that promote user interaction with peers and providers.

**3.2. The State must expand mental health education and training in the places where people live, learn, work, and receive care. Regardless of setting, education and training strategies must enhance awareness of nuances in mental health perceptions and experiences among cultures. These efforts should:**

*a.* Promote routine mental health training for employees in key non-mental health settings. Mental health training should be culturally, linguistically, and developmentally adapted for each setting and population. It should focus on improving awareness and reducing mental health stigma and discrimination. It also should increase organizational capacity to support the mental health needs of employees and the clients or customers they serve. Settings considered "high-value" for training include formal and informal child-care centers, health care facilities, criminal justice systems, and primary, secondary, vocational, and higher education settings.

*b.* Promote inclusive mental health curricula for students in primary, secondary, vocational, and higher education, including graduate-level and practitioner licensing programs. Such curricula should include developmentally, culturally, and linguistically responsive mental health information. The curricula also should foster school cultures that are non-stigmatizing, inclusive, and supportive. To be most effective, school-specific initiatives should leverage the voice and influence of children and youth who have experienced mental health challenges.

## FINDING FOUR

Strategies that increase early identification and effective care for people with mental health challenges can enhance outcomes. Yet few Californians benefit from such strategies. Too often, the result is suicide, homelessness, incarceration, or other preventable crises.

Mental health challenges are common, affecting nearly one in two U.S. adults and one in six youth each year.<sup>547</sup> In California, recent estimates suggest that more than 80 percent of people aged 18 and older report some type of disruption to their mental health.<sup>548</sup> Survey data indicate that the prevalence of mental health challenges among California adults has increased by at least 41 percent since 2014.<sup>549</sup> During 2018 and 2019, one in five adults and nearly one in two adolescents in California reported at least one significant disruption in their mental health.<sup>550</sup>

People with mental health challenges can live full and meaningful lives when they receive appropriate care and support.<sup>551</sup> In almost all cases, the earlier a person's mental health needs are identified and supported the better the outcome.<sup>552</sup> Yet California's systems of care are limited in their capacity to deliver high quality, coordinated, and timely services that accommodate the diverse needs of Californians.<sup>553</sup> Together, the consequences of unmet mental health needs are costly not only for individuals but for the families, communities, and the systems that support these individuals.<sup>554</sup>

## **Challenges to Statewide Early Intervention**

*Early intervention* refers to mental health services and supports provided early to promote recovery and prevent mental health challenges from becoming severe and debilitating.<sup>555</sup> Early intervention includes services and supports for both newly emerging and reoccurring mental health challenges.<sup>556</sup>

Findings from a 2018 California Health Interview Survey (CHIS) showed that almost half – 44 percent – of the 1.4 million adults who reported experiencing severe mental health challenges said that they had received no mental health services in the previous year.<sup>557</sup> Among the 2 million who reported moderate challenges, almost 70 percent reported receiving no services in the previous year.<sup>558</sup> Without appropriate support, mental health challenges can worsen over time, often requiring more intensive and costly forms of care that may be less effective as symptoms progress.<sup>559</sup> The longer a person goes without mental health support, the more likely that individual is to experience challenges in other areas of life such as education, employment, family relationships, and housing. Criminal justice involvement and suicide risk also increase.<sup>560</sup>

Despite the promise of early intervention, programs and services to address early signs of psychosis and mood disorders are largely unavailable to most Californians. Even when services are available, those who need them confront unnecessary delays. Hurdles include lack of access to mental health screening,<sup>561</sup> narrow eligibility criteria,<sup>562</sup> and inadequate crisis responses. Overly complex, disconnected, and under-resourced service delivery systems create further barriers. Too often the obstacles are

insurmountable, forcing Californians to face substantial delays in receiving services as their needs worsen.<sup>563</sup> These challenges are discussed below, followed by promising solutions to advance statewide early intervention in mental health.

## Delays in Care

In both physical and mental health care, early and accurate identification of needs and timely connections to the appropriate level and type of care are critical to achieve the best possible outcomes.<sup>564</sup> This is true for both newly emerging and existing mental health needs.<sup>565</sup> An overall lack of screening and rigid eligibility policies that limit access to services cause many people to experience unnecessarily delays in receiving much-needed care.<sup>566</sup>

## Inconsistent Mental Health Screening

Mental health needs can occur at any age, yet there are critical periods during a person's lifetime when mental health challenges are more likely to emerge.<sup>567</sup> Youth and early adulthood, for example, is a one period when half to three-fourths of people report experiencing their first mental health symptoms.<sup>568</sup>

A person's mental health needs also increase during or after experiencing significant life events such as losing a loved one, divorce, trauma, injury, or becoming a parent. At least one third of people experience mental health challenges during or following the birth of a child.<sup>569</sup> Mental health needs also change as people get older. Coinciding health challenges, loss of autonomy, loss of peers, and increased isolation are just some of the conditions that can cause or exacerbate mental health challenges. In the U.S., as many as 20% of people over the age of 55 experience at least one mental health challenge, depression is the most prevalent.<sup>570</sup> Californians over the age of 85 have the highest rate of death by suicide than any other age group.<sup>571</sup>

Unfortunately, a lack of routine mental health screening is causing delays in detection and support for many people. According to a 2019 report by the California State Auditor, millions of eligible children fail to receive preventive mental health screenings despite federal guidelines.<sup>572</sup>

*"I have a child with autism. When he was 18 months old, I took him in for his well-child appointment. He had a pediatrician who was trained to recognize the signs of autism. And she was on top of it. I didn't even notice it in my own child. Since she had the training, we were able to identify my son's needs early and have additional assessments done. It put us on a whole different track. It is my understanding that this isn't typically the experience of many parents of kids expressing mental health needs. There aren't always early screenings and follow-up assessments." - Brenda Grealish, Executive Officer, Council on Criminal Justice and Behavioral Health, California*

*Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and parent of a 14 year old boy with Autism, speaking at an April 5, 2021, Commission public engagement event.*

For adults, routine mental health screening guidelines and practices are nearly nonexistent. Also, screenings that *are* administered are not always interpreted or responded to correctly, or may not be linguistically, culturally, or age appropriate.<sup>573</sup>

Community members who participated in Commission public engagement events highlighted the lack of linguistically and culturally responsive screening approaches. One Native American participant whose mother died by suicide described the harm that results when providers lack awareness of cultural nuances in perceptions of mental health. “We come into the doctor’s office with somatic feelings, instead of knowing these words of ‘depression’ or ‘anxiety,’” the participant said at a December 2020 event. “When (my mother) talked about (her suffering), it was in her body.”

### **Service Eligibility**

People may get worse before they get mental health care due to strict eligibility and reimbursement policies.<sup>574</sup> During the Commission’s public engagement events, community partners from all regions of the state expressed frustration with insurance restrictions that prevent access to early intervention services. One participant at a March 1, 2021, engagement event with residents from Southern California put it this way: “A lot of times I hear from folks that they aren’t ‘bad enough’ to receive services, and that they’ve been told that they don’t qualify for services so many times.”

In California most health plans will cover health care services, including preventive screenings, only if such services are deemed “medically necessary.”<sup>575</sup> This designation often excludes people at risk for developing a mental health challenge, as well as those who have mild or moderate mental health needs that do not meet the criteria for diagnosis of a mental disorder.<sup>576</sup> For example, someone may experience frequent feelings of hopelessness and helplessness, but these symptoms alone do not meet the criteria for a diagnosis of major depressive disorder.<sup>577</sup> As a result, many people who could benefit from early intervention<sup>578</sup> are forced to forgo services until their mental health challenges become more severe and disabling.<sup>579</sup>

### **Crisis Supports**

Delays in care greatly impact those who are experiencing a mental health crisis or are at high risk of crisis. The delays can lead to preventable emergency room visits and hospitalizations, as well as poorer outcomes.<sup>580</sup> According to some estimates, up to 70 percent of people seen in emergency rooms for a psychiatric crisis could be appropriately cared for in less intensive settings.<sup>581</sup> In general, emergency staff and settings are ill-equipped to provide appropriate mental health crisis care.<sup>582</sup> One costly

consequence can be an overreliance on law enforcement personnel to monitor people in crisis in emergency departments until more appropriate settings can be found.<sup>583</sup>

Californians need consistent access to appropriate, recovery-focused services when experiencing a frightening mental health crisis.<sup>584</sup> Properly addressing such crises will reduce costs, prevent suffering, and save lives.<sup>585</sup>

## Limited Services

Many Californians feel neglected or ignored by the state's current fragmented and complex mental health care systems and find them burdensome to navigate.<sup>586</sup>

Californians who have experienced mental health challenges, whether personally or among their families or friends, consistently report that mental health services are unavailable, unaffordable, or inappropriate. The problems are especially acute for members of marginalized communities.<sup>587, 588</sup>

## Fragmented Systems

Navigating services can feel like a full-time job for individuals with mental health needs, as well as for their loved ones. Those who lack time or resources must go without support for their mental health challenges. During a March 8, 2021, Commission public engagement event with residents from Central California, the parent of a child with mental health needs voiced a common frustration: "Who do I call when I first uncover some concern? There seems to be a lack of understanding or a lack of knowing, when I'm faced with a particular crisis with my child, who is it that I call to help me navigate what is obviously a very complex system?"

*"As someone who has been working in the field for over a decade and has had to navigate the system for myself [...] I have struggles and challenges just trying to access care. So, for someone who just got discharged and is completely confused about what to do, having someone provide support and help navigate, step by step, is essential."* –

*Participant during the Commission's March 3, 2021, public engagement event with residents from Los Angeles*

A health care system that separates physical and mental health care services creates unnecessary barriers to care.<sup>589</sup> Fragmented services also represent a missed opportunity, as non-mental health care partners play a critical role in identifying and supporting mental health needs.<sup>590</sup> For example, an expert in child development said during one Commission public engagement event that for children, medical providers are the "first points of contact" and "a point of access where [there is] a lot of power to make a difference." When service systems are fragmented, continuity of care is much harder to achieve.<sup>591</sup>

During a February 25, 2021, Commission public engagement event, Dr. Deryk Van Brunt, an associate clinical professor in the UC Berkeley School of Public Health, expressed his frustration with fragmented care. “In the communities I work with around the country, I have been surprised by how rarely public health and behavioral health work together,” he said.

Community members who spoke at the Commission’s public engagement events also pointed to barriers, including incongruent administrative policies that impede coordination among service systems, an absence of secure tools for sharing health information, and a scarcity of providers in some geographic areas. During an April 5, 2021, engagement event, Dr. Tara Niendam, director of Early Psychosis Programs at the University of California, Davis, highlighted capacity barriers that impede intervention for early psychosis.<sup>592</sup> “Systems aren’t ready to support widespread early identification and treatment,” Niendam said.

### **Access to Providers**

The lack of mental health providers is exacerbating systemic barriers to care. A 2018 report by the University of California, San Francisco, predicted a 40% increase in the demand for mental health providers in California.<sup>593</sup> This estimate is modest given the dramatic increase in needs following COVID-19.<sup>594</sup>

The federal agency, Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) uses Health Professional Shortage Areas (HPSA) to designate areas and population groups that are experiencing a shortage of health professionals.<sup>595</sup> For mental health, HPSA includes areas where the population to provider ratio exceeds 30,000 to 1 (20,000 to 1 if there are unusually high needs in the community).<sup>596</sup> In California, all but 5 of its 58 counties are at least partially experiencing mental health provider shortages, almost half of these counties (25) are whole shortage areas.<sup>597</sup>

California’s mental health providers are not evenly distributed nor are they equally compensated, resulting in provider ratio disparities across regions.<sup>598</sup> In some cases, providers are simply underutilized due to insurance restrictions. For example, marriage and family therapists currently are not permitted to care for people who rely on Medicare.<sup>599</sup> High caseloads, administrative hurdles, and burnout are becoming more common among mental health providers, especially during the pandemic.<sup>600</sup>

Shortage of specialty providers is a key concern. As it stands, close to one third of counties have zero child and adolescent psychiatrist.<sup>601</sup> Mental health providers specializing in maternal mental health,<sup>602</sup> geriatric mental health,<sup>603</sup> substance abuse, and crisis intervention also are in short supply across the state.<sup>604</sup> Even more scarce are non-English speaking providers and/or providers from diverse racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic backgrounds.<sup>605</sup>

## Social and Cultural Barriers to Care

A lack of cultural and linguistic representation among services and providers poses a further barrier to accessing mental health care, a theme that<sup>606</sup> community members frequently returned to during Commission public engagement events. Research backs up the concerns: More than 75 percent of California’s psychologists are white, for example, while people of color make up more than 50 percent of the state’s population.<sup>607</sup>

Participants at Commission public engagement events also emphasized the need for services and providers trained to assist the LGBTQ+ community. Others called for greater funding and respect for nontraditional approaches to mental health. Some suggested the use of cultural brokers to help diverse communities navigate the health care system.

*“What I’d like to see the State doing, is supporting cultural and community-based mental health and not just the medical Western way of addressing mental health.” – Participant at a March 17, 2021, public engagement event*

Old age can be another social barrier to care.<sup>608</sup> A 2019 UCLA study, for example,<sup>609</sup> identified significant gaps in programs, services, providers, and data focused on the unique mental health needs of adults over age 60.<sup>610</sup> **According to the report, a major barrier was a lack of state guidance to build out a system of care to support the complex, overlapping mental and physical health needs of older adults.**<sup>611</sup> The COVID-19 pandemic only exacerbated these challenges.<sup>612</sup> Older adults not only faced a greater risk of infection and hospitalization,<sup>613</sup> but also were more likely to experience prolonged isolation and loss of agency as a result of shelter-in-place orders.<sup>614</sup> Such conditions increase mental health risk for any age group, particularly older people.<sup>615</sup>

## Best Practices and Promising Solutions

Prevention strategies to address the drivers of mental health risk and promote awareness are essential. Just as important are early intervention strategies to prevent the escalation or reoccurrence of mental health challenges, support recovery, and help people achieve healthy and fulfilling lives.<sup>616</sup> Community members who participated in Commission public engagement events emphasized the urgency of this need, calling on the State to improve both access to and quality of care for people experiencing mental health challenges. Making early intervention services available to all Californians who need them will require bringing to scale strategies that deliver accessible, high-quality services tailored to diverse social and cultural needs.<sup>617</sup>

## Increase Early Access to Care

Timely access to care can greatly improve outcomes for people experiencing mental health challenges.<sup>618</sup> Universal screening is necessary to enhance early detection and linkage to mental health supports,<sup>619</sup> as are reforms to make care more accessible, including for people at risk<sup>620</sup> or experiencing a crisis.<sup>621</sup>

### **Mental Health Screening**

*Screening* is an indispensable health care practice that helps millions of people live longer and healthier lives despite health challenges.<sup>622</sup> Mental health is no exception. Screening relies on validated instruments to identify health risks and conditions. Routine screening, for example, has been used to assess developmental delays in infants and children,<sup>623</sup> detect cancer,<sup>624</sup> and diagnose diabetes<sup>625</sup> and other chronic illnesses.<sup>626</sup> Universal screening also has been instrumental in preventing transmission of infectious diseases such as tuberculosis.<sup>627</sup>

National health leaders, including the American Academy of Pediatrics and the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force,<sup>628</sup> endorse universal mental health screening in the same settings where physical health screenings occur.<sup>629</sup> Mental health screening tools can identify signs and symptoms of depression, anxiety, psychosis, suicide, and impending relapses.<sup>630</sup> Screening also can identify mental health risk factors, and, when used among<sup>631</sup> high-risk or underserved populations, help to reduce mental health disparities.<sup>632</sup> At Commission public engagement events, justice and child welfare agency representatives underscored the need for mental health and substance use disorder screenings in high-risk and high-need settings.

Like other health screenings, mental health screenings should be standardized and follow routine schedules based on age- and situation-specific best practices.<sup>633</sup> Standardized screening should be accompanied by protocols that document how to respond in the event of a positive screen.<sup>634</sup> Mental health screening tools and practices also must be appropriate for use across diverse settings.

While some mental health screening can be self-administered, screening by a trained professional may result in a timelier referral or, in the event of a crisis, immediate intervention.<sup>635</sup> Health care settings present ideal opportunities for routine mental health screening.<sup>636</sup>

#### **Opportunity Spotlight: Routine Screening across the Lifespan**

The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends that physicians provide behavioral and mental health screening for children from birth through age 21.<sup>637</sup> In addition, the federal government mandates mental health screening for children who receive Medicaid (Medi-Cal in California).

Health care guidelines, however, have yet to endorse mental health screening for adults, particularly those over the age of 65. This represents a missed opportunity for identifying and supporting mental health needs as they interact with the physical, cognitive, and social changes unique to older age.

Enhancing the mental health of California will require expanding mental health screening **across the lifespan with practices that are age-specific and routinely administered.** Screening must look for mental health risk factors, such as socioeconomic distress and trauma, as well as clinical symptoms.<sup>638</sup> Providers also need better tools and support so that they can act quickly and confidently to address mental health needs identified through screening.

### **Risk-informed Care**

Advancing prevention and early intervention requires a shift in the way systems fund and deliver services.<sup>639</sup> Historically, mental health systems have relied on “illness-centered” approaches, where programs and services benefit only people with severe mental health challenges.<sup>640</sup> However, care based on risk, with or without a formal diagnosis, is equally important to prevent unmet mental health needs and the negative consequences that follow.

Care financing models to incentivize quality health care are key strategies for addressing broader non-medical risk factors, such as the social determinants of health, in care delivery systems and promoting health equity. The public health sector has the opportunity to help achieve this.

California’s Health and Human Services Agency recently expanded eligibility for behavioral health services, such as child and family therapy, to children who lack a formal mental health diagnosis but have at least one risk factor for developing a mental health challenge.<sup>641</sup> Starting in 2023, through its California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM) reforms, the State will require all managed care plans to conduct data-informed risk assessments for enrollees. The risk assessments will guide care management, coordination, and transition plans. Managed care plans also will be required to provide preventive and wellness services for all Medi-Cal enrollees.<sup>642</sup> Similar reforms in the private health care sector would further move California’s mental health care system toward risk-informed care and prevention.<sup>643</sup>

### **Opportunity Spotlight: Incentives for Risk-based Services**

Historically, providers have not been reimbursed for delivering benefits such as mental health therapy to individuals who do not have a formal mental health diagnosis.<sup>644</sup> Such restrictions represent a lost opportunity, because strategies that address risk beyond traditional diagnostic criteria can improve both the efficacy and cost of services.<sup>645</sup>

Some health care systems are exploring ways to promote risk-informed services.<sup>646</sup> Insurance agencies in some states are beginning to factor in clients' social determinants of health when determining provider reimbursement rates.<sup>647</sup> In these models, providers caring for clients with greater risk receive higher reimbursements.<sup>648</sup> Such risks may include unstable housing, food insecurity, or history of trauma.<sup>649</sup> Other models reward providers when their clients' outcomes exceed expectations based on risk.<sup>650</sup> Such strategies avoid penalizing providers who care for people with complex, non-medical needs. These approaches hold promise for promoting preventive practices that address social and economic risk factors as part of standard health and behavioral health care.<sup>651</sup>

### **Crisis Services**

Crisis response can include a variety of crisis services, ranging from “warm lines” and crisis hotlines to crisis stabilization support and short-term crisis residential care.<sup>652</sup> Best-practice approaches for systematic crisis response include centralized call centers that use real-time coordination across systems, coordinated mobile crisis outreach and support, and crisis residential and stabilization services.<sup>653</sup> California has a complex web of crisis services, funded through various mechanisms with little standardization or uniformity of care.<sup>654</sup> Most crisis services are tailored to connect people with local resources, but the degree to which help is available, accessible, or affordable varies county by county.<sup>655</sup>

Recent federal legislation has taken a step toward an integrated crisis response system.<sup>656</sup> As of July 16, 2022, the National Suicide Prevention & Mental Health Crisis Lifeline has transitioned to a three-digit dialing code, 988.<sup>657</sup> Providers of 988 services offer confidential emotional support to people in emotional crisis or distress across the United States, 24 hours a day, seven days a week.<sup>658</sup> In California, the 988 system is operated by 13 crisis centers staffed by trained counselors who respond to calls, texts, and chats in keeping with national standards and best practices.<sup>659</sup> The 988 services do not replace 911 services, which are delivered through local emergency medical and public safety systems. In many cases, all that is needed to support someone in a time of emotional crisis is offered through 988 lifeline services.<sup>660</sup>

Transformation of California's crisis response system will take time. California is exploring how to strengthen and expand its crisis response infrastructure and capacity through policy and practice changes.<sup>661</sup> For example, Assembly Bill 988 (Bauer-Kahan, 2021), would connect and expand mobile crisis teams, crisis stabilization services, and crisis counseling.<sup>662</sup> Locally, California counties are exploring opportunities to connect their crisis services using a best-practice approach called the Crisis Now model.<sup>663</sup> Crisis Now connects three core elements of a comprehensive crisis response system: High-tech crisis centers that coordinate all aspects of an immediate crisis response,

community mobile crisis teams, and crisis stabilization facilities. Connecting these elements ensures continuity of care for people in crisis. Crisis Now also supports local assessments of community crisis care needs. The Commission is supporting a multi-county collaborative to use the Crisis Now Model to identify local needs for crisis services and supports, eliminate barriers, form partnerships, and design optimized crisis systems.<sup>664</sup>

### **Opportunity Spotlight: Investment in Mental Health Wellness Act**

California's Investment in Mental Health Wellness Act<sup>665</sup> provides funds to improve California's response to mental health crisis services.<sup>666</sup> Recently changes to the act allow those funds to be used for crisis prevention and early intervention in addition to crisis response.<sup>667</sup> This Act and related funding is intended to reduce reliance on hospitalization, improve access to care, and enhance outcomes.<sup>668</sup> Such funds can be used to strengthen upstream responses to mental health needs that can reduce the need for crisis response services.

## **Deliver High-Quality Services**

In addition to improving timely access, California needs to increase its capacity for delivering high-quality mental health services. Doing so will require restructuring the State's patchwork model of care into an integrated network of comprehensive medical, behavioral, and substance abuse services that consumers can easily navigate.<sup>669</sup> Building a robust network of services, provided in multiple settings by a diverse workforce, will help ensure that all Californians have access to effective care when they need it.<sup>670</sup>

## **Integrated Service Delivery System**

During the Commission's public engagement events, participants recommended better coordination among, and increased co-location of, mental health and non-mental health services as strategies to reduce delays in care. Participants argued that collaboration across health care and behavioral health systems would strengthen mental health screening and linkage to services. Use of integrated care models can achieve these goals.<sup>671</sup>

*Integrated care* broadly refers to models in which mental health and substance use are embedded within primary care services in one care delivery system.<sup>672</sup> This approach includes a variety of strategies to unify systems and providers, including the use of consultation, sharing of resources and client information, team-based collaborative care models, and co-locating mental health and substance use disorder services in primary care clinics or through virtual platforms.<sup>673</sup> Integrated care models promote a wraparound approach for people and their families, so that effectiveness is dependent

not on one service provider but on a network of professional and personal supports.<sup>674</sup> The use of integrated care delivery models is especially effective at improving timeliness of care for traditionally marginalized and underserved populations. Integrated care models also benefit those experiencing concurrent physical and mental health needs<sup>675</sup> or disabilities related to aging.<sup>676</sup>

A key barrier to integrated care is a general lack of infrastructure among care delivery systems that would permit easy exchange of client health information, coordinated care, and seamless billing and reimbursement.<sup>677</sup> To address these challenges, California's public health care system, Medi-Cal, has begun an initiative to coordinate and integrate its systems and services.<sup>678</sup> California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM) broadens eligibility for overlapping and prevention-oriented services and includes infrastructure and billing reforms. The reforms will enable primary care, mental health, and substance use providers and systems to better communicate and share client information.<sup>679</sup> Unfortunately, most of CalAIM's benefits apply only to those with "clinically significant" challenges or needs. Further, CalAIM is not available to people in the private health care sector.<sup>680</sup> Expanding CalAIM benefits to those with a broader range of mental health needs and extending integrated service delivery to private health care systems would enhance mental health prevention and early intervention for all Californians.

#### **Opportunity Spotlight: Collaborative Care**

Before the COVID-19 pandemic, scientist-clinicians at Seattle's Pediatrics Northwest noticed that few of the children they referred for mental health services were able to receive those services in a timely manner, if at all. They discovered that, on average, it took parents 26 phone calls before they were able to connect with a service, and that only a small number of parents were successful in getting care. To address this issue, Pediatrics Northwest partnered with HopeSparks,<sup>681</sup> a local children and youth services agency, to create a team-centered collaborative-care model. In this partnership, children and youth ages four through 21 are screened using validated tools during their regular checkups.<sup>682</sup> Children and youth with early signs of concern are connected to an in-house Behavioral Health Care Manager within an average of less than two days. Collaborative care billing codes and a shared electronic health record support the provision of evidence-based early interventions, which reach an average of 72 percent of the referred children and youth.<sup>683</sup> Outcomes of these interventions have included clinically significant reductions in behavioral, depressive, and anxiety symptoms. Further, none of the children and youth sought emergency department care for mental health crises after the collaborative-care model began.<sup>684</sup> Integrated models like the one in operation at Pediatrics Northwest can make mental health care timely and accessible to families and reduce the strain on emergency systems.

## Diverse Workforce

During the Commission's April 22, 2021, public engagement event, presenter Dr. Andreea Seritan, professor of clinical psychiatry at the University of California, San Francisco, stated: "We need more bilingual, language-concordant, culturally responsive services." Her call to action reflects research showing that the cultural and linguistic competence of providers can have a profound effect on access to and quality of mental health services for ethnic and racial minorities.<sup>685</sup> Vital for the delivery of such services is building a culturally and linguistically diverse workforce. This workforce should include language interpreters adequately trained in mental health best practices in addition to providers trained to work effectively with interpreters and clients from diverse backgrounds.<sup>686</sup> The best way to achieve these goals is through employing providers of similar linguistic and cultural backgrounds as the communities they serve.<sup>687</sup>

*"Investing more in training and hiring of people of color, especially people within that community, is so important because if you come from the community, you understand the community – if you're from the community, you're more relatable to that patient.*

*Providing more resources towards training as well as recruiting, and providing incentives to hire, train, and educate more people within that specific community, will really help with the de-stigmatization of mental health." – Participant at a March 3, 2021, Commission public engagement event with residents from Los Angeles*

UnitedHealth Group is collaborating with the University of California San Diego and University of California San Francisco to grow and diversify the mental health workforce.<sup>688</sup> The goal of the collaboration is to address a projected critical shortage of psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, and counselors in California.<sup>689</sup> Strategies include creating new public psychiatric fellowships, recruiting diverse students for psychiatric-mental health nurse practitioner programs, and providing financial support for underrepresented medical and nursing students pursuing child-and-adolescent mental health careers.<sup>690</sup> Expanding approaches like this to promote diversity in mental health and medical career pipelines could help California address its shortage of culturally and linguistically diverse providers.

Research shows that mental health programs and supports are more effective when they tap the experience and influence of mental health peers.<sup>691</sup> Broadly defined, *peers* refer to people with common challenges who can help one another based on shared experience.<sup>692</sup> Peers can be especially powerful in engaging community members from marginalized groups,<sup>693</sup> such as people of color<sup>694</sup> and LGBTQ+ communities.<sup>695</sup> *Peers* can promote mental health awareness and resources, lead support groups, and link those with mental health needs to appropriate services.<sup>696</sup>

Peer-supported programs have proved effective at preventing relapse and suicide risk<sup>697</sup> for people following a mental health intervention.<sup>698</sup> In these programs,

individuals who are recovering from mental health or substance use challenges draw upon their first-hand experiences to support others.<sup>699</sup> Research confirms that such programs improve participants' life satisfaction and functioning and reduce homelessness and hospitalization.<sup>700</sup>

### **Opportunity Spotlight: Peer Certification**

To help address California's growing mental health needs, the State is establishing a certification process for mental health peer providers.<sup>701</sup> The law defines *peers* as individuals who have recovered from a mental disorder, substance use disorder, or both.<sup>702</sup> Certified peer providers will be eligible for Medi-Cal reimbursement for such services as coaching and skill-building.<sup>703</sup>

Broadening certification to cover *peers* with other life experiences related to mental health risks could further strengthen community-based prevention and early intervention services and supports.<sup>704</sup> Such experiences could include pregnancy and parenting, caregiving for a person with a mental health or substance use challenge, trauma survival, and navigating the child protective services system, among others.<sup>705</sup>

Increasing the number and diversity of peer providers also represents a unique opportunity for addressing gaps in mental health services and supports for underserved racial, ethnic, and linguistic populations.<sup>706</sup> One example is The Ripple Effect Respite Program.<sup>707</sup> This program provides planned mental health respite care for transitional age youth (age 18 and over), adults, and older adults. The emphasis is on people of color who may identify as LGBTQ+.<sup>708</sup> The program uses a peer-run structure to increase social connectedness. Program services, including a daily support group, aim to prevent acute mental health crisis and suicide.<sup>709</sup>

### **Community-based Supports**

Strategies to achieve mental health and wellbeing must be nimble as they respond to the diverse and fluctuating needs of communities.<sup>710</sup> Not all mental health needs or challenges require clinical services. In fact, community-based supports can be equally or more effective, easier to access, and less expensive.<sup>711</sup> Community-based programs can ensure that people have access to basic needs.<sup>712</sup> They are especially important for promoting early detection and intervention and for supporting a person through recovery.<sup>713</sup> Community-based supports are most effective when they promote connectedness and belonging by engaging peers and respecting the perspectives of diverse cultures.<sup>714</sup>

Community-based programs also involve mobilizing agencies, institutions, and groups to work together to improve the wellbeing of a community.<sup>715</sup> In addition to mental health information and supports, community-based programs can offer a variety of social, informational, and tangible resources.<sup>716</sup> They can be especially successful in meeting

the needs of local underserved populations.<sup>717</sup> Examples of community-based programs include native cultural centers, youth mental health drop-in centers,<sup>718</sup> LGBTQ+ community centers,<sup>719</sup> senior centers,<sup>720</sup> and community-based health navigators.<sup>721</sup> Community-based programs are unique in their ability to promote social inclusion and cohesion,<sup>722</sup> which are among the most potent predictors of positive physical and mental health outcomes.<sup>723</sup> For example, the Tuolumne Me-Wuk Indian Health Clinic provides outreach and engagement services for Native American youth and their families.<sup>724</sup> The program seeks to engage individuals who are receiving little or no mental health services and to provide needed support in locations other than traditional mental health service sites.<sup>725</sup> The focus is on identifying needs, assisting with linkages to services, reducing barriers to services, and providing culturally competent responses to behavioral health problems.<sup>726</sup>

Community-based programs have proved effective in providing high-quality mental health services and supports for youth.<sup>727</sup> An example is California's allcove program, which offers quick access to evidence-based mental health supports for youth between the ages of 12 and 25. In addition to direct services, allcove centers include youth-led outreach and education and peer-support activities aimed at reducing stigma, increasing community connection and empowering youth.<sup>728</sup> This "one-stop-shop" model also can address the needs of older adults. Butte County's *Zoosiab "Happy Program"* works to support the mental health needs of Hmong elders by blending Western mental health approaches with traditional cultural practices and beliefs.<sup>729</sup> Housed within the Hmong Cultural Center, this program supports individuals in recovery as well as those who are at risk due to trauma, stress, anxiety, isolation, stigmatization, or depression.<sup>730</sup>

#### **Opportunity Spotlight: Providing Community for Older Adults**

Community-based programs offer an important model for supporting the physical, social, and cognitive needs of older adults.<sup>731</sup> SF Village is one example. This nonprofit organization connects older people living in San Francisco to the activities, resources, and expertise they need to feel connected and live independently in the places they call home.<sup>732</sup> Among its many programs and services, SF Village provides free assistance for people transitioning from the hospital to home, including navigating doctor visits, accessing community services, and taking care of basic needs such as grocery shopping and housework.<sup>733</sup> The program facilitates social connectedness through regular phone calls, home visits, and warm relationships with providers.<sup>734</sup> As stated in the SF Village mission statement, "these connections provide a powerful antidote to the isolation and loneliness that often besiege adults in our society, no matter their age."<sup>735</sup>

By 2050, one in five people in the United States will be aged 65 years or older.<sup>736</sup>  
Enhancing support for aging adults and their unique physical and mental health risks

must be a public health priority.<sup>737</sup> Expanding models like SF Village to other communities could greatly enhance the State's capacity to promote and preserve the wellbeing of Californians as they age.<sup>738</sup>

## RECOMMENDATION FOUR

The State's strategic approach to prevention and early intervention must ensure that every Californian has access to effective and appropriate mental health screening, services, and supports aligned to their needs.

The State must take bold action to ensure all Californians have access to services that support their mental health needs and allow them to live full and meaningful lives. To achieve this goal, the State must work toward a future of universal health care, where medical and behavioral health screening and services are coordinated across a continuum of care that is easy to access and supported by a network of community-based supports. Regardless of setting, mental health supports should be peer-based and must be responsive to the diverse ages, abilities, cultures, and languages that characterize California's communities.

**4.1. The State must implement standardized culturally and linguistically responsive screening for mental health and substance use disorders in health care settings and address eligibility barriers that prevent people from receiving timely access to preventative and crisis services. These efforts should include:**

- a. Implementing standardized protocols for mental health and substance use disorder screening in health care settings. Screening protocols should follow age- and situation-specific schedules **and administered across the lifespan**. Screening tools should capture a range of symptoms and risk factors related to mental health, including social determinants of health and trauma. Screenings must be culturally and linguistically responsive and reflect cultural variations in how people experience mental health challenges.
- b. Ensuring that screening protocols reflect best practices for responding to individuals who screen at mild, moderate, or severe risk, including risk of relapse or crisis. Protocols also should require routine client follow-up, to confirm linkage to services and continuity of care.
- c. Making screening available yet optional for every Californian, with selective screening provided to people at greatest risk. At minimum, mental health screenings should be offered during primary and emergency care medical appointments.

d. Forging collaboration between State and local health care agencies and non-health care partners to promote routine mental health and substance use screening for high-risk populations. Such populations include those served by the justice and child welfare systems, among others.

e. Evaluating the potential of payment reform and service models that incentivize screening and risk-informed care for public and commercial health insurance and other funding programs.

f. Developing state-established standards for a statewide crisis response system and implementing a strategy to ensure that all Californians have access to responsive crisis services.

**4.2. The State, in partnership with private and public health care and behavioral health agencies and community-based organizations, must construct an integrated statewide care delivery system that supports best practices in prevention and early intervention and can respond to the evolving needs of California's unique and diverse population. In pursuit of this goal, partners should:**

a. Expand initiatives, such as CalAIM, to ensure financial policies reflect the need for integrated services to support a continuum of prevention, detection, early intervention, and recovery and achieve the best outcomes for people with mental health needs.

b. Grow and diversify California's provider workforce in a way that reflects the cultures, languages, ages, and mental health experiences of people and communities across the state, with an emphasis on addressing disparities in rural, poor, and marginalized communities, older adults, and others who have been neglected by systems of care for too long.

c. Identify and address factors contributing to California's mental health provider shortage areas such as training and education, compensation, quality of working conditions, billing restrictions, and administrative barriers.

d. Increase the number of specialty providers such as those serving older adults, people who are expecting or caring for a new child, youth experiencing mental health challenges, people in crisis, among others. The State must ensure specialty providers are fairly compensated, linguistically and culturally adaptive, and equally accessible in all communities.

e. Integrate the support of peers with mental health experience into all aspects of care, including private and public health care and community settings. Peer supports should be available to people experiencing or recovering from a mental health challenge in addition to those at risk, including new parents and caregivers, youth, and transitional

aged young adults. Peer-based health navigation also should be enhanced, especially for immigrant and non-English speaking communities.

*f.* Increase statewide capacity for providing mental health services and supports in community settings and ensure that such services align with the needs, preferences, and strengths of the communities they intend to serve.

*g.* Strengthen community-based supports by assessing the effectiveness of community-defined mental health practices and explore opportunities within California's care financing systems to fund community-defined practices demonstrating evidence of effectiveness.

*h.* Ensure that health care and community-based systems have the necessary technical assistance and support to bring to scale effective, culturally and linguistically adaptive prevention and early intervention programs and services.

## Conclusion

---

Since the passage of the Mental Health Services Act (MHSA) in 2004, California's mental health system has grown in innovation and ingenuity, fueled by passionate and dedicated providers, administrators, researchers, and advocates. Despite the tremendous reforms launched by the MHSA, however, many Californians continue to experience unmet mental health challenges and the negative outcomes that may ensue, including suicide, incarceration, and homelessness. Decades of evidence affirms that transformational change is possible when prevention and early intervention strategies operate in tandem – not in competition – with high-quality services and supports. Dr. Thomas Insel, a psychiatrist, neuroscientist, and former director of the National Institute of Mental Health, is one of the most respected champions of prevention and early intervention. “The biggest transformation will come when we can identify problems and intervene earlier,” he said in a recent interview with California Healthline, a daily news service of the California Health Care Foundation. “We have to manage crisis better, keep people out of the criminal justice system, provide more continuity of care. But we also have to move upstream and capture people much earlier in their journey.”

The findings and recommendations in this report began with a Commission investigation to explore how MHSA prevention and early intervention funds should best be utilized to promote positive outcomes and reduce mental health disparities, particularly among unserved communities. Through a robust public engagement and review process, the Commission found that California does not have in place a strategic approach to prevention and early intervention. Such an approach could address persistent inequities, deficits in basic needs, and exposure to trauma, all of which are too common throughout California. It also could promote mental health awareness and reduce

stigma, advance early detection and intervention of mental health challenges, and ensure high-quality mental health care and support that is culturally and linguistically responsive to the needs of California's diverse population. This strategic approach could guide funding decisions, ensuring that all public investments are maximized to truly meet the needs of all Californians.

Developing and implementing a strategic approach to prevention and early intervention will take time. The Commission has identified steps to take now, specifically to promote more community inclusion in the planning and implementation of programs and services, and to strengthen the use of data, training, and technical support to guide best practices in prevention and early intervention. With these strategic actions and strong partnerships, we can shift the course and promote opportunities for all Californians to be well and thrive.

## REFERENCES

---

- <sup>1</sup> Waters, R. (2019, August 28). *Spotlight: Governor's 'mental health czar' seeks new blueprint for care in California*. California Healthline. <https://californiahealthline.org/news/governors-mental-health-czar-seeks-new-blueprint-for-care-in-california/>.
- <sup>2</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>3</sup> Torales, J., O'Higgins, M., Castaldelli-Maia, J. M., & Ventriglio, A. (2020). The outbreak of COVID-19 coronavirus and its impact on global mental health. *International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 66(4), 317-320. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0020764020915212>
- <sup>4</sup> Bagchi, B., Chatterjee, S., Ghosh, R., Dandapat, D. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 on global economy. In B. Bagchi, S. Chatterjee, R. Ghosh, & D. Dandapat (Eds.), *Coronavirus outbreak and the great lockdown*. SpringerBriefs in Economics. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7782-6\\_3](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7782-6_3)
- <sup>5</sup> Joseph, C. A., O'Shea, B. Q., Eastman, M.R., Finlay, J. M., & Kobayashi, L.C. (2022). Physical isolation and mental health among older US adults during the COVID-19 pandemic: Longitudinal findings from the COVID-19 Coping Study. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 57(6), 1273–1282. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-022-02248-4>
- <sup>6</sup> Wu, H. H., Gallagher, R. J., Alshaabi, T., Adams, J. L., Minot, J. R., Arnold, M. V., ... & Danforth, C. M. (2021). Say Their Names: Resurgence in the collective attention toward Black victims of fatal police violence following the death of George Floyd. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.10281*.
- <sup>7</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2021, April 8). Media Statement from CDC Director Rochelle P. Walensky, MD, MPH, on Racism and Health. <https://www.cdc.gov/media/releases/2021/s0408-racism-health.html>
- <sup>8</sup> Twenge, J. M., & Joiner, T. E. (2020). Mental distress among US adults during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 76(12), 2170-2182. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jclp.23064>
- <sup>9</sup> Hay, J. W., Gong, C. L., Jiao, X., Zawadzki, N. K., Zawadzki, R. S., Pickard, A. S., Xie, F., Crawford, S. A., & Gu, N. Y. (2021). A US Population Health Survey on the impact of COVID-19 using the EQ-5D-5L. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 36(5), 1292–1301. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-021-06674-z>
- <sup>10</sup> Krieger, N. (2020). ENOUGH: COVID-19, structural racism, police brutality, plutocracy, climate change—and time for health justice, democratic governance, and an equitable, sustainable future. *American Journal of Public Health*, 110(11), 1620-1623. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305886>
- <sup>11</sup> Boden, M., Zimmerman, L., Azevedo, K. J., Ruzek, J. I., Gala, S., Magid, H. S. A., Cohen, N., Walser, R., Mahtani, N.D., Joggatt, L.J., & McLean, C. P. (2021). Addressing the mental health impact of

- COVID-19 through population health. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 85, 102006.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102006>
- <sup>12</sup> Waters, R. (2019, August 28). *Spotlight: Governor's 'mental health czar' seeks new blueprint for care in California*. California Healthline. <https://californiahealthline.org/news/governors-mental-health-czar-seeks-new-blueprint-for-care-in-california/>.
- <sup>13</sup> World Health Organization. (2005). *Promoting mental health: Concepts, emerging evidence, and practice. Summary report*. World Health Organization.  
<https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42940/9241591595.pdf>
- <sup>14</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>15</sup> Allen, J., Balfour, R., Bell, R., & Marmot, M. (2014). Social determinants of mental health. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 26(4), 392-407. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2014.928270>
- <sup>16</sup> Silva, M., Loureiro, A., & Cardoso, G. (2016). Social determinants of mental health: A review of the evidence. *The European Journal of Psychiatry*, 30(4), 259-292.  
[https://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?pid=S0213-61632016000400004&script=sci\\_arttext&lng=en](https://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?pid=S0213-61632016000400004&script=sci_arttext&lng=en)
- <sup>17</sup> APA. (n.d.). Mental disorder. In *APA dictionary of psychology*. <https://dictionary.apa.org/mental-disorder>
- <sup>18</sup> Hasin, D. S., O'Brien, C. P., Auriacombe, M., Borges, G., Bucholz, K., Budney, A., Compton, W. M., Crowley, T., Ling, W., Petry, N. M., Schuckit, M., & Grant, B. F. (2013). DSM-5 criteria for substance use disorders: Recommendations and rationale. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, 170(8), 834-51. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2013.12060782>.
- <sup>19</sup> Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Prevention of Mental Disorders. (1994) In. Mrazek, P. J., & Haggerty, R. J. (Eds.). *Reducing risks for mental disorders: Frontiers for preventive intervention research*. The National Academies Press. <https://dx.doi.org/10.17226/2139>
- <sup>20</sup> Mei, C., Killackey, E., Chanen, A., & McGorry, P. D. (2021). Early intervention and youth mental health: Synergistic paradigms to transform mental health outcomes. In *Innovations in Global Mental Health* (pp. 929-939). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- <sup>21</sup> Le, L. K., Esturas, A. C., Mihalopoulos, C., Chiotelis, O., Bucholc, J., Chatterton, M. L., & Engel, L. (2021). Cost-effectiveness evidence of mental health prevention and promotion interventions: A systematic review of economic evaluations. *PLoS Medicine*, 18(5), e1003606.  
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003606>
- <sup>22</sup> National Research Council and Institute of Medicine Committee on the Prevention of Mental Disorders and Substance Abuse Among Children, Youth, and Young Adults: Research Advances and Promising Interventions. (2009). *Preventing mental, emotional, and behavioral disorders among young people: Progress and possibilities*. The National Academies Press.  
<https://doi.org/10.17226/12480>

- <sup>23</sup> John-Baptiste, A. A., Li, L., Isaranuwachai, W., Osuch, E., & Anderson, K. K. (2019). Healthcare utilization costs of emerging adults with mood and anxiety disorders in an early intervention treatment program compared to a matched cohort. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 13(6), 1439-1446. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/eip.12790>
- <sup>24</sup> Nores, M., Belfield, C. R., Barnett, W. S., & Schweinhart, L. (2005). Updating the economic impacts of the High/Scope Perry Preschool Program. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 27(3), 245–61. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3102/01623737027003245>
- <sup>25</sup> World Health Organization. (2005). *Promoting mental health: Concepts, emerging evidence, and practice. Summary report*. World Health Organization. [https://www.who.int/mental\\_health/evidence/en/promoting\\_mhh.pdf](https://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/en/promoting_mhh.pdf)
- <sup>26</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>27</sup> Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Prevention of Mental Disorders. (1994) In. Mrazek, P. J., & Haggerty, R. J. (Eds.). *Reducing risks for mental disorders: Frontiers for preventive intervention research*. The National Academies Press. <https://dx.doi.org/10.17226/2139>
- <sup>28</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>29</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>30</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>31</sup> Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2012). *SAMHSA's Working Definition of Recovery*. <https://store.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/d7/priv/pep12-recdef.pdf>
- <sup>32</sup> Llewellyn-Beardsley, J., Rennick-Egglestone, S., Callard, F., Crawford, P., Farkas, M., Hui, A., Manley, D., MCGranahan, R., Pollock, K., Ramsay, A., Sælør, K.T., Wright, N., & Slade, M. (2019). Characteristics of mental health recovery narratives: Systematic review and narrative synthesis. *PLoS One*, 14(3), e0214678. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214678>
- <sup>33</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>34</sup> Segal, Z. V., Pearson, J. L., & Thase, M. E. (2003). Challenges in preventing relapse in major depression: Report of a National Institute of Mental Health Workshop on state of the science of relapse prevention in major depression. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 77(2), 97–108. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327\(02\)00112-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-0327(02)00112-X)
- <sup>35</sup> Healthy People 2030, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. (n.d.). *Social determinants of health*. <https://health.gov/healthypeople/objectives-and-data/social-determinants-health>
- <sup>36</sup> World Health Organization. (2005). *Promoting mental health: Concepts, emerging evidence, and practice. Summary report*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42940/9241591595.pdf>

- <sup>37</sup> Friedli, L., & World Health Organization. (2009). *Mental health, resilience and inequalities* (No. EU/08/5087203). Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe.  
<https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/107925>
- <sup>38</sup> Sun, S., Lin, D., & Operario, D. (2020). Need for a population health approach to understand and address psychosocial consequences of COVID-19. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*, 12(S1), S25–S27. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/tra0000618>
- <sup>39</sup> Canady, V. A. (2020). APA adopts new resolution to address pandemic challenges. *Mental Health Weekly*, 30(32), 5-6. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mhw.32477>
- <sup>40</sup> Purtle, J., Nelson, K. L., Counts, N. Z., & Yudell, M. (2020). Population-based approaches to mental health: History, strategies, and evidence. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 41, 201–221.  
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040119-094247>
- <sup>41</sup> Marmot, M., Friel, S., Bell, R., Houweling, T. A., & Taylor, S. (2008). Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity through action on the social determinants of health. *Lancet*, 372(9650), 1661–1669.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(08\)61690-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61690-6)
- <sup>42</sup> Astho, National Association of Medicaid Directors, and de Beaumont Foundation. (n.d.). Medicaid and public health partnership learning series: Public health and population health 101.  
<https://www.astho.org/Health-Systems-Transformation/Medicaid-and-Public-Health-Partnerships/Learning-Series/Public-Health-and-Population-Health-101/>
- <sup>43</sup> Young, T. K. (2004). *Population health: Concepts and methods*. Oxford University Press.  
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195158540.001.0001>
- <sup>44</sup> Marmot, M., & Wilkinson, R. (Eds.). (2005). *Social determinants of health*. Oxford University Press.  
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198565895.001.0001>
- <sup>45</sup> American Psychological Association (2020). Psychology's understanding of the challenges related to the COVID-19 global pandemic in the United States. <https://www.apa.org/about/policy/covid-statement.pdf>
- <sup>46</sup> Evans, A. C., & Bufka, L. F. (2020). The critical need for a population health approach: Addressing the nation's behavioral health during the COVID-19 pandemic and beyond. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 17, E79. <https://doi.org/10.5888/pcd17.200261>
- <sup>47</sup> World Health Organization. (2002). *Prevention and promotion in mental health*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42539/9241562161.pdf>
- <sup>48</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>49</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>50</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>51</sup> Boden, M., Zimmerman, L., Azevedo, K. J., Ruzek, J. I., Gala, S., Magid, H. S. A., Cohen, N., Walser, R., Mahtani, N.D., Joggatt, L.J., & McLean, C. P. (2021). Addressing the mental health impact of

- COVID-19 through population health. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 85, 102006.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102006>
- <sup>52</sup> Palinkas, L. A., & Wong, M. (2020). Global climate change and mental health. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 32, 12-16. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.06.023>
- <sup>53</sup> Pinderhughes, H. Davis, R., & Williams, M. (2015). Adverse community experiences and resilience: A framework for addressing and preventing community trauma. *Justice Research and Statistics Association*. Retrieved November 12, 2020, from <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11990/988>
- <sup>54</sup> World Health Organization. (2004). *Prevention of mental disorders: Effective interventions and policy options: Summary report*. World Health Organization.  
[https://www.who.int/mental\\_health/evidence/en/prevention\\_of\\_mental\\_disorders\\_sr.pdf](https://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/en/prevention_of_mental_disorders_sr.pdf)
- <sup>55</sup> Jacob, C., Baird, J., Barker, M., Cooper, C., & Hanson, M. (2017). The importance of a life course approach to health: Chronic disease risk from preconception through adolescence and adulthood. World Health Organization. <https://eprints.soton.ac.uk/436656/>
- <sup>56</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing Mental Health Promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>57</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2021). *The Mental Health Services Act*. <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/the-act-mhsa/>.
- <sup>58</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>59</sup> California Code of Regulations, Title 9, Section 5840.
- <sup>60</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2022). *Transparency Suite: Fiscal Transparency Dashboard*. <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/transparency-suite/fiscal-transparency-dashboard/>.
- <sup>61</sup> Title 9 California Code of Regulations, Division 1, Chapter 14 MHS
- <sup>62</sup> Chaptered by the Secretary of State. Chapter 843, Statutes of 2018.
- <sup>63</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>64</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>65</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>66</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>67</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2021). *Prevention and early intervention*. <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/initiatives/prevention-early-intervention/>
- <sup>68</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>69</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2021). *MHSOAC Initiatives*. <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/initiatives/>
- <sup>70</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2021). *Cultural and linguistic committee meeting – December 8, 2021*. Meeting material available at

<https://mhsoac.ca.gov/connect/committees/cultural-and-linguistic-competency-committee-meeting-december-8-2021/>

- <sup>71</sup> California Code of Regulations, Title 9, Section 3755
- <sup>72</sup> California Code of Regulations, Title 9, Section 3510 – 3560.
- <sup>73</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2021). *Upstream university*.  
<https://mhsoac.ca.gov/upstream-university/>
- <sup>74</sup> Lake, J., & Turner, M. S. (2017). Urgent need for improved mental health care and a more collaborative model of care. *The Permanente Journal*, 21, 17–024. <https://dx.doi.org/10.7812/TPP/17-024>
- <sup>75</sup> World Health Organization. (2004). *Prevention of mental disorders: Effective interventions and policy options: Summary report*. World Health Organization.  
[https://www.who.int/mental\\_health/evidence/en/prevention\\_of\\_mental\\_disorders\\_sr.pdf](https://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/en/prevention_of_mental_disorders_sr.pdf)
- <sup>76</sup> National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States, Baciu, A., Negussie, Y., Geller, A., & Weinstein, J. N. (Eds.). (2017). *Communities in action: Pathways to health equity*. National Academies Press (US).
- <sup>77</sup> Petek, G. (2021, August). *The 2021-22 budget: Overview of the spending plan*. Legislative Analyst's Office. [https://lao.ca.gov/Publications/Report/4448#Budget\\_Overview](https://lao.ca.gov/Publications/Report/4448#Budget_Overview)
- <sup>78</sup> Allen, J., Balfour, R., Bell, R., & Marmot, M. (2014). Social determinants of mental health. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 26(4), 392-407. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2014.928270>
- <sup>79</sup> Marmot, M., Friel, S., Bell, R., Houweling, T. A., & Taylor, S. (2008). Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity through action on the social determinants of health. *Lancet*, 372(9650), 1661–1669.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(08\)61690-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61690-6)
- <sup>80</sup> Allen, J., Balfour, R., Bell, R., & Marmot, M. (2014). Social determinants of mental health. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 26(4), 392-407. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2014.928270>
- <sup>81</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>82</sup> Silva, M., Loureiro, A., & Cardoso, G. (2016). Social determinants of mental health: A review of the evidence. *The European Journal of Psychiatry*, 30(4), 259-292.  
[https://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?pid=S0213-61632016000400004&script=sci\\_arttext&tlng=en](https://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?pid=S0213-61632016000400004&script=sci_arttext&tlng=en)
- <sup>83</sup> Thienhaus, O., Halpin, L., Sobowale, K., & Trestman, R. (2020). Resource document on social determinants of health. American Psychiatric Association.  
[https://www.psychiatry.org/File%20Library/Psychiatrists/Directories/Library-and-Archive/resource\\_documents/Resource-Document-2020-Social-Determinants-of-Health.pdf](https://www.psychiatry.org/File%20Library/Psychiatrists/Directories/Library-and-Archive/resource_documents/Resource-Document-2020-Social-Determinants-of-Health.pdf)

- <sup>84</sup> Lin, Q., Paykin, S., Halpern, D., Martinez-Cardoso, A., & Kolak, M. (2022). Assessment of structural barriers and racial group disparities of COVID-19 mortality with spatial analysis. *JAMA Network Open*, 5(3), e220984. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.0984>
- <sup>85</sup> Garcia, E., Eckel, S. P., Chen, Z., Li, K., & Gilliland, F. D. (2021). COVID-19 mortality in California based on death certificates: Disproportionate impacts across racial/ethnic groups and nativity. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 58, 69–75. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2021.03.006>
- <sup>86</sup> Chen, Y. H., Glymour, M. M., Catalano, R., Fernandez, A., Nguyen, T., Kushel, M., & Bibbins-Domingo, K. (2021). Excess mortality in California during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic, March to August 2020. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 181(5), 705–707. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.7578>
- <sup>87</sup> Garcia, E., Eckel, S. P., Chen, Z., Li, K., & Gilliland, F. D. (2021). COVID-19 mortality in California based on death certificates: Disproportionate impacts across racial/ethnic groups and nativity. *Annals of Epidemiology*, 58, 69–75. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.annepidem.2021.03.006>
- <sup>88</sup> Troutman-Jordan, M., & Kazemi, D. M. (2020). COVID-19's impact on the mental health of older adults: Increase in isolation, depression, and suicide risk. An urgent call for action. *Public Health Nursing*. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/phn.12774>
- <sup>89</sup> Hawke, L. D., Hayes, E., Darnay, K., & Henderson, J. (2021). Mental health among transgender and gender diverse youth: An exploration of effects during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, 8(2). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000467>
- <sup>90</sup> National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States, Baciu, A., Negussie, Y., Geller, A., & Weinstein, J. N. (Eds.). (2017). *Communities in action: Pathways to health equity*. National Academies Press (US).
- <sup>91</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>92</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>93</sup> Gee, G. C., & Ford, C. L. (2011). Structural racism and health inequities: Old issues, new directions. *Du Bois Review: Social Science Research on Race*, 8(1), 115–132. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1742058X11000130>
- <sup>94</sup> Charles, C. Z. (2003). The dynamics of racial residential segregation. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 29(1), 167–207. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.soc.29.010202.100002>
- <sup>95</sup> Bailey, Z. D., Feldman, J. M., & Bassett, M. T. (2021). How structural racism works—Racist policies as a root cause of US racial health inequities. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 384, 768–773. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMms2025396>
- <sup>96</sup> Ibid.

<sup>97</sup> Ibid.

<sup>98</sup> Lynch, E. E., Malcoe, L. H., Laurent, S. E., Richardson, J., Mitchell, B. C., & Meier, H. C. (2021). The legacy of structural racism: Associations between historic redlining, current mortgage lending, and health. *SSM-Population Health*, *14*, 100793. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssmph.2021.100793>

<sup>99</sup> Johnson, T. J. (2020). Intersection of bias, structural racism, and social determinants with health care inequities. *Pediatrics*, *146*(2), e2020003657. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-003657>

<sup>100</sup> Cummings, J.R, Allen, L., Clennon, J. Ji, Xo, & Druss, B.G. (2017). Geographic access to specialty mental health care across high- and low-income US communities. *JAMA Psychiatry* *74*, 476 – 484. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.0303>

<sup>101</sup> Meyer, I. H. (2015). Resilience in the study of minority stress and health of sexual and gender minorities. *Psychology of Sexual Orientation and Gender Diversity*, *2*( 3), 209–213. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/sgd0000132>

<sup>102</sup> Purtle, J., Nelson, K. L., Counts, N. Z., & Yudell, M. (2020). Population-based approaches to mental health: History, strategies, and evidence. *Annual Review of Public Health*, *41*, 201–221. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040119-094247>

<sup>103</sup> California Association of Public Hospitals and Health Systems. (2021, March 16). *County leaders declare racism a public health crisis*. CAPH news. <https://caph.org/2021/03/16/county-leaders-statement-on-racism-as-a-public-health-crisis/>

<sup>104</sup> Ibid.

<sup>105</sup> Ibid.

<sup>106</sup> Artiga, S., Orgera, K., & Pham, O. (2020). Disparities in health and health care: Five key questions and answers (Issue Brief). *Kaiser Family Foundation*. <https://files.kff.org/attachment/Issue-Brief-Disparities-in-Health-and-Health-Care-Five-Key-Questions-and-Answers>

<sup>107</sup> Ortega, A. N., McKenna, R. M., Pintor, J. K., Langellier, B. A., Roby, D. H., Pourat, N., Bustamante, A. V., & Wallace, S. P. (2018). Health care access and physical and behavioral health among undocumented Latinos in California. *Medical Care*, *56*(11), 919. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0000000000000985>

<sup>108</sup> Yearby, R. (2018). Racial disparities in health status and access to health care: The continuation of inequality in the United States due to structural racism. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, *77*(3-4), 1113-1152. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ajes.12230>

<sup>109</sup> Connors, J., Cásaes, C., Honigberg, M. C., & Davis, J. A. (2020). LGBTQ health disparities. In J. R. Lehman, K. Diaz, H. Ng., E. M. Petty, M. Thatikunta, & K. Eckstrand (Eds.) *The equal curriculum: The student and educator guide to LGBTQ health* (pp. 13-31). Springer. [https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24025-7\\_2](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24025-7_2)

- <sup>110</sup> Purtle, J., Nelson, K. L., Counts, N. Z., & Yudell, M. (2020). Population-based approaches to mental health: History, strategies, and evidence. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 41, 201–221. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040119-094247>
- <sup>111</sup> Yi, S.S., Kwon, S.C., Suss, R., Doan, L.N., John, I., Islam, N.S., & Trinh-Shevrin, C. (2022). The mutually reinforcing cycle of poor data quality and racialized stereotypes that shapes Asian American health. *Health Affairs*, 41(2). <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2021.01417>
- <sup>112</sup> Zhang, X., Holt, J. B., Yun, S., Lu., H., Greenlund, K. J., & Croft, J. B. (2015). Validation of multilevel regression and poststratification methodology for small area estimation of health indicators from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 182(2), 127–37. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwv002>
- <sup>113</sup> Islam NS, Khan S, Kwon S, Jang D, Ro M, Trinh-Shevrin C. (2010). Methodological issues in the collection, analysis, and reporting of granular data in Asian American populations: Historical challenges and potential solutions. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved*, 21(4):1354–81. <https://doi.org/10.1353%2Fhpu.2010.0939>
- <sup>114</sup> Yi, S.S., Kwon, S.C., Suss, R., Doan, L.N., John, I., Islam, N.S., & Trinh-Shevrin, C. (2022). The mutually reinforcing cycle of poor data quality and racialized stereotypes that shapes Asian American health. *Health Affairs*, 41(2). <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2021.01417>
- <sup>115</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021). *COVID data tracker: Demographic trends of COVID-19 cases and deaths in the US reported to CDC*. <https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker/#demographics>
- <sup>116</sup> Islam NS, Khan S, Kwon S, Jang D, Ro M, Trinh-Shevrin C. (2010). Methodological issues in the collection, analysis, and reporting of granular data in Asian American populations: Historical challenges and potential solutions. *Journal of Health Care for the Poor and Underserved*, 21(4):1354–81. <https://doi.org/10.1353%2Fhpu.2010.0939>
- <sup>117</sup> Freeman, E. J., Colpe, L. J., Strine, T. W., Dhingra, S., McGuire, L. C., Elam-Evans, L. D., & Perry, G. S. (2010). Peer reviewed: Public health surveillance for mental health. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 7(1). [http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2010/jan/09\\_0126.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2010/jan/09_0126.htm)
- <sup>118</sup> Iyamu, I., Gómez-Ramírez, O., Xu, A. X. T., Chang, H., Watt, S., Mckee, G., & Gilbert, M. (2022). Challenges in the development of digital public health interventions and mapped solutions: Findings from a scoping review. *Digital Health*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076221102255>
- <sup>119</sup> Watkins, K. E., Burnam, M. A., Okeke, E. N., & Setodji, C. M. (2013). Evaluating the impact of prevention and early intervention activities on the mental health of California's population. *Rand Health Quarterly*, 2(4), 1. <https://dx.doi.org/10.7249/TR1316>
- <sup>120</sup> California Code of Regulations, Title 9, Section 3755

- <sup>121</sup> Yi., S.S., Kwon, S.C., Suss, R., Doan, L.N., John, I., Islam, N.S., & Trinh-Shevrin, C. (2022). The mutually reinforcing cycle of poor data quality and racialized stereotypes that shapes Asian American health. *Health Affairs*, 41(2). <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2021.01417>
- <sup>122</sup> Purtle, J., Nelson, K. L., Counts, N. Z., & Yudell, M. (2020). Population-based approaches to mental health: History, strategies, and evidence. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 41, 201–221. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040119-094247>
- <sup>123</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>124</sup> Bigland, C., Evans, D., Bolden, R., & Rae, M. (2020). Systems leadership in practice: Thematic insights from three public health case studies. *BMC Public Health*, 20(1), 1735. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09641-1>
- <sup>125</sup> National Technical Assistance and Evaluation Center for Systems of Care. (2008). A closer look: Interagency collaboration. *Child Welfare Information Gateway, U.S. Children’s Bureau*. <https://www.childwelfare.gov/pubPDFs/interagency.pdf>
- <sup>126</sup> Purtle, J., Nelson, K. L., Counts, N. Z., & Yudell, M. (2020). Population-based approaches to mental health: History, strategies, and evidence. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 41, 201–221. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040119-094247>
- <sup>127</sup> National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States, Baciu, A., Negussie, Y., Geller, A., & Weinstein, J. N. (Eds.). (2017). *Communities in action: Pathways to health equity*. National Academies Press (US).
- <sup>128</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>129</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>130</sup> H.R.379 - Improving Social Determinants of Health Act of 2021 117th Congress (2021-2022). <https://www.congress.gov/bill/117th-congress/house-bill/379/text>
- <sup>131</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>132</sup> Bigland, C., Evans, D., Bolden, R., & Rae, M. (2020). Systems leadership in practice: Thematic insights from three public health case studies. *BMC Public Health*, 20(1), 1735. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-09641-1>
- <sup>133</sup> California Department of Aging (n.d.). *Master plan for aging*. Retrieved September 12, 2022, from <https://mpa.aging.ca.gov/>
- <sup>134</sup> California Department of Aging (n.d.). *Master plan for aging*. Retrieved September 12, 2022, from <https://mpa.aging.ca.gov/>
- <sup>135</sup> California Department of Aging (n.d.). *Master plan for aging*. Retrieved September 12, 2022, from <https://mpa.aging.ca.gov/>
- <sup>136</sup> Office of Governor Gavin Newsom. (2021, October 04). *Governor Newsom announces appointments on 10.4.21*. State of California. <https://www.gov.ca.gov/2021/10/04/governor-newsom-announces->

[appointments-10-4-21/#:~:text=Kimberly%20McCoy%20Wade%2C%2050%2C%20of,Department%20of%20Aging%20since%202019.](#)

<sup>137</sup> Ibid.

<sup>138</sup> Ibid.

<sup>139</sup> Ibid.

<sup>140</sup> Whitman, A., De Lew, N., Chappel, A., Aysola, V., Zuckerman, R., & Sommers, B. D. (2022).

Addressing social determinants of health: Examples of successful evidence-based strategies and current federal efforts.

<https://www.aspe.hhs.gov/sites/default/files/documents/e2b650cd64cf84aae8ff0fae7474af82/SD-OH-Evidence-Review.pdf>

<sup>141</sup> Ibid.

<sup>142</sup> Bayer, E., Weiss, S., Hacker, K., & Glasgow, L. (2021, October). Improving social determinants of health-getting further faster. In *APHA 2021 Annual Meeting and Expo*. APHA.

<https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/programs-impact/sdoh/pdf/GFF-eval-brief-508.pdf>

<sup>143</sup> Ibid.

<sup>144</sup> Ibid.

<sup>145</sup> Ibid.

<sup>146</sup> Ibid.

<sup>147</sup> Chaptered by the Secretary of State. Chapter 815, Statutes of 2018.

<sup>148</sup> California Health and Human Services. (2022). *System of care for children and youth*. Retrieved September 16, 2022, from [https://www.chhs.ca.gov/home/system-of-care/#:~:text=AB%202083%20Implementation,-](https://www.chhs.ca.gov/home/system-of-care/#:~:text=AB%202083%20Implementation,-Our%20System%20of&text=The%20legislation%20calls%20for%20the,to%20foster%20children%20and%20youth.)

[Our%20System%20of&text=The%20legislation%20calls%20for%20the,to%20foster%20children%20and%20youth.](https://www.chhs.ca.gov/home/system-of-care/#:~:text=AB%202083%20Implementation,-Our%20System%20of&text=The%20legislation%20calls%20for%20the,to%20foster%20children%20and%20youth.)

<sup>149</sup> California Health and Human Services. (2022). *System of care for children and youth*. Retrieved September 16, 2022, from [https://www.chhs.ca.gov/home/system-of-care/#:~:text=AB%202083%20Implementation,-](https://www.chhs.ca.gov/home/system-of-care/#:~:text=AB%202083%20Implementation,-Our%20System%20of&text=The%20legislation%20calls%20for%20the,to%20foster%20children%20and%20youth.)

[Our%20System%20of&text=The%20legislation%20calls%20for%20the,to%20foster%20children%20and%20youth.](https://www.chhs.ca.gov/home/system-of-care/#:~:text=AB%202083%20Implementation,-Our%20System%20of&text=The%20legislation%20calls%20for%20the,to%20foster%20children%20and%20youth.)

<sup>150</sup> California Health and Human Services. (2022). *System of care for children and youth*. Retrieved September 16, 2022, from [https://www.chhs.ca.gov/home/system-of-care/#:~:text=AB%202083%20Implementation,-](https://www.chhs.ca.gov/home/system-of-care/#:~:text=AB%202083%20Implementation,-Our%20System%20of&text=The%20legislation%20calls%20for%20the,to%20foster%20children%20and%20youth.)

[Our%20System%20of&text=The%20legislation%20calls%20for%20the,to%20foster%20children%20and%20youth.](https://www.chhs.ca.gov/home/system-of-care/#:~:text=AB%202083%20Implementation,-Our%20System%20of&text=The%20legislation%20calls%20for%20the,to%20foster%20children%20and%20youth.)

<sup>151</sup> Ibid.

- <sup>152</sup> Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2022, April 14). *Substance abuse prevention and treatment block grant*. <https://www.samhsa.gov/grants/block-grants/sabg>
- <sup>153</sup> California Department of Public Health. (2021, October 22). *Ending the epidemics strategic plan*. Office of AIDS. [https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DOA/Pages/OA\\_div\\_EtE.aspx](https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/DOA/Pages/OA_div_EtE.aspx)
- <sup>154</sup> California Office of Traffic Safety. (2020). *2020-2023 strategic management plan*. <https://www.ots.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/sites/67/2021/02/2020-2023-OTS-Strategic-Plan-FINAL.pdf>
- <sup>155</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2019, December 19). Striving for zero: California's strategic plan for suicide prevention 2020-2025. <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/newsroom/announcements/striving-for-zero-californias-strategic-plan-for-suicide-prevention-2020-2025/>
- <sup>156</sup> National Technical Assistance and Evaluation Center for Systems of Care. (2008). A closer look: Interagency collaboration. *Child Welfare Information Gateway, U.S. Children's Bureau*. <https://www.childwelfare.gov/pubPDFs/interagency.pdf>
- <sup>157</sup> Saxena, S., Jané-Llopis, E., & Hosman, C. (2006). Prevention of mental and behavioural disorders: implications for policy and practice. *World psychiatry : official journal of the World Psychiatric Association (WPA)*, 5(1), 5–14.
- <sup>158</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>159</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>160</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>161</sup> California Department of Health Care Services. (2022, June 06). *Behavioral health prevention plan*. <https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/services/Pages/BHPP.aspx>
- <sup>162</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>163</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>164</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2021). *The Mental Health Services Act*. <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/the-act-mhsa/>
- <sup>165</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>166</sup> Senate Bill 1004 (Weiner). Chaptered by the Secretary of State. Chapter 843, Statutes of 2018.
- <sup>167</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>168</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>169</sup> Shonkoff, J. P., & Fisher, P. A. (2013). Rethinking evidence-based practice and two-generation programs to create the future of early childhood policy. *Development and Psychopathology*, 25(40 2), 1635–1653. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1017%2FS0954579413000813>

- <sup>170</sup> Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. (2018). CDC's social vulnerability index (SVI). [Interactive Map]. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Retrieved July 21, 2022, from <https://svi.cdc.gov/map.html>
- <sup>171</sup> Public Health Alliance of Southern California. (2019). California healthy places index. [Interactive Map]. Retrieved July 21, 2022, from <https://map.healthyplacesindex.org/?redirect=false>
- <sup>172</sup> National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States, Baciu, A., Negussie, Y., Geller, A., & Weinstein, J. N. (Eds.). (2017). *Communities in action: Pathways to health equity*. National Academies Press (US).
- <sup>173</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>174</sup> Lavery, S. H., Smith, M. L., Esparza, A. A., Hrushow, A., Moore, M., & Reed, D. F. (2005). The community action model: A community-driven model designed to address disparities in health. *American Journal of Public Health, 95*(4), 611–616. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2004.047704>
- <sup>175</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>176</sup> Geekiyanage, D., Fernando, T., & Keraminiyage, K. (2020). Assessing the state of the art in community engagement for participatory decision-making in disaster risk-sensitive urban development. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 51*, 101847. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101847>
- <sup>177</sup> California Code of Regulations. Title 9, § 3650 – Community Services and Supports Component of the Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan.
- <sup>178</sup> California Code of Regulations. Title 9, § 3650 – Community Services and Supports Component of the Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan.
- <sup>179</sup> California Code of Regulations. Title 9, § 3650 – Community Services and Supports Component of the Three-Year Program and Expenditure Plan.
- <sup>180</sup> National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States, Baciu, A., Negussie, Y., Geller, A., & Weinstein, J. N. (Eds.). (2017). *Communities in action: Pathways to health equity*. National Academies Press (US).
- <sup>181</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>182</sup> Fagan, A.A., Bumbarger, B.K., Barth, R.P., Bradshaw, C.P., Cooper, B.R., Supplee, L.H., & Walker, D.K. (2019). Scaling up evidence-based interventions in US public systems to prevent behavioral

- health problems: Challenges and opportunities. *Prevention Science* 20, 1147–1168.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-019-01048-8>
- <sup>183</sup> Bayer, E., Weiss, S., Hacker, K., & Glasgow, L. (2021, October). Improving social determinants of health-getting further faster. In *APHA 2021 Annual Meeting and Expo*. APHA.  
<https://www.cdc.gov/chronicdisease/programs-impact/sdoh/pdf/GFF-eval-brief-508.pdf>
- <sup>184</sup> Chretien, J. P., Tomich, N. E., Gaydos, J. C., & Kelley, P. W. (2009). Real-time public health surveillance for emergency preparedness. *American Journal of Public Health*, 99(8), 1360–1363.  
<https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2008.133926>
- <sup>185</sup> Freeman, E. J., Colpe, L. J., Strine, T. W., Dhingra, S., McGuire, L. C., Elam-Evans, L. D., & Perry, G. S. (2010). Peer reviewed: Public health surveillance for mental health. *Preventing Chronic Disease*, 7(1). [http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2010/jan/09\\_0126.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2010/jan/09_0126.htm)
- <sup>186</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>187</sup> Davidson, A. J., Xu, S., Oronce, C. I. A., Durfee, M. J., McCormick, E. V., Steiner, J. F., Havranek, E., & Beck, A. (2018). Monitoring depression rates in an urban community: Use of electronic health records. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, 24(6), E6–E14.  
<https://doi.org/10.1097/PHH.0000000000000751>
- <sup>188</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>189</sup> Goldman-Mellor, S., Jia, Y., Kwan, K., & Rutledge, J. (2017). Syndromic surveillance of mental and substance use disorders: A validation study using emergency department chief complaints. *Psychiatric Services*, 69(1), 55–60. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201700028>
- <sup>190</sup> Nguyen A-M, Malig BJ, Basu R (2021) The association between ozone and fine particles and mental health-related emergency department visits in California, 2005–2013. *PLoS ONE* 16(4): e0249675. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249675>
- <sup>191</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>192</sup> National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States, Baciu, A., Negussie, Y., Geller, A., & Weinstein, J. N. (Eds.). (2017). *Communities in action: Pathways to health equity*. National Academies Press (US).
- <sup>193</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>194</sup> Ibid.

- <sup>195</sup> National Technical Assistance and Evaluation Center for Systems of Care. (2008). A closer look: Interagency collaboration. *Child Welfare Information Gateway, U.S. Children's Bureau*. <https://www.childwelfare.gov/pubPDFs/interagency.pdf>
- <sup>196</sup> UCLA Center for Health Policy Research. (2021). *About CHIS*. Retrieved December 3, 2021, from <https://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/chis/about/Pages/about.aspx>
- <sup>197</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2022). Behavioral risk factor surveillance system. <https://www.cdc.gov/brfss/>
- <sup>198</sup> Lyerla, R., & Stroup, D. F. (2018). Toward a public health surveillance system for behavioral health. *Public Health Reports*, 133(4), 360–365. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033354918772547>
- <sup>199</sup> National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States, Baciu, A., Negussie, Y., Geller, A., & Weinstein, J. N. (Eds.). (2017). *Communities in Action: Pathways to Health Equity*. National Academies Press (US).
- <sup>200</sup> California Welfare and Institutions Code, Section 5848(a).
- <sup>201</sup> Eberhart, N. K., Cerully, J. L., Shearer, A. L., Berry, S. H., Burnam, M. A., & Ebener, P. A. (2017). *Evaluation approaches for mental health prevention and early intervention programs*. RAND Corporation. [https://www.rand.org/pubs/research\\_reports/RR1882.html](https://www.rand.org/pubs/research_reports/RR1882.html)
- <sup>202</sup> Niendam, T. A., Sardo, A., Savill, M., Patel, P., Xing, G., Loewy, R. L., Dewa, C. S., & Melnikow, J. (2019). The rise of early psychosis care in California: An overview of community and university-based services. *Psychiatr Services*, 70(6), 480-487. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201800394>
- <sup>203</sup> Addington, D., Birchwood, M., Jones, P., Killackey, E., McDaid, D., Melau, M., Mizuno, M., Mueser, K., & Nordentoft, M. (2018). Fidelity scales and performance measures to support implementation and quality assurance for first episode psychosis services. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 12(6), 1235–1242. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/eip.12684>
- <sup>204</sup> Öngür, D., Carter, C. S., Gur, R. E., Perkins, D., Sawa, A., Seidman, L. J., Tamminga, C., Huggins, W., & Hamilton, C. (2020). Common data elements for National Institute of Mental Health-funded translational early psychosis research. *Biological Psychiatry: Cognitive Neuroscience and Neuroimaging*, 5(1), 10–22. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpsc.2019.06.009>
- <sup>205</sup> Frank JC, McNeill A, Wilson N, Dupuy D, Damron-Rodriguez J, Palimaru A, Kietzman K. (2018). Mental health services for older adults: Creating a system that tells the story. UCLA Center for Health Policy Research. <https://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/Documents/PDF/2018/creatingsystem-brief-jan2018.pdf>
- <sup>206</sup> Canady, V. A. (2021). National learning health care system to advance early psychosis care, research. *Mental Health Weekly*, 31(7), 1-3. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mhw.32681>

- <sup>207</sup> California Strategic Growth Council. (2020). *Technical Assistance Guidelines for State Agencies*. Retrieved August 12, 2020 from [https://sgc.ca.gov/meetings/council/2020/docs/20200826-TA\\_Guidelines.pdf](https://sgc.ca.gov/meetings/council/2020/docs/20200826-TA_Guidelines.pdf).
- <sup>208</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>209</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>210</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>211</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>212</sup> DeCorby-Watson, K., Mensah, G., Bergeron, K., Abdi, S., Rempel, B., & Manson, H. (2018). Effectiveness of capacity building interventions relevant to public health practice: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health*, 18(1), 1-15. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-018-5591-6>
- <sup>213</sup> Caulfield, A., Vatansever, D., Lambert, G., & Van Bortel, T. (2019). WHO guidance on mental health training: A systematic review of the progress for non-specialist health workers. *British Medical Journal Open*, 9(1), e024059. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024059>
- <sup>214</sup> Giles, L., Shepard, L., Asarnow, J., & Keeshin, B. R. (2021). Implementation of a trauma-informed suicide prevention intervention for youth presenting to the emergency department in crisis. *Evidence-Based Practice in Child and Adolescent Mental Health*, 6(3), 343-353. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/23794925.2021.1961643>
- <sup>215</sup> Thomas, S., & Watson, A. (2017). A focus for mental health training for police. *Journal of Criminological Research, Policy and Practice*, 3(2), 93-104. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JCRPP-01-2017-0005>
- <sup>216</sup> Ko, S. J., Ford, J. D., Kassam-Adams, N., Berkowitz, S. J., Wilson, C., Wong, M., Brymer, M. J., & Layne, C. M. (2008). Creating trauma-informed systems: Child welfare, education, first responders, health care, juvenile justice. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 39(4), 396. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1037/0735-7028.39.4.396>
- <sup>217</sup> The National Child Traumatic Stress Network. (n.d.). *About us*. Retrieved July 22, 2022, from <https://www.nctsn.org/about-us>
- <sup>218</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>219</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>220</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>221</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>222</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>223</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>224</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>

- <sup>225</sup> World Health Organization. (2004). *Prevention of mental disorders: Effective interventions and policy options: Summary report*. World Health Organization.  
[https://www.who.int/mental\\_health/evidence/en/prevention\\_of\\_mental\\_disorders\\_sr.pdf](https://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/en/prevention_of_mental_disorders_sr.pdf)
- <sup>226</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>227</sup> Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Prevention of Mental Disorders. (1994) In. Mrazek, P. J., & Haggerty, R. J. (Eds.). *Reducing risks for mental disorders: Frontiers for preventive intervention research*. The National Academies Press. <https://dx.doi.org/10.17226/2139>
- <sup>228</sup> Allen, J., Balfour, R., Bell, R., & Marmot, M. (2014). Social determinants of mental health. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 26(4), 392-407. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2014.928270>
- <sup>229</sup> Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T.B., Baker, M., Harris, T., & Stephenson, D. (2015). Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for mortality: A meta-analytic review. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(2), 227-237. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1745691614568352>
- <sup>230</sup> Paul, K. I., & Moser, K. (2009). Unemployment impairs mental health: Meta-analyses. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 74(3), 264–282. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jvb.2009.01.001>
- <sup>231</sup> Buckley, P. F. (2006). Prevalence and consequences of the dual diagnosis of substance abuse and severe mental illness. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 67(7), 5-9.
- <sup>232</sup> Chen, W. Y., Corvo, K., Lee, Y., & Hahm, H. C. (2017). Longitudinal trajectory of adolescent exposure to community violence and depressive symptoms among adolescents and young adults: Understanding the effect of mental health service usage. *Community Mental Health Journal*, 53(1), 39–52. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10597-016-0031-5>
- <sup>233</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>234</sup> Umberson, D., & Karas Montez, J. (2010). Social relationships and health: A flashpoint for health policy. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 51(1\_suppl), S54-S66.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0022146510383501>
- <sup>235</sup> Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on Prevention of Mental Disorders. (1994) In. Mrazek, P. J., & Haggerty, R. J. (Eds.). *Reducing risks for mental disorders: Frontiers for preventive intervention research*. The National Academies Press. <https://dx.doi.org/10.17226/2139>
- <sup>236</sup> Braveman, P., & Gruskin, S. (2003). Poverty, equity, human rights and health. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 81(7), 539-45.
- <sup>237</sup> Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2000). CESCR general comment No. 14: The right to the highest attainable standard of health (Art. 12). *Adopted at the Twenty-second Session of the Committee on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights*.  
<https://www.refworld.org/pdfid/4538838d0.pdf>

- <sup>238</sup> Narvaez, D. (Ed.). (2018). *Basic needs, wellbeing and morality: Fulfilling human potential*. Springer.  
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-97734-8>
- <sup>239</sup> Silva, M., Loureiro, A., & Cardoso, G. (2016). Social determinants of mental health: A review of the evidence. *The European Journal of Psychiatry*, 30(4), 259-292.  
[https://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?pid=S0213-61632016000400004&script=sci\\_arttext&lng=en](https://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?pid=S0213-61632016000400004&script=sci_arttext&lng=en)
- <sup>240</sup> Alegría, M., NeMoyer, A., Falgàs Bagué, I., Wang, Y., & Alvarez, K. (2018). Social determinants of mental health: Where we are and where we need to go. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 20(11), 95.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-018-0969-9>
- <sup>241</sup> Link, B.G., & Phelan, J. (1995). Social conditions as fundamental causes of disease. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 35, 80-94. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2626958>
- <sup>242</sup> Allen, J., Balfour, R., Bell, R., & Marmot, M. (2014). Social determinants of mental health. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 26(4), 392-407. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2014.928270>
- <sup>243</sup> Bohn, S., Thorman, T., & Herrera, J. (2022, July). Fact sheet: Income inequality in California. Public Policy Institute of California. <https://www.ppic.org/publication/income-inequality-in-california/>
- <sup>244</sup> Manzo, P., Gascon, H., Baum Block, B., & Beeby, D. (2021). *Struggling to move up: The real cost measure in California 2021*. United Ways of California.  
<https://www.unitedwaysca.org/images/RealCostMeasure2021/The-Real-Cost-Measure-in-California-2021-Executive-Summary.pdf>
- <sup>245</sup> California State Office of Rural Health. (2022, March 16). California. *Rural Health Information Hub*.  
<https://www.ruralhealthinfo.org/states/california>
- <sup>246</sup> Manzo, P., Gascon, H., Baum Block, B., & Beeby, D. (2021). *Struggling to move up: The real cost measure in California 2021*. United Ways of California.  
<https://www.unitedwaysca.org/images/RealCostMeasure2021/The-Real-Cost-Measure-in-California-2021-Executive-Summary.pdf>
- <sup>247</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>248</sup> The World Bank. (2022). *GDP (Current US\$)*[infographic]. Retrieved August 16, 2022, from  
<https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.MKTP.CD>
- <sup>249</sup> Manzo, P., Gascon, H., Baum Block, B., & Beeby, D. (2021). *Struggling to move up: The real cost measure in California 2021*. United Ways of California.  
<https://www.unitedwaysca.org/images/RealCostMeasure2021/The-Real-Cost-Measure-in-California-2021-Executive-Summary.pdf>
- <sup>250</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>251</sup> Churchwell, K., Elkind, M. S. V., Benjamin, R. M., Carson, A. P., Chang, E. K., Lawrence, W., Mills, A., Odom, T. M., Rodriguez, C. J., Rodriguez, F., Sanchez, E., Sharrief, A. Z., Sims, M., Williams, O., & American Heart Association. (2020). Call to action: Structural racism as a fundamental driver of

- health disparities: A presidential advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*, 142(24), e454-e468. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000000936>.
- <sup>252</sup> U. S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2019). Reimbursement of mental health services in primary care settings. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. <https://store.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/d7/priv/sma08-4324.pdf>
- <sup>253</sup> Hamel, L., Wu, B., Brodie, M., & Kaiser Family Foundation. (2019). The health care priorities and experiences of California residents: Findings from the Kaiser Family Foundation/California Health Care Foundation California Health Policy Survey. <https://www.chcf.org/publication/2019-california-health-policy-survey/>
- <sup>254</sup> Yearby, R. (2018). Racial disparities in health status and access to health care health care: The continuation of inequality in the United States due to structural racism. *American Journal of Economics and Sociology*, 77(3-4), 1113-1152. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ajes.12230>
- <sup>255</sup> American Community Survey. (2021). *Health insurance coverage status and type of coverage by state and age for all persons: 2019*. (HI-05\_ACS) [Survey Data Table]. United States Census Bureau. <https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/health-insurance/acs-hi.html>
- <sup>256</sup> Let's Get Healthy California. (n.d.). Americans are paying more out-of-pocket for health care now than they did in the past decade. <https://letsgethealthy.ca.gov/goals/lowering-the-cost-of-care/reducing-out-of-pocket-cost-for-health-carehealth-carehealth-care/>
- <sup>257</sup> Bailey, Z. D., Feldman, J. M., & Bassett, M. T. (2021). How structural racism works—Racist policies as a root cause of US racial health inequities. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 384, 768-773. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMms2025396>
- <sup>258</sup> Kessler, R. C., Heeringa, S., Lakoma, M. D., Petukhova, M., Rupp, A. E., Schoenbaum, M., Wang, P. S., & Zaslavsky, A. M. (2008). Individual and societal effects of mental disorders on earnings in the United States: Results from the national comorbidity survey replication. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 165(6), 703-711. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.08010126>
- <sup>259</sup> Open Minds. (2020). The U.S. mental health market: \$225.1 billion in spending in 2019: An OPEN MINDS market intelligence report. <https://openminds.com/intelligence-report/the-u-s-mental-health-market-225-1-billion-in-spending-in-2019-an-open-minds-market-intelligence-report/>
- <sup>260</sup> The White House. (2022, May 31). Reducing the economic burden of unmet mental health needs [Issue Brief]. <https://www.whitehouse.gov/cea/written-materials/2022/05/31/reducing-the-economic-burden-of-unmet-mental-health-needs/>
- <sup>261</sup> Bloom, D. E., Cafiero, E., Jané-Llopis, E., Abrahams-Gessel, S., Bloom, L. R., Fathima, S., Feigl, A. B., Gaziano, T., Hamandi, A., Mowafi, M., O'Farrell, D., Ozaltin, E., Pandya, A., Prettner, K., Rosenberg, L., Seligman, B., Stein, A. Z., Weinstein, C., & Weiss, J. (2011). The global economic burden of noncommunicable diseases. *World Economic Forum*.

- [https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF\\_Harvard\\_HE\\_GlobalEconomicBurdenNonCommunicableDiseases\\_2011.pdf](https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Harvard_HE_GlobalEconomicBurdenNonCommunicableDiseases_2011.pdf)
- <sup>262</sup> Davis, K. (2003). In the literature: The costs and consequences of being uninsured. *The Commonwealth Fund*.  
[https://www.commonwealthfund.org/sites/default/files/documents/media\\_files\\_publications\\_in\\_the\\_literature\\_2003\\_jun\\_the\\_costs\\_and\\_consequences\\_of\\_being\\_uninsured\\_davis\\_consequences\\_itl\\_663\\_pdf.pdf](https://www.commonwealthfund.org/sites/default/files/documents/media_files_publications_in_the_literature_2003_jun_the_costs_and_consequences_of_being_uninsured_davis_consequences_itl_663_pdf.pdf)
- <sup>263</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>264</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>265</sup> American Psychological Association. (2018). *Stress in America: Uncertainty about health care*.  
<https://www.apa.org/news/press/releases/stress/2017/uncertainty-health-care.pdf>
- <sup>266</sup> American Community Survey. (2021). *Health insurance coverage status and type of coverage by state and age for all persons: 2019*. (HI-05\_ACS) [Survey Data Table]. United States Census Bureau.  
<https://www.census.gov/data/tables/time-series/demo/health-insurance/acs-hi.html>
- <sup>267</sup> Dietz, M., Lucia, L., Kadiyala, S., Challenor, T., Rak, A., Roby, D.H., & Kominski, G.F. (2021, April 13). *Undocumented projected to remain California's largest group of uninsured in 2022*. California Health Care Foundation. <https://www.chcf.org/blog/undocumented-projected-remain-californias-largest-group-uninsured-2022/>
- <sup>268</sup> California State Office of Rural Health. (2022, March 16). *California. Rural health information hub*.  
<https://www.ruralhealthinfo.org/states/california>
- <sup>269</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>270</sup> <https://wellbeingindex.sharecare.com/interactive-map/?defaultState=CA>
- <sup>271</sup> California Department of Aging (n.d.). *Master plan for aging*. Retrieved September 12, 2022, from <https://mpa.aging.ca.gov/>
- <sup>272</sup> United States Census Bureau. (2022, September 13). Supplemental poverty measure. Retrieved September 14, 2022, from <https://www.census.gov/topics/income-poverty/supplemental-poverty-measure.html>
- <sup>273</sup> California Department of Aging (n.d.). *Master plan for aging*. Retrieved September 12, 2022, from <https://mpa.aging.ca.gov/>
- <sup>274</sup> Bohn, S., Bonner, D., Lafortune, J., & Thorman, T. (2020). Income inequality and economic opportunity in California. *Public Policy Institute*. <https://www.ppic.org/wp-content/uploads/incoming-inequality-and-economic-opportunity-in-california-december-2020.pdf>
- <sup>275</sup> Baldassare, M., Bonner, D., Lawler, R., & Thomas, D. (2021). *PPIC Statewide Survey: California and their economic wellbeing*. Public Policy Institute of California.  
<https://www.ppic.org/publication/ppic-statewide-survey-californians-and-their-economic-well-being-november-2021/>

- <sup>276</sup> California Forward. (2021). *California Dream Index*. Retrieved December 18, 2021, from <https://www.cadreamindex.org/>
- <sup>277</sup> Baldassare, M., Bonner, D., Lawler, R., & Thomas, D. (2021). PPIC Statewide Survey: California and their economic wellbeing. Public Policy Institute of California. <https://www.ppic.org/publication/ppic-statewide-survey-californians-and-their-economic-well-being-november-2021/>
- <sup>278</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>279</sup> Babones, S. J. (2008). Income inequality and population health: Correlation and causality. *Social Science & Medicine*, 66(7), 1614-1626. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2007.12.012>
- <sup>280</sup> World mental health report: transforming mental health for all. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2022. License: CC BY-NC-SA 3.0 IGO.
- <sup>281</sup> Benda, N. C., Veinot, T. C., Sieck, C. J., & Ancker, J. S. (2020). Broadband internet access is a social determinant of health! *American Journal of Public Health*, 110(8), 1123-1125. <https://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305784>
- <sup>282</sup> Newman, K., Wang, A. H., Wang, A. Z. Y., & Hanna, D. (2019). The role of internet-based digital tools in reducing social isolation and addressing support needs among informal caregivers: A scoping review. *BMC Public Health*, 19(1), 1495. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-7837-3>
- <sup>283</sup> Duplaga, M., & Szulc, K. (2019). The association of internet use with wellbeing, mental health and health behaviours of persons with disabilities. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(18), 3252. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16183252>
- <sup>284</sup> Vargo, D., Zhu, L., Benwell, B., & Yan, Z. (2021). Digital technology use during COVID-19 pandemic: A rapid review. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 3(1), 13-24. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/hbe2.242>
- <sup>285</sup> Graves, J. M., Abshire, D. A., Amiri, S., & Mackelprang, J. L. (2021). Disparities in technology and broadband internet access across rurality: Implications for health and education. *Family & Community Health*, 44(4), 257–265. <https://doi.org/10.1097/FCH.0000000000000306>
- <sup>286</sup> Azzopardi-Muscat, N., & Sørensen, K. (2019). Towards an equitable digital public health era: Promoting equity through a health literacy perspective. *European Journal of Public Health*, 29(Supplement\_3), 13-17. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckz166>
- <sup>287</sup> Tebes, J. K., Champine, R. B., Matlin, S. L., & Strambler, M. J. (2019). Population health and trauma-informed practice: Implications for programs, systems, and policies. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 64(3-4), 494–508. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajcp.12382>
- <sup>288</sup> Pinderhughes, H. Davis, R., & Williams, M. (2015). Adverse community experiences and resilience: A framework for addressing and preventing community trauma. *Justice Research and Statistics Association*. Retrieved November 12, 2020, from <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11990/988>

- <sup>289</sup> Franke, H. A. (2014). Toxic stress: Effects, prevention and treatment. *Children*, 1(3), 390-402.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/children1030390>
- <sup>290</sup> Teicher, M. H., Andersen, S. L., Polcari, A., Anderson, C. M., Navalta, C. P., & Kim, D. M. (2003). The neurobiological consequences of early stress and childhood maltreatment. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*, 27(1-2), 33-44. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0149-7634\(03\)00007-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0149-7634(03)00007-1)
- <sup>291</sup> Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V., Koss, M. P., & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The adverse childhood experiences (ACE) study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 14(4), 245–258. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797\(98\)00017-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797(98)00017-8)
- <sup>292</sup> Bhushan, D., Kotz, K., McCall, J., Wirtz, S., Gilgoff, R., Dube, S. R., Powers, C., Olson-Morgan, J., Galeste, M., Patterson, K., Harris, L., Mills, A., Bethell, C., Burke Harris, N., & Office of the California Surgeon General. (2020). *Roadmap for resilience: The California Surgeon General's report on adverse childhood experiences, toxic stress, and health*. Office of the California Surgeon General. <https://dx.doi.org/10.48019/PEAM8812>
- <sup>293</sup> Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V., Koss, M. P., & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The adverse childhood experiences (ACE) study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 14(4), 245–258. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797\(98\)00017-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797(98)00017-8)
- <sup>294</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2019, November 5). *Vital signs: Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs)*. <https://www.cdc.gov/vitalsigns/aces/index.html>
- <sup>295</sup> Felitti, V. J., Anda, R. F., Nordenberg, D., Williamson, D. F., Spitz, A. M., Edwards, V., Koss, M. P., & Marks, J. S. (1998). Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The adverse childhood experiences (ACE) study. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 14(4), 245–258. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797\(98\)00017-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0749-3797(98)00017-8)
- <sup>296</sup> Bhushan, D., Kotz, K., McCall, J., Wirtz, S., Gilgoff, R., Dube, S. R., Powers, C., Olson-Morgan, J., Galeste, M., Patterson, K., Harris, L., Mills, A., Bethell, C., Burke Harris, N., & Office of the California Surgeon General. (2020). *Roadmap for resilience: The California Surgeon General's report on adverse childhood experiences, toxic stress, and health*. Office of the California Surgeon General. <https://dx.doi.org/10.48019/PEAM8812>
- <sup>297</sup> Let's Get Healthy California. (n.d.) Adverse childhood experiences have a lasting, harmful effect on health and wellbeing. Retrieved August 1, 2022, from <https://letsgethealthy.ca.gov/goals/healthy-beginnings/adverse-childhood-experiences/>
- <sup>298</sup> Ibid.

- <sup>299</sup> Miller, T. R., Waehrer, G. M., Oh, D. L., Boparai, S. P., Walker, S. O., Marques, S. S., & Harris, N. B. (2020). Adult health burden and costs in California during 2013 associated with prior adverse childhood experiences. *PLoS One*, *15*(1), <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0228019>
- <sup>300</sup> Daines, C.L., Hansen, D., Novilla, M.L.B., & Crandall, A. (2021). Effects of positive and negative childhood experiences on adult family health. *BMC Public Health*, *21*, 651  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10732-w>
- <sup>301</sup> Wang, D., Jiang, Q., Yang, Z., & Choi, J. K. (2021). The longitudinal influences of adverse childhood experiences and positive childhood experiences at family, school, and neighborhood on adolescent depression and anxiety. *Journal of affective disorders*, *292*, 542-551.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.05.108>
- <sup>302</sup> Wang, D., Jiang, Q., Yang, Z., & Choi, J. K. (2021). The longitudinal influences of adverse childhood experiences and positive childhood experiences at family, school, and neighborhood on adolescent depression and anxiety. *Journal of affective disorders*, *292*, 542-551.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.05.108>
- <sup>303</sup> Wang, D., Jiang, Q., Yang, Z., & Choi, J. K. (2021). The longitudinal influences of adverse childhood experiences and positive childhood experiences at family, school, and neighborhood on adolescent depression and anxiety. *Journal of affective disorders*, *292*, 542-551.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.05.108>
- <sup>304</sup> Daines, C.L., Hansen, D., Novilla, M.L.B., & Crandall, A. (2021). Effects of positive and negative childhood experiences on adult family health. *BMC Public Health*, *21*, 651  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-10732-w>
- <sup>305</sup> Kira, I. A. (2001). Taxonomy of trauma and trauma assessment. *Traumatology*, *7*(2), 73-86.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177%2F153476560100700202>
- <sup>306</sup> Luby, J. L., Constantino, J. N., & Barch, D. M. (2022). Poverty and developing brain. *Cerebrum: the Dana forum on brain science*, 2022, cer-04-22.  
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/pmc9224364/>
- <sup>307</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>308</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>309</sup> Let's Get Healthy California. (n.d.) Adverse childhood experiences have a lasting, harmful effect on health and wellbeing. Retrieved August 1, 2022, from <https://letsgethealthy.ca.gov/goals/healthy-beginnings/adverse-childhood-experiences/>
- <sup>310</sup> Silva, M., Loureiro, A., & Cardoso, G. (2016). Social determinants of mental health: A review of the evidence. *The European Journal of Psychiatry*, *30*(4), 259-292.  
[https://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?pid=S0213-61632016000400004&script=sci\\_arttext&lng=en](https://scielo.isciii.es/scielo.php?pid=S0213-61632016000400004&script=sci_arttext&lng=en)

- <sup>311</sup> Tan, S., Kudaravalli, S., & Kietzman, K. (2021). Who is caring for the caregivers? The financial, physical, and mental health costs of caregiving in California. *UCLA Center for Health Policy Research*. Policy Brief. ISSN 2691-7475.  
<https://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/search/pages/detail.aspx?PubID=2252>
- <sup>312</sup> Reinhard, S. C., Feinberg, L. F., Choula, R., & Houser, A. (2015). Valuing the invaluable: 2015 update. *Insight on the Issues*, 104. <https://www.aarp.org/content/dam/aarp/ppi/2015/valuing-the-invaluable-2015-update-new.pdf>
- <sup>313</sup> Johnson, B., Resnikoff, N., & Hashida, C. (2021). *The 2021-22 budget: Medi-Cal fiscal outlook*. LAO Publications. <https://lao.ca.gov/reports/2020/4299/medi-cal-fiscal-outlook-111820.pdf>
- <sup>314</sup> Meyer, K., Kaiser, N., Benton, D., Fitzpatrick, S. Gassoumis, Z., Wilber, K., & the California Task Force on Family Caregiving. (2018, July). Picking up the pace of change in California: A report from the California Task Force on Family Caregiving. USC Leonard Davis School of Gerontology.  
[http://tffc.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/USC\\_CA\\_TFFC\\_Report\\_Digital-FINAL.pdf](http://tffc.usc.edu/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/USC_CA_TFFC_Report_Digital-FINAL.pdf)
- <sup>315</sup> Tan, S., Kudaravalli, S., & Kietzman, K. (2021). Who is caring for the caregivers? The financial, physical, and mental health costs of caregiving in California. *UCLA Center for Health Policy Research*. Policy Brief. ISSN 2691-7475.  
<https://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/search/pages/detail.aspx?PubID=2252>
- <sup>316</sup> Pinderhughes, H. Davis, R., & Williams, M. (2015). Adverse community experiences and resilience: A framework for addressing and preventing community trauma. *Justice Research and Statistics Association*. Retrieved November 12, 2020, from <http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.11990/988>
- <sup>317</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>318</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>319</sup> Norris, F. H., Friedman, M. J., Watson, P. J., Byrne, C. M., Diaz, E., & Kaniasty, K. (2002). 60,000 disaster victims speak: Part I. An empirical review of the empirical literature, 1981—2001. *Psychiatry*, 65(3), 207-239.
- <sup>320</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>321</sup> Silver, R.C., Holman, E.A. & Garfin, D.R. Coping with cascading collective traumas in the United States. *Nature Human Behavior* 5, 4–6 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-00981-x>
- <sup>322</sup> Dong, L., Leung, L. R., Qian, Y., Zou, Y., Song, F., & Chen, X. (2021). Meteorological environments associated with California wildfires and their potential roles in wildfire changes during 1984–2017. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 126(5), e2020JD033180.
- <sup>323</sup> Afzal, M.H.B. (2021). California wildfire exposure, vulnerability, and mental health: Who gets to recover and who does not?" *APSA Preprints*. <https://doi.org/10.33774/apsa-2021-c5jdz>.
- <sup>324</sup> Silveira, S., Kornbluh, M., Withers, M. C., Grennan, G., Ramanathan, V., & Mishra, J. (2021). Chronic mental health sequelae of climate change extremes: A case study of the deadliest Californian

- wildfire. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(4), 1487.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18041487>
- <sup>325</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>326</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>327</sup> Ingle, H. E., & Mikulewicz, M. (2020). Mental health and climate change: Tackling invisible injustice. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 4(4), e128-e130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(20\)30081-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(20)30081-4)
- <sup>328</sup> Grajdura, S. & Niemeier, D. (2022). Inequities and injustices in large-scale California wildfire evacuations & post-evacuations. SSRN. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4022006>
- <sup>329</sup> Chase, J., & Hansen, P. (2021). Displacement after the Camp Fire: Where are the most vulnerable? *Society & Natural Resources*, 34(12), 1566-1583.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2021.1977879>
- <sup>330</sup> Wuebbles, D.J., D.W. Fahey, K.A. Hibbard, B. DeAngelo, S. Doherty, K. Hayhoe, R. Horton, J.P. Kossin, P.C. Taylor, A.M. Waple, and C.P. Weaver, 2017: Executive summary. In: Climate Science Special Report: Fourth National Climate Assessment, Volume I [Wuebbles, D.J., D.W. Fahey, K.A. Hibbard, D.J. Dokken, B.C. Stewart, and T.K. Maycock (eds.)]. U.S. Global Change Research Program, Washington, DC, USA, pp. 12-34. <https://doi.org/10.7930/J0DJ5CTG>.
- <sup>331</sup> Afzal, M.H.B. (2021). California wildfire exposure, vulnerability, and mental health: Who gets to recover and who does not?" *APSA Preprints*. <https://doi.org/10.33774/apsa-2021-c5jdz>.
- <sup>332</sup> World Health Organization. (2002). *Prevention and promotion in mental health*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42539/9241562161.pdf>
- <sup>333</sup> Allen, J., Balfour, R., Bell, R., & Marmot, M. (2014). Social determinants of mental health. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 26(4), 392-407. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/09540261.2014.928270>
- <sup>334</sup> World Health Organization. (2002). *Prevention and promotion in mental health*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42539/9241562161.pdf>
- <sup>335</sup> Hahn, H. (2017). *Strategies for supporting basic needs to promote opportunity and economic mobility: Building ladders of opportunity for young people in the Great Lakes states*, brief, 5. Urban Institute. [https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/94511/strategies-for-supporting-basic-needs-to-promote-opportunity\\_2.pdf](https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/94511/strategies-for-supporting-basic-needs-to-promote-opportunity_2.pdf)
- <sup>336</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021, April 6). *Fast facts: Preventing adverse childhood experiences*. National Center for Injury Prevention and Control, Division of Violence Prevention. <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/aces/fastfact.html>
- <sup>337</sup> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of the Assistant Secretary for Preparedness and Response. (2015, June 9). *Community resilience*. Public Health Emergency. <https://www.phe.gov/Preparedness/planning/abc/Pages/community->

- [resilience.aspx#:~:text=Community%20resilience%20is%20the%20sustained,big%20part%20of%20overall%20resilience](#)
- <sup>338</sup> World Health Organization. (2002). *Prevention and promotion in mental health*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42539/9241562161.pdf>
- <sup>339</sup> Hahn, H. (2017). *Strategies for supporting basic needs to promote opportunity and economic mobility: Building ladders of opportunity for young people in the Great Lakes states*, brief, 5. Urban Institute. [https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/94511/strategies-for-supporting-basic-needs-to-promote-opportunity\\_2.pdf](https://www.urban.org/sites/default/files/publication/94511/strategies-for-supporting-basic-needs-to-promote-opportunity_2.pdf)
- <sup>340</sup> World Health Organization. (2022). *Themes: Monitoring health for SDGs*. The Global Health Observatory. <https://www.who.int/health-topics/sustainable-development-goals>
- <sup>341</sup> United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs. (n.d.) *Do you know all 17 SDGs?*. Retrieved July 26, 2022 from <https://sdgs.un.org/goals>
- <sup>342</sup> World Health Organization. (2021, April 1). Universal health coverage (UHC). Fact sheets. [https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/universal-health-coverage-\(uhc\)](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/universal-health-coverage-(uhc))
- <sup>343</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>344</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>345</sup> Seervai, S., Shah, A., & Osborn, R. (2017, October 17). How other countries achieve universal coverage. *To the Point, The Commonwealth Fund*. <https://www.commonwealthfund.org/blog/2017/how-other-countries-achieve-universal-coverage>
- <sup>346</sup> Gindi, R. M., Cohen, R. A., & Kirzinger, W. K. (2012). Emergency room use among adults aged 18-64: Early release of estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, January-June 2011. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*. [https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/emergency\\_room\\_use\\_january-june\\_2011.pdf](https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhis/earlyrelease/emergency_room_use_january-june_2011.pdf)
- <sup>347</sup> California Health and Human Services Agency. (2022). *Key design considerations for a unified health care financing system in California, final report*. Healthy California for All Commission. [https://www.chhs.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Key-Design-Considerations\\_April-2022\\_Final-Report-for-Distribution.pdf](https://www.chhs.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Key-Design-Considerations_April-2022_Final-Report-for-Distribution.pdf)
- <sup>348</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>349</sup> Galvani, A. P., Durham, D. P., Vermund, S. H., & Fitzpatrick, M. C. (2017). California Universal Health Care Bill: An economic stimulus and life-saving proposal. *Lancet*, 390(10106), 2012–2014. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(17\)32148-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32148-7)
- <sup>350</sup> Maruthappu, M., Watkins, J., Noor, A. M., Williams, C., Ali, R., Sullivan, R., Zeltner, T., & Atun, R. (2016). Economic downturns, universal health coverage, and cancer mortality in high-income and middle-income countries, 1990-2010: A longitudinal analysis. *Lancet*, 388(10045), 684–695. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)00577-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)00577-8)

- <sup>351</sup> California Health and Human Services Agency. (2022). *Key design considerations for a unified health care financing system in California, final report*. Healthy California for All Commission. [https://www.chhs.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Key-Design-Considerations\\_April-2022\\_Final-Report-for-Distribution.pdf](https://www.chhs.ca.gov/wp-content/uploads/2022/04/Key-Design-Considerations_April-2022_Final-Report-for-Distribution.pdf)
- <sup>352</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>353</sup> National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (U.S.). Division of Violence Prevention. (2019). *Preventing adverse childhood experiences (ACEs): Leveraging the best available evidence*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/82316>
- <sup>354</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>355</sup> Troller-Renfree, S. V., Costanzo, M. A., Duncan, G. J., Magnuson, K., Gennetian, L. A., Yoshikawa, H., Halpern-Meekin, S., Fox, N. A., & Noble, K. G. (2022). The impact of a poverty reduction intervention on infant brain activity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 119(5), e2115649119. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2115649119>
- <sup>356</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>357</sup> West, S., Castro Baker, A., Samra, S., & Coltrera, E. (2020) Preliminary analysis: SEED's first year. White Paper. Stockton, CA. [https://static1.squarespace.com/static/6039d612b17d055cac14070f/t/6050294a1212aa40fdaf773a/1615866187890/SEED\\_Preliminary+Analysis-SEEDs+First+Year\\_Final+Report\\_Individual+Pages+.pdf](https://static1.squarespace.com/static/6039d612b17d055cac14070f/t/6050294a1212aa40fdaf773a/1615866187890/SEED_Preliminary+Analysis-SEEDs+First+Year_Final+Report_Individual+Pages+.pdf)
- <sup>358</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>359</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>360</sup> Felfe, C., Nollenberger, N., & Rodríguez-Planas, N. (2015). Can't buy mommy's love? Universal childcare and children's long-term cognitive development. *Journal of Population Economics*, 28, 393–422. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00148-014-0532-x>
- <sup>361</sup> National Center for Injury Prevention and Control (U.S.). Division of Violence Prevention. (2019). *Preventing adverse childhood experiences (ACEs): Leveraging the best available evidence*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://stacks.cdc.gov/view/cdc/82316>
- <sup>362</sup> Larose, M., Côté, S. M., Ouellet-Morin, I., Maughan, B., & Barker, E. D. (2020). Promoting better functioning among children exposed to high levels of family adversity: The protective role of childcare attendance. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 62(6), 762–770. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.13313>
- <sup>363</sup> Berry, D., Blair, C., Willoughby, M., Garrett-Peters, P., Vernon-Feagans, L., Mills-Koonce, W. R., & Family Life Project Key Investigators. (2016). Household chaos and children's cognitive and socio-emotional development in early childhood: Does childcare play a buffering role? *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 34, 115–127. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2015.09.003>

- <sup>364</sup> Powell, A., Thomason, S., & Jacobs, K. (2019, May). *Investing in early care and education: The economic benefits for California*. UC Berkeley Center for Labor Research and Education. <https://laborcenter.berkeley.edu/investing-early-care-education-economic-benefits-california>.
- <sup>365</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>366</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>367</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>368</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>369</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>370</sup> Silver, R.C., Holman, E.A. & Garfin, D.R. Coping with cascading collective traumas in the United States. *Nature Human Behavior* 5, 4–6 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41562-020-00981-x>
- <sup>371</sup> Pastor, M., & Morello-Frosch, R. (2014). Integrating public health and community development to tackle neighborhood distress and promote well-being. *Health Affairs*, 33(11), 1890-1896. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2014.0640>
- <sup>372</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>373</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>374</sup> Levasseur, M., G n reux, M., Bruneau, J. F., Vanasse, A., Chabot,  ., Beaulac, C., & B dard, M. M. (2015). Importance of proximity to resources, social support, transportation and neighborhood security for mobility and social participation in older adults: Results from a scoping study. *BMC Public Health*, 15(1), 503.
- <sup>375</sup> de Almeida, S. I. L., Gomes da Silva, M., & Marques, A. S. P. D. D. (2020). Home-based physical activity programs for people with dementia: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *The Gerontologist*, 60(8), e600-e608. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geront/gnz176>
- <sup>376</sup> Fratiglioni, L., Marseglia, A., & Dekhtyar, S. (2020). Ageing without dementia: Can stimulating psychosocial and lifestyle experiences make a difference?. *The Lancet Neurology*, 19(6), 533-543. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422\(20\)30039-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(20)30039-9)
- <sup>377</sup> Berkman, L. F., & Glass, T. (2000). Social integration, social networks, social support, and health. *Social Epidemiology*, 1(6), 137-173.
- <sup>378</sup> Tubadji, A. (2021). Culture and mental health resilience in times of COVID-19. *Journal of Population Economics*, 34, 1219–1259. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00148-021-00840-7>
- <sup>379</sup> Sugiyama, T., Carver, A., Koohsari, M. J., & Veitch, J. (2018). Advantages of public green spaces in enhancing population health. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 178, 12-17. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2018.05.019>

- <sup>380</sup> Maggi, S., Roberts, W., MacLennan, D., & D'Angiulli, A. (2011). Community resilience, quality childcare, and preschoolers' mental health: A three-city comparison. *Social Science & Medicine*, 73(7), 1080–1087. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.06.052>
- <sup>381</sup> Castillo, E.G., Ijadi-Maghsoodi, R., Shasravan, S., Moor, E., Mensah, M.O., Docherty, M., Aguilera Nunez, G.A., Barcelo, N., Goodsmith, N., Halpin, L.E., Morton, I., Mango, J., Montero, A.E., Rahmanian, K., Bromley, E., Chung, B., Jones, F., Gabrielian, S., Gelberg, L., Greenberg, J.M., Kalofonos, I., Kataoka, S.H., Miranda, J., Pincus, H.A., Zima, B.T., & Wells, K.B. (2019). Community interventions to promote mental health and social equity. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 21(5), 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-019-1017-0>
- <sup>382</sup> Azzopardi-Muscat, N., & Sørensen, K. (2019). Towards an equitable digital public health era: Promoting equity through a health literacy perspective. *European Journal of Public Health*, 29(Supplement\_3), 13-17. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckz166>
- <sup>383</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>384</sup> Chaptered by the Secretary of State. Chapter 112, Statutes of 2021.
- <sup>385</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>386</sup> State of California. (2022). *About opportunity zones*. <https://opzones.ca.gov/>
- <sup>387</sup> Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. (2021, January). *Community developments investments: Strengthening communities with opportunity zone investments community developments investments*. <https://www.occ.gov/publications-and-resources/publications/community-affairs/community-developments-investments/jan-2021/pub-ca-cdi-newsletter-jan-2021.pdf>
- <sup>388</sup> City of Eureka California. (2021, October). *Economic development strategic plan update*. [https://legistarweb-production.s3.amazonaws.com/uploads/attachment/pdf/1080888/Attachment\\_1\\_Economic\\_Development\\_Strategic\\_Plan\\_Update.pdf](https://legistarweb-production.s3.amazonaws.com/uploads/attachment/pdf/1080888/Attachment_1_Economic_Development_Strategic_Plan_Update.pdf)
- <sup>389</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>390</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>391</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>392</sup> Pastor, M., & Morello-Frosch, R. (2014). Integrating public health and community development to tackle neighborhood distress and promote well-being. *Health Affairs*, 33(11), 1890-1896. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.2014.0640>
- <sup>393</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>394</sup> Wiehe, V. R. (1998). *Understanding family violence: Treating and preventing partner, child, sibling, and elder abuse*. Sage Publications, Inc. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781452232003>

- <sup>395</sup> Shonkoff, J. P., & Fisher, P. A. (2013). Rethinking evidence-based practice and two-generation programs to create the future of early childhood policy. *Development and Psychopathology*, 25(40 2), 1635–1653. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1017%2FS0954579413000813>
- <sup>396</sup> National Alliance for Caregiving & AARP. (2020, May). *Research report: Caregiving in the U.S.* Caregiving.org. <https://www.caregiving.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/01/full-report-caregiving-in-the-united-states-01-21.pdf>
- <sup>397</sup> Newland, L. A. (2014). Supportive family contexts: Promoting child well-being and resilience. *Early Child Development and Care*, 184(9–10), 1336–1346. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004430.2013.875543>
- <sup>398</sup> Shonkoff, J. P., & Fisher, P. A. (2013). Rethinking evidence-based practice and two-generation programs to create the future of early childhood policy. *Development and Psychopathology*, 25(40 2), 1635–1653. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1017%2FS0954579413000813>
- <sup>399</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>400</sup> Child Welfare Information Gateway. (2021). In-home services to strengthen children and families. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Children's Bureau. <https://www.childwelfare.gov/pubs/issuebriefs/inhome-services/>
- <sup>401</sup> Nurse Family Partnerships. (n.d.). *About us*. Retrieved November 6, 2020 from <https://www.nursefamilypartnership.org/about/>
- <sup>402</sup> Miller, T. R. (2015). Projected outcomes of nurse-family partnership home visitation during 1996–2013, USA. *Prevention Science*, 16(6), 765–777. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007%2Fs11121-015-0572-9>
- <sup>403</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>404</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>405</sup> Anderson, E. (2022). *A jump start on literacy: The effectiveness of a caregiver-implemented phonological awareness intervention* (Doctoral dissertation). <http://hdl.handle.net/1773/48931>
- <sup>406</sup> Jonson-Reid, M., Drake, B., Constantino, J. N., Tandon, M., Pons, L., Kohl, P., Roesch, S., Wideman, E., Dunnigan, A., & Auslander, W. (2018). A randomized trial of home visitation for CPS-involved families: The moderating impact of maternal depression and CPS history. *Child Maltreatment*, 23(3), 281–293. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1077559517751671>
- <sup>407</sup> Reinhard, S. C., Given, B., Petlick, N. H., Bemis, A., & Hughes, R. G. (2008). Supporting family caregivers in providing care. In R. G. Hughes (Ed.), *Patient safety and quality: An evidence-based handbook for nurses*. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (US). <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK2665/>
- <sup>408</sup> Miller-Lewis, L. R., Sawyer, A. C., Searle, A. K., Mittinty, M. N., Sawyer, M. G., & Lynch, J. W. (2014). Student-teacher relationship trajectories and mental health problems in young children. *BMC Psychology*, 2(1), 27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-014-0027-2>

- <sup>409</sup> Daniels, D. H., & Shumow, L. (2003). Child development and classroom teaching: A review of the literature and implications for educating teachers. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 23(5), 495-526. [https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0193-3973\(02\)00139-9](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0193-3973(02)00139-9)
- <sup>410</sup> Sutherland, K. S., Conroy, M. A., Algina, J., Ladwig, C., Jessee, G., & Gyure, M. (2018). Reducing child problem behaviors and improving teacher-child interactions and relationships: A randomized controlled trial of BEST in CLASS. *Early Childhood Research Quarterly*, 42(1<sup>st</sup> Quarter), 31-43. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecresq.2017.08.001>
- <sup>411</sup> Duran, F. B., Hepburn, K. S., Kaufmann, R. K., Le, L. T., Allen, M. D., Brennan, E. M., & Green, B. L. (2020). Early childhood mental health consultation. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 41(3). <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/imhj.21865>
- <sup>412</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>413</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>414</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>415</sup> Virmani, E. A., Masyn, K. E., Thompson, R. A., Conners-Burrow, N. A. & Mansell, L. W. (2013). Early childhood mental health consultation: Promoting change in the quality of teacher-child interactions. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 34(2), 156-172. <https://doi.org/10.1002/imhj.21358>
- <sup>416</sup> Davis, A. E., Barrueco, S., & Perry, D. F. (2021). The role of consultative alliance in infant and early childhood mental health consultation: Child, teacher, and classroom outcomes. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, 42(2), 246-262. <https://doi.org/10.1002/imhj.21889>
- <sup>417</sup> California State Preschool Programs: General Child Care and Development Programs: Mental Health Consultation Services: Adjustment Factors, 2698 California Assembly Bill § 8265.5 <https://legiscan.com/CA/text/AB2698/id/1822040> (2018).
- <sup>418</sup> California Department of Education. (n.d.). Management Bulletin 19-02. <https://www.cde.ca.gov/sp/cd/ci/mb1902.asp>.
- <sup>419</sup> Governor Gavin Newsom. (2021). *California budget*. <https://www.ebudget.ca.gov/2022-23/pdf/BudgetSummary/EarlyChildhood.pdf>
- <sup>420</sup> Fredman, G., Papadopoulou, A., & Worwood, E. (2018). *Collaborative consultation in mental health: Guidelines for the new consultant*. Routledge. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315696652>
- <sup>421</sup> World Health Organization. (2002). *Prevention and promotion in mental health*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42539/9241562161.pdf>
- <sup>422</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>423</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>424</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2020, December 18). *Featured Campaigns*. <https://www.cdc.gov/healthcommunication/campaigns/index.html>

- <sup>425</sup> Jorm A. F. (2012). Mental health literacy: Empowering the community to take action for better mental health. *The American Psychologist*, 67(3), 231–243. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025957>
- <sup>426</sup> Gary F. A. (2005). Stigma: Barrier to mental health care among ethnic minorities. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 26(10), 979–999. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01612840500280638>
- <sup>427</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>428</sup> Jorm A. F. (2012). Mental health literacy: Empowering the community to take action for better mental health. *The American Psychologist*, 67(3), 231–243. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025957>
- <sup>429</sup> Brown, J. S., Evans-Lacko, S., Aschan, L., Henderson, M. J., Hatch, S. L., & Hotopf, M. (2014). Seeking informal and formal help for mental health problems in the community: A secondary analysis from a psychiatric morbidity survey in South London. *BMC Psychiatry*, 14(1), 275. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-014-0275-y>
- <sup>430</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>431</sup> Rickwood, D.J., Deane, F.P. and Wilson, C.J. (2007). When and how do young people seek professional help for mental health problems? *Medical Journal of Australia*, 187: S35-S39. <https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2007.tb01334.x>
- <sup>432</sup> Satcher, D. S. (2000). Executive summary: A report of the Surgeon General on mental health. *Public Health Reports*, 115(1), 89-101. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/phr/115.1.89>
- <sup>433</sup> NBA.com. (2020, June 9). Q.A. Surgeon General Jerome Adams on maintaining mental health during challenging times. News Archive. <https://www.nba.com/news/nba-together-surgeon-general-jerome-adams-qa>
- <sup>434</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>435</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>436</sup> Haigh, C. B., Kringen, A. L., & Kringen, J. A. (2020). Mental illness stigma: Limitations of crisis intervention team training. *Criminal Justice Policy Review*, 31(1), 42-57. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0887403418804871>
- <sup>437</sup> Wong, E. C., Collins, R. L., Cerully, J. L., Roth, E., & Marks, J. S. (2015). Stigma, discrimination, and wellbeing among California adults experiencing mental health challenges. *RAND Health Quarterly*, 5(2), 11. <https://doi.org/10.7249/RR1074>
- <sup>438</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2022). Workplace mental health: Creating voluntary standards to support mental health in the workplace for all Californians. <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/initiatives/workplace-mental-health/>
- <sup>439</sup> Ibid.

- <sup>440</sup> Wong, E. C., Collins, R. L., Cerully, J., Seelam, R., & Roth, B. (2017). Racial and ethnic differences in mental illness stigma and discrimination among Californians experiencing mental health challenges. *Rand health quarterly*, 6(2). <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28845344>
- <sup>441</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>442</sup> Listening Session summaries are posted here Visit www. <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/initiatives/prevention-early-intervention/prevention-and-early-intervention-resources/#welltogether>
- <sup>443</sup> Gary F. A. (2005). Stigma: Barrier to mental health care among ethnic minorities. *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*, 26(10), 979–999. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01612840500280638>
- <sup>444</sup> Wong, E. C., Collins, R. L., Cerully, J., Seelam, R., & Roth, B. (2017). Racial and ethnic differences in mental illness stigma and discrimination among Californians experiencing mental health challenges. *Rand health quarterly*, 6(2). <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28845344>
- <sup>445</sup> Jorm A. F. (2012). Mental health literacy: Empowering the community to take action for better mental health. *The American Psychologist*, 67(3), 231–243. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025957>
- <sup>446</sup> Kular, A., Perry, B. I., Brown, L., Gajwani, R., Jasini, R., Islam, Z., Birchwood, M., & Singh, S. P. (2019). Stigma and access to care in first-episode psychosis. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 13(5), 1208–1213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12756>
- <sup>447</sup> National Alliance on Mental Health. (n.d.). Warning signs and symptoms. Retrieved July 27, 2022 from <https://www.nami.org/About-Mental-Illness/Warning-Signs-and-Symptoms>
- <sup>448</sup> McGorry, P. D., Hartmann, J. A., Spooner, R., & Nelson, B. (2018). Beyond the “at risk mental state” concept: Transitioning to transdiagnostic psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*, 17(2), 133-142. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20514>
- <sup>449</sup> Kessler, R. C., Amminger, G. P., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Lee, S., & Ustün, T. B. (2007). Age of onset of mental disorders: A review of recent literature. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 20(4), 359-364. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e32816ebc8c>
- <sup>450</sup> Thornicroft, G. (2008). Stigma and discrimination limit access to mental health care. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, 17(1), 14-19. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1121189X00002621>
- <sup>451</sup> Kosyluk, K., Kenneally, R. G., Tran, J. T., Cheong, Y. F., Bolton, C., & Conner, K. (2022). Overcoming stigma as a barrier to children’s mental health care: The role of empowerment and mental health literacy. *Stigma and Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/sah0000402>
- <sup>452</sup> Gopalkrishnan, N. (2018). Cultural diversity and mental health: Considerations for policy and practice. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 6, 179. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2018.00179>
- <sup>453</sup> Derose, K. P., Escarce, J. J., & Lurie, N. (2007). Immigrants and health care: Sources of vulnerability. *Health Affairs*, 26(5), 1258-1268. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.26.5.1258>
- <sup>454</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>

- <sup>455</sup> Jorm A. F. (2012). Mental health literacy: Empowering the community to take action for better mental health. *The American Psychologist*, 67(3), 231–243. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025957>
- <sup>456</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>457</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2022, April 15). *Health communication strategies and resources*. <https://npin.cdc.gov/pages/health-communication-strategies>
- <sup>458</sup> Caulfield, A., Vatansever, D., Lambert, G., & Van Bortel, T. (2019). WHO guidance on mental health training: A systematic review of the progress for non-specialist health workers. *British Medical Journal Open*, 9(1), e024059. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024059>
- <sup>459</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>460</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>461</sup> Jung, H., von Sternberg, K., & Davis, K. (2017). The impact of mental health literacy, stigma, and social support on attitudes toward mental health help-seeking. *International Journal of Mental Health Promotion*, 19(5), 252-267. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1080/14623730.2017.1345687>
- <sup>462</sup> Jorm A. F. (2012). Mental health literacy: Empowering the community to take action for better mental health. *The American Psychologist*, 67(3), 231–243. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025957>
- <sup>463</sup> Vamos, S., Okan, O., Sentell, T., & Rootman, I. (2020). Making a case for “Education for health literacy”: An international perspective. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(4), 1436. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17041436>
- <sup>464</sup> Jorm A. F. (2012). Mental health literacy: Empowering the community to take action for better mental health. *The American Psychologist*, 67(3), 231–243. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0025957>
- <sup>465</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>466</sup> Mehta, N., Clement, S., Marcus, E., Stona, A. C., Bezborodovs, N., Evans-Lacko, S., Palacios, J., Docherty, M., Barley, E., Rose, D., Koschorke, M., Shidhaye, R., Henderson, C., & Thornicroft, G. (2015). Evidence for effective interventions to reduce mental health-related stigma and discrimination in the medium and long term: Systematic review. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 207(5), 377–384. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.114.151944>
- <sup>467</sup> Collins, R.L., Wong, E.C., Breslau, J., Burnam, M. A., Cefalu, M., & Roth, E. (2019). Social marketing of mental treatment: California’s mental illness stigma reduction campaign. *American Journal of Public Health*, 109, S228\_S235, <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2019.305129>
- <sup>468</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>469</sup> Rideout, V., Fox, S., Peebles, A., & Robb, M. B. (2021). *Coping with COVID-19: How young people use digital media to manage their mental health*. San Francisco, CA: Common Sense and Hopelab. Retrieved from

- <https://www.common sense media.org/sites/default/files/research/report/2021-coping-with-covid19-full-report.pdf>
- <sup>470</sup> Mheidly, N., & Fares, J. (2020). Leveraging media and health communication strategies to overcome the COVID-19 infodemic. *Journal of Public Health Policy*, 41(4), 410-420.  
<https://doi.org/10.1057/s41271-020-00247-w>
- <sup>471</sup> Anwar, A., Malik, M., Raees, V., & Anwar, A. (2020). Role of mass media and public health communications in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Cureus*, 12(9), e10453.  
<https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.10453>
- <sup>472</sup> Kreuter, M. W., & McClure, S. M. (2004). The role of culture in health communication. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 25(1), 439-455. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.25.101802.123000>
- <sup>473</sup> Nutbeam, D., Levin-Zamir, D., & Rowlands, G. (2018). Health literacy and health promotion in context. *Global Health Promotion*, 25(4), 3-5. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1757975918814436>
- <sup>474</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2022, April 15). Health communication strategies and resources. Retrieved July 27, 2022, from <https://npin.cdc.gov/pages/health-communication-strategies>
- <sup>475</sup> HIV.gov. (2022, July 14). *Awareness campaigns*. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. Retrieved July 27, 2022, from <https://www.hiv.gov/federal-response/campaigns>
- <sup>476</sup> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Child Health and Development. (n.d.). *About SIDS and safe infant sleep*. <https://safetosleep.nichd.nih.gov/safesleepbasics/about>
- <sup>477</sup> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Child Health and Development. (n.d.). *What is SIDS?* <https://safetosleep.nichd.nih.gov/safesleepbasics/SIDS>
- <sup>478</sup> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Child Health and Development. (n.d.). *Key moments in Safe to Sleep® history: 1969–1993*.  
<https://safetosleep.nichd.nih.gov/safesleepbasics/moments/1969-1993>
- <sup>479</sup> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Child Health and Development. (n.d.). *Key moments in Safe to Sleep® history: 1994–2003*.  
<https://safetosleep.nichd.nih.gov/safesleepbasics/moments/1994-2003>
- <sup>480</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>481</sup> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Child Health and Development. (n.d.). *Progress in reducing SIDS*. <https://safetosleep.nichd.nih.gov/activities/SIDS/progress>
- <sup>482</sup> U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institute of Child Health and Development. (n.d.). *Outreach activities in specific communities*.  
<https://safetosleep.nichd.nih.gov/activities/outreach/activities>

- <sup>483</sup> Collins, R.L., Wong, E.C., Breslau, J., Burnam, M. A., Cefalu, M., & Roth, E. (2019). Social marketing of mental treatment: California's mental illness stigma reduction campaign. *American Journal of Public Health*, 109, S228\_S235, <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2019.305129>
- <sup>484</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>485</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>486</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>487</sup> Mehta, N., Clement, S., Marcus, E., Stona, A. C., Bezborodovs, N., Evans-Lacko, S., Palacios, J., Docherty, M., Barley, E., Rose, D., Koschorke, M., Shidhaye, R., Henderson, C., & Thornicroft, G. (2015). Evidence for effective interventions to reduce mental health-related stigma and discrimination in the medium and long term: Systematic review. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 207(5), 377–384. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.114.151944>
- <sup>488</sup> Mental Health America. (n.d.). Position statement 41: Early identification of mental health issues in young people. [https://www.mhanational.org/issues/position-statement-41-early-identification-mental-health-issues-young-people#\\_edn4](https://www.mhanational.org/issues/position-statement-41-early-identification-mental-health-issues-young-people#_edn4)
- <sup>489</sup> Fontanella, C. A., Warner, L. A., Steelesmith, D. L., Brock, G., Bridge, J. A., & Campo, J. V. (2020). Association of timely outpatient mental health services for youths after psychiatric hospitalization with risk of death by suicide. *JAMA Network Open*, 3(8), e2012887–e2012887. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.12887>
- <sup>490</sup> Solmi, M., Radua, J., Olivola, M., Croce, E., Soardo, L., Salazar de Pablo, G., Shin, J.I., Kirkbride, J.B., Jones, P., Kim, J.H., Kim, J.Y., Carbalho, A.F., Seeman, M.V., Correl, C.U., & Fusar-Poli, Paolo. (2022). Age at onset of mental disorders worldwide: Large-scale meta-analysis of 192 epidemiological studies. *Molecular Psychiatry* 27, 281–295 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-021-01161-7>
- <sup>491</sup> Golay, P., Alameda, L., Mebdouhi, N., Baumann, P., Ferrari, C., Solida, A., Progin, P., Elowe, J., & Conus, P. (2017). Age at the time of onset of psychosis: A marker of specific needs rather than a determinant of outcome? *European Psychiatry*, 45, 20–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2017.06.002>
- <sup>492</sup> Golay, P., Alameda, L., Mebdouhi, N., Baumann, P., Ferrari, C., Solida, A., Progin, P., Elowe, J., & Conus, P. (2017). Age at the time of onset of psychosis: A marker of specific needs rather than a determinant of outcome? *European Psychiatry*, 45, 20–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2017.06.002>
- <sup>493</sup> Kular, A., Perry, B. I., Brown, L., Gajwani, R., Jasini, R., Islam, Z., Birchwood, M., & Singh, S. P. (2019). Stigma and access to care in first-episode psychosis. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 13(5), 1208–1213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12756>

- <sup>494</sup> Hasson-Ohayon, I., Goldzweig, G., Lavi-Rotenberg, A., Roe, D., & Pijnenborg, G. H. M. (2019). Illness representations among parents of children and adults with serious mental disorders: A systematic review and theoretical model. *European Psychiatry*, *58*, 27-37. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpsy.2019.02.001>
- <sup>495</sup> Cabassa, L. J., Piscitelli, S., Haselden, M., Lee, R. J., Essock, S. M., & Dixon, L. B. (2018). Understanding pathways to care of individuals entering a specialized early intervention service for first-episode psychosis. *Psychiatric Services*, *69*(6), 648-656. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201700018>
- <sup>496</sup> Ivey-Stephenson, A.Z., Demissie, Z., Crosby, A.E., Stone, D.M., Gaylor, E., Wilkis, N., Lowry, R., & Brown, M. (2020). Suicidal ideation and behaviors among high school students — Youth risk behavior survey, United States, 2019. *MMWR Supplements*, *69*(Suppl-1):47–55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.su6901a6external icon>
- <sup>497</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2022, July 25). *10 leading causes of death, United States*. Leading Causes of Death (data visualization tool). <https://wisqars.cdc.gov/data/lcd/home>
- <sup>498</sup> Kular, A., Perry, B. I., Brown, L., Gajwani, R., Jasini, R., Islam, Z., Birchwood, M., & Singh, S. P. (2019). Stigma and access to care in first-episode psychosis. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, *13*(5), 1208–1213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12756>
- <sup>499</sup> Vamos, S., Okan, O., Sentell, T., & Rootman, I. (2020). Making a case for “Education for health literacy”: An international perspective. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(4), 1436. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17041436>
- <sup>500</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>501</sup> Delgado, M. (2019). *Community health workers in action: The efforts of "Promotores de Salud" in bringing health care to marginalized communities*. Oxford University Press.
- <sup>502</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>503</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>504</sup> Rideout, V., Fox, S., Peebles, A., & Robb, M. B. (2021). *Coping with COVID-19: How young people use digital media to manage their mental health*. San Francisco, CA: Common Sense and Hopelab. Retrieved from <https://www.common sense media.org/sites/default/files/research/report/2021-coping-with-covid19-full-report.pdf>
- <sup>505</sup> National Institute of Mental Health. (2019, September). *Technology and the future of mental health treatment*. Retrieved December 8, 2021, from <https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/technology-and-the-future-of-mental-health-treatment>

- <sup>506</sup> Pretorius, C., Chambers, D., Cowan, B., & Coyle, D. (2019). Young people seeking help online for mental health: Cross-sectional survey study. *JMIR Mental Health*, 6(8), e13524. <https://doi.org/10.2196/13524>
- <sup>507</sup> Swire-Thompson, B., & Lazer, D. (2019). Public health and online misinformation: Challenges and recommendations. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 41, 433-451. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-040119-094127>
- <sup>508</sup> Stawarz, K., Preist, C., & Coyle, D. (2019). Use of smartphone apps, social media, and web-based resources to support mental health and well-being: Online survey. *JMIR mental health*, 6(7), e12546. <https://doi.org/10.2196/12546>
- <sup>509</sup> Abi-Jaoude, E., Naylor, K. T., & Pignatiello, A. (2020). Smartphones, social media use and youth mental health. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 192(6), E136-E141. <https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.190434>
- <sup>510</sup> Figueroa, C. A., & Aguilera, A. (2020). The need for a mental health technology revolution in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 11, 523. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2020.00523>
- <sup>511</sup> CredibleMind. (2020). *Live well Madera County resources for mental health and wellbeing*. Retrieved August 07, 2022, from <https://madera.crediblemind.com/>
- <sup>512</sup> CalHOPE. (2021). *Together for wellness*. <https://calhope.semel.ucla.edu/>
- <sup>513</sup> Vamos, S., Okan, O., Sentell, T., & Rootman, I. (2020). Making a case for “Education for health literacy”: An international perspective. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(4), 1436. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17041436>
- <sup>514</sup> Sanne, B., Mykletun, A., Dahl, A.A., Moen, B.E., & Tell, GS. (2005). *Testing the job demand-control-support model with anxiety and depression as outcomes: The Hordaland Health Study*. *Occupational Medicine* 55, 463-73. <https://doi.org/10.1093/occmed/kqi071>
- <sup>515</sup> Caulfield, A., Vatansever, D., Lambert, G., & Van Bortel, T. (2019). WHO guidance on mental health training: A systematic review of the progress for non-specialist health workers. *British Medical Journal Open*, 9(1), e024059. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024059>
- <sup>516</sup> United States Census Bureau. (n.d.). *Quick facts*. [Data summary tables]. Retrieved August 07, 2022, from <https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/fact/table/CA/LFE041220>
- <sup>517</sup> Taillac, C. (2020, October 5). *The new workplace is trauma informed*. Kaiser Permanente: Business. Retrieved December 31, 2020, from <https://business.kaiserpermanente.org/insights/covid-19/trauma-informed-workplace>
- <sup>518</sup> Abidin, S., Welch, R.K., Byron-Daniel, J. & Meyrick, J. (2018). The effectiveness of physical activity interventions in improving well-being across office-based workplace settings: A systematic review. *Public Health*, 160: 70-76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2018.03.029>

- <sup>519</sup> Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety. (2022, August, 24). *Mental health – Psychosocial risk factors in the workplace*. Retrieved August 24, 2022, from [https://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/psychosocial/mentalhealth\\_risk.html](https://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/psychosocial/mentalhealth_risk.html)
- <sup>520</sup> Brohan, E., & Thornicroft, G. (2010). Stigma and discrimination of mental health problems: Workplace implications. [Editorial]. *Occupational Medicine*, 60(6), 414–415. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1093/occmed/kqq048>
- <sup>521</sup> Dimoff, J. K., & Kelloway, E. K. (2019). With a little help from my boss: The impact of workplace mental health training on leader behaviors and employee resource utilization. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 24(1), 4–19. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000126>
- <sup>522</sup> Gayed, A., Milligan-Saville, J. S., Nicholas, J., Bryan, B. T., LaMontagne, A. D., Milner, A., Madan, I., Calvo, R.A., Christnsen, H., Mykletun, A., Nicholas, G., & Harvey, S. B. (2018). Effectiveness of training workplace managers to understand and support the mental health needs of employees: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 75(6), 462-470. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2017-104789>
- <sup>523</sup> Attridge, M. (2019). A global perspective on promoting workplace mental health and the role of employee assistance programs. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 33(4), 622-629. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0890117119838101c>
- <sup>524</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>525</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>526</sup> Dimoff, J. K., & Kelloway, E. K. (2019). With a little help from my boss: The impact of workplace mental health training on leader behaviors and employee resource utilization. *Journal of Occupational Health Psychology*, 24(1), 4–19. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ocp0000126>
- <sup>527</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>528</sup> Kaiser Permanente. (2022). Mental health awareness training. <https://business.kaiserpermanente.org/thrive/leadership-training-mental-health-awareness>
- <sup>529</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>530</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>531</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>532</sup> Mcluckie, A., Kutcher, S., Wei, Y., & Weaver, C. (2014). Sustained improvements in students' mental health literacy with use of a mental health curriculum in Canadian schools. *BMC Psychiatry*, 14(1), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-014-0379-4>

- <sup>533</sup> Chao, H. J., Lien, Y. J., Kao, Y. C., Tasi, I. C., Lin, H. S., & Lien, Y. Y. (2020). Mental health literacy in health care students: An expansion of the Mental Health Literacy Scale. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(3), 948. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17030948>
- <sup>534</sup> Fagan, A.A., Bumbarger, B.K., Barth, R.P., Bradshaw, C.P., Cooper, B.R., Supplee, L.H., & Walker, D.K. (2019). Scaling up evidence-based interventions in US public systems to prevent behavioral health problems: Challenges and opportunities. *Prevention Science* 20, 1147–1168. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11121-019-01048-8>
- <sup>535</sup> Milin, R., Kutcher, S., Lewis, S. P., Walker, S., Wei, Y., Ferrill, N., & Armstrong, M. A. (2016). Impact of a mental health curriculum on knowledge and stigma among high school students: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 55(5), 383-391. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaac.2016.02.018>
- <sup>536</sup> Muir-Cochrane, E., O'Kane, D., McAllister, M., Levett-Jones, T., & Gerace, A. (2018). Reshaping curricula: Culture and mental health in undergraduate health degrees. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 27(2), 652–661. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inm.12350>
- <sup>537</sup> Chao, H. J., Lien, Y. J., Kao, Y. C., Tasi, I. C., Lin, H. S., & Lien, Y. Y. (2020). Mental health literacy in health care students: An expansion of the Mental Health Literacy Scale. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(3), 948. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17030948>
- <sup>538</sup> Whitley, J., Smith, J. D., & Vaillancourt, T. (2013). Promoting mental health literacy among educators: Critical in school-based prevention and intervention. *Canadian Journal of School Psychology*, 28(1), 56-70. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12793>
- <sup>539</sup> Lane-Martin, A. (2019). The benefits of student-led health promotion intervention. *The Journal of Mental Health Training, Education and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMHTEP-04-2019-0023>
- <sup>540</sup> Davis-Bordovsky, K. (2020). Project mental health awareness: A multimedia, peer-to-peer pilot school curriculum. *Contemporary School Psychology*, 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40688-020-00296-8>
- <sup>541</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2021). *The school mental health project*. <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/initiatives/school-mental-health-project/>
- <sup>542</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>543</sup> Chaptered by the Secretary of State. Chapter 675, Statues of 2021
- <sup>544</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>545</sup> Chaptered by the Secretary of State. Chapter 672, Statues of 2021
- <sup>546</sup> Atkins, M. S., Hoagwood, K. E., Kutash, K., & Seidman, E. (2010). Toward the integration of education and mental health in schools. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 37(1-2), 40-47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-010-0299-7>
- <sup>547</sup> National Alliance on Mental Illness. (n.d.) *Mental health in California*. <https://www.nami.org/NAMI/media/NAMI-Media/StateFactSheets/CaliforniaStateFactSheet.pdf>

- <sup>548</sup> Padilla-Frausto, I., & Salem, S. (2020). Nearly 2 million California adults not getting needed public mental health services. *UCLA Center for Health Policy Research*. (Policy brief ISSN 2691-7475) <https://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/Documents/PDF/2020/mentalhealth-policybrief-jun2020.pdf>
- <sup>549</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>550</sup> Wright, B., Padilla-Frausto, D.I., Crawford-Roberts, A., Tse, H.W., Kabir, F., & Salem, S., (2021). *Nearly 1 in 3 adolescents in California reports serious psychological distress*. UCLA Center for Health Policy Research. [https://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/Documents/PDF/2021/Teen\\_Mental\\_Health\\_PB\\_FINAL.pdf](https://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/Documents/PDF/2021/Teen_Mental_Health_PB_FINAL.pdf)
- <sup>551</sup> World Health Organization. (2005). *Promoting mental health: Concepts, emerging evidence, and practice. Summary report*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42940/9241591595.pdf>
- <sup>552</sup> Williams, M. E., Latta, J., & Conversano, P. (2008). Eliminating the wait for mental health services. *Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 35(1), 107–114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11414-007-9091-1>
- <sup>553</sup> Bruns EJ, Kerns SEU, Pullmann MD, Hensley SW, Lutterman T, & Hoagwood KE. (2016). Research, data, and evidence-based treatment use in state behavioral health systems, 2001–2012. *Psychiatric Services*, 67:496– 503. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201500014>
- <sup>554</sup> Csillag, C., Nordentoft, M., Mizuno, M., Jones, P. B., Killackey, E., Taylor, M., Chen, E., Kane, J., & McDaid, D. (2016). Early intervention services in psychosis: From evidence to wide implementation. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 10(6), 540–546. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12279>
- <sup>555</sup> World Health Organization. (2004). *Prevention of mental disorders: Effective interventions and policy options: Summary report*. World Health Organization. [https://www.who.int/mental\\_health/evidence/en/prevention\\_of\\_mental\\_disorders\\_sr.pdf](https://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/en/prevention_of_mental_disorders_sr.pdf)
- <sup>556</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>557</sup> Padilla-Frausto, I., & Salem, S. (2020). Nearly 2 million California adults not getting needed public mental health services. *UCLA Center for Health Policy Research*. (Policy brief ISSN 2691-7475) <https://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/Documents/PDF/2020/mentalhealth-policybrief-jun2020.pdf>
- <sup>558</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>559</sup> Wang, P. S., Berglund, P. A., Olfson, M., & Kessler, R. C. (2004). Delays in initial treatment contact after first onset of a mental disorder. *Health Services Research*, 39(2), 393-415. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6773.2004.00234.x>

- <sup>560</sup> Bloom, D. E., Cafiero, E., Jané-Llopis, E., Abrahams-Gessel, S., Bloom, L. R., Fathima, S., Feigl, A. B., Gaziano, T., Hamandi, A., Mowafi, M., O'Farrell, D., Ozaltin, E., Pandya, A., Prettner, K., Rosenberg, L., Seligman, B., Stein, A. Z., Weinstein, C., & Weiss, J. (2011). The global economic burden of noncommunicable diseases. *World Economic Forum*.  
[https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF\\_Harvard\\_HE\\_GlobalEconomicBurdenNonCommunicableDiseases\\_2011.pdf](https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Harvard_HE_GlobalEconomicBurdenNonCommunicableDiseases_2011.pdf).
- <sup>561</sup> Tran, L. D., & Ponce, N. A. (2017). Who gets needed mental health care? Use of mental health services among adults with mental health need in California. *Californian journal of Health Promotion*, 15(1), 36. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28729814>
- <sup>562</sup> California Code of Regulations, Title 9, Section 1830.
- <sup>563</sup> Mojtabai, R., Olfson, M., Sampson, N. A., Jin, R., Druss, B., Wang, P. S., Wells, K. B., Pincus, H. A., & Kessler, R. C. (2011). Barriers to mental health treatment: Results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Psychological Medicine*, 41(8), 1751–1761.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291710002291>
- <sup>564</sup> Williams, M. E., Latta, J., & Conversano, P. (2008). Eliminating the wait for mental health services. *Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 35(1), 107–114.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11414-007-9091-1>
- <sup>565</sup> Kessler, R. C., & Price, R. H. (1993). Primary prevention of secondary disorders: A proposal and agenda. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 21(5), 607-633.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf00942174>
- <sup>566</sup> Padilla-Frausto, I., & Salem, S. (2020). Nearly 2 million California adults not getting needed public mental health services. *UCLA Center for Health Policy Research*. (Policy brief ISSN 2691-7475)  
<https://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/Documents/PDF/2020/mentalhealth-policybrief-jun2020.pdf>
- <sup>567</sup> Schley, C., Pace, N., Mann, R., McKenzie, C., McRoberts, A., & Parker, A. (2019). The headspace brief interventions clinic: Increasing timely access to effective treatments for young people with early signs of mental health problems. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 13(5), 1073-1082.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12729>
- <sup>568</sup> Kessler, R. C., Amminger, G. P., Aguilar-Gaxiola, S., Alonso, J., Lee, S., & Ustün, T. B. (2007). Age of onset of mental disorders: A review of recent literature. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, 20(4), 359-364. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YCO.0b013e32816ebc8c>
- <sup>569</sup> Shuffrey, L.C., Thomason, M.E., Brito, N.H. (2022). Improving perinatal maternal mental health starts with addressing structural inequities. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 79(5):387–388.  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2022.0097>

- <sup>570</sup> American Association of Geriatric Psychiatry) (2008). *Geriatrics and mental health—the facts*.  
[http://www.aagponline.org/prof/facts\\_mh.asp](http://www.aagponline.org/prof/facts_mh.asp)
- <sup>571</sup> California Department of Public Health. (2021). *California suicide and self-harm trends in 2020*.  
<https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CCDCPHP/DCDIC/SACB/CDPH%20Document%20Library/Suicide%20Prevention%20Program/SuicideAndSelfHarmIn2020-DataBrief-ADA.pdf>
- <sup>572</sup> California State Auditor. (2019). Department of health care services. Millions of children in Medi-Cal are not receiving preventive health services. Report 2018-111.  
<https://www.auditor.ca.gov/pdfs/reports/2018-111.pdf>
- <sup>573</sup> Solmi, M., Radua, J., Olivola, M., Croce, E., Soardo, L., Salazar de Pablo, G., Shin, J.I., Kirkbride, J.B., Jones, P., Kim, J.H., Kim, J.Y., Carbalho, A.F., Seeman, M.V., Correl, C.U., & Fusar-Poli, Paolo. (2022). Age at onset of mental disorders worldwide: Large-scale meta-analysis of 192 epidemiological studies. *Molecular Psychiatry*, 27, 281–295. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-021-01161-7>
- <sup>574</sup> California Code of Regulations, Title 9, Section 1830.
- <sup>575</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>576</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>577</sup> McGorry, P. D., Hartmann, J. A., Spooner, R., & Nelson, B. (2018). Beyond the “at risk mental state” concept: Transitioning to transdiagnostic psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*, 17(2), 133-142.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20514>
- <sup>578</sup> Schley, C., Pace, N., Mann, R., McKenzie, C., McRoberts, A., & Parker, A. (2019). The headspace brief interventions clinic: Increasing timely access to effective treatments for young people with early signs of mental health problems. *Early Intervention in Psychiatry*, 13(5), 1073-1082.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12729>
- <sup>579</sup> Reichert, A., & Jacobs, R. (2018). The impact of waiting time on patient outcomes: Evidence from early intervention in psychosis services in England. *Health Economics*, 27(11), 1772-1787.  
<http://doi.org/10.1002/hec.3800>
- <sup>580</sup> Fontanella, C. A., Warner, L. A., Steelesmith, D. L., Brock, G., Bridge, J. A., & Campo, J. V. (2020). Association of timely outpatient mental health services for youths after psychiatric hospitalization with risk of death by suicide. *JAMA Network Open*, 3(8), e2012887–e2012887.  
<https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.12887>
- <sup>581</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2022). *Mental health crisis triage*. Retrieved August 16, 2022 from <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/initiatives/triage/>
- <sup>582</sup> Johnson, S., Dalton-Locke, C., Baker, J., Hanlon, C., Salisbury, T. T., Fossey, M., Newbigging, K., Carr, S. E., Hensel, J., Carrà, G., Hepp, U., Caneo, C., Needle, J. J., & Lloyd-Evans, B. (2022).

- Acute psychiatric care: Approaches to increasing the range of services and improving access and quality of care. *World Psychiatry*, 21(2), 220–236. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20962>
- <sup>583</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2022). *Mental health crisis triage*. Retrieved August 16, 2022, from <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/initiatives/triage/>
- <sup>584</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>585</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>586</sup> Durbin, J., Goering, P., Streiner, D. L., & Pink, G. (2006). Does Systems Integration Affect Continuity of Mental Health Care? *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 33(6), 705-717. doi:10.1007/s10488-006-0087-6
- <sup>587</sup> Cook, B. L., Zuvekas, S. H., Carson, N., Wayne, G. F., Vesper, A., & McGuire, T. G. (2014). Assessing racial/ethnic disparities in treatment across of mental health care. *Health Services Research*, 49(1), 206-229. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6773.12095>
- <sup>588</sup> Romanelli, M., & Hudson, K. D. (2017). Individual and systemic barriers to health care: Perspectives of lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender adults. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 87(6), 714. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ort0000306>
- <sup>589</sup> Pomerantz, A., Cole, B. H., Watts, B. V., & Weeks, W. B. (2008). Improving efficiency and access to mental health care: Combining integrated care and advanced access. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 30(6), 546-551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2008.09.004>
- <sup>590</sup> Wang, P. S., Lane, M., Olfson, M., Pincus, H. A., Wells, K. B., & Kessler, R. C. (2005). Twelve-month use of mental health services in the United States: Results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62(6), 629-640. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.6.629>
- <sup>591</sup> Durbin, J., Goering, P., Streiner, D. L., & Pink, G. (2006). Does systems integration affect continuity of mental health care? *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 33(6), 705-717. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10488-006-0087-6>
- <sup>592</sup> Smith, T.E., Kurk, M., Sawhney, R., Bao, Y., Nossel, I., Cohen, D.E., & Dixon, L.B., (2019). Estimated staff time effort, costs, and medicaid revenues for Coordinated Specialty Care clinics serving clients with first-episode psychosis. *Psychiatric Services* 70, 425–427. <https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ps.201900039>
- <sup>593</sup> Coffman, J., Bates, T., Geyn, I., & Spetz, J. (2018). *California's current and future behavioral health workforce*. Health Force Center, University of California San Francisco. <https://healthforce.ucsf.edu/sites/healthforce.ucsf.edu/files/publication-pdf/California%E2%80%99s%20Current%20and%20Future%20Behavioral%20Health%20Workforce.pdf>

- <sup>594</sup> Feinstein, R. E., Kotara, S., Jones, B., Shanor, D., & Nemeroff, C. B. (2020). A health care workers mental health crisis line in the age of COVID-19. *Depression and Anxiety*, 37(8), 822-826. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.23073>
- <sup>595</sup> Health Resources and Services Administration. (2022). *About the data*. Retrieved September 16, 2022, from <https://data.hrsa.gov/data/about>
- <sup>596</sup> Health Resources and Services Administration. (2022). *About the data*. Retrieved September 16, 2022, from <https://data.hrsa.gov/data/about>
- <sup>597</sup> Health Resources and Services Administration. (2022). *HPSA Find*. Retrieved September 16, 2022, from <https://data.hrsa.gov/tools/shortage-area/hpsa-find>
- <sup>598</sup> Coffman, J., Bates, T., Geyn, I., & Spetz, J. (2018). *California's current and future behavioral health workforce*. Health Force Center, University of California San Francisco. <https://healthforce.ucsf.edu/sites/healthforce.ucsf.edu/files/publication-pdf/California%E2%80%99s%20Current%20and%20Future%20Behavioral%20Health%20Workforce.pdf>
- <sup>599</sup> American Association for Marriage and Family Therapy. (2022). *Medicare Coverage of Marriage and Family Therapists*. <https://www.aamft.org/Advocacy/Medicare.aspx>
- <sup>600</sup> Feinstein, R. E., Kotara, S., Jones, B., Shanor, D., & Nemeroff, C. B. (2020). A health care workers mental health crisis line in the age of COVID-19. *Depression and Anxiety*, 37(8), 822-826. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.23073>
- <sup>601</sup> American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry. (2022). *Workforce maps by state*. Retrieved September 16, 2022, from [https://www.aacap.org/aacap/Advocacy/Federal\\_and\\_State\\_Initiatives/Workforce\\_Maps/Home.aspx](https://www.aacap.org/aacap/Advocacy/Federal_and_State_Initiatives/Workforce_Maps/Home.aspx)
- <sup>602</sup> California Task Force on the Status of Maternal Mental Health and 2020 Mom. (2017). *A report from the California Task Force on the Status of Maternal Mental Health Care*. [CATaskForce MMH Report.pdf](#)
- <sup>603</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>604</sup> Coffman, J., Bates, T., Geyn, I., & Spetz, J. (2018). *California's current and future behavioral health workforce*. Health Force Center, University of California San Francisco. <https://healthforce.ucsf.edu/sites/healthforce.ucsf.edu/files/publication-pdf/California%E2%80%99s%20Current%20and%20Future%20Behavioral%20Health%20Workforce.pdf>
- <sup>605</sup> Coffman, J., Bates, T., Geyn, I., & Spetz, J. (2018). *California's current and future behavioral health workforce*. Health Force Center, University of California San Francisco. <https://healthforce.ucsf.edu/sites/healthforce.ucsf.edu/files/publication->

- [pdf/California%E2%80%99s%20Current%20and%20Future%20Behavioral%20Health%20Workforce.pdf](#)
- <sup>606</sup> National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Health and Medicine Division, Board on Population Health and Public Health Practice, Committee on Community-Based Solutions to Promote Health Equity in the United States, Baciu, A., Negussie, Y., Geller, A., & Weinstein, J. N. (Eds.). (2017). *Communities in action: Pathways to health equity*. National Academies Press (US).
- <sup>607</sup> Bates, T., Blash, L., & Chapman, S. (2014). *Diversity in California's mental health workforce and education pipeline*. University of California San Francisco, Center for the Health Professionals. [https://healthforce.ucsf.edu/sites/healthforce.ucsf.edu/files/publication-pdf/2014\\_07\\_Diversity\\_in\\_Californias\\_Mental\\_Health\\_Workforce\\_and\\_Education\\_Pipeline\\_0.pdf](https://healthforce.ucsf.edu/sites/healthforce.ucsf.edu/files/publication-pdf/2014_07_Diversity_in_Californias_Mental_Health_Workforce_and_Education_Pipeline_0.pdf)
- <sup>608</sup> Frank, J.C., Kietzman, K.G., & Palimaru, A. (2019). California's behavioral health services workforce is inadequate for older adults. *UCLA Center for Health Policy Research*. Los Angeles, CA. <https://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/Documents/PDF/2019/workforce-policybrief-jan2019.pdf>
- <sup>609</sup> Ibid. <https://healthpolicy.ucla.edu/publications/Documents/PDF/2019/workforce-policybrief-jan2019.pdf>
- <sup>610</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>611</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>612</sup> Gaeta, L., & Brydges, C. R. (2021). Coronavirus-related anxiety, social isolation, and loneliness in older adults in Northern California during the stay-at-home order. *Journal of Aging & Social Policy*, 33(4-5), 320-331. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08959420.2020.1824541>
- <sup>613</sup> Lebrasseur, A., Fortin-Bédard, N., Lettre, J., Raymond, E., Bussi eres, E. L., Lapierre, N., Faieta, J., Vincent, C., Duchesne, L., Ouellet, M., Gagon, E., Touringny, A., Lamontagne, M., & Routhier, F. (2021). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on older adults: Rapid review. *JMIR Aging*, 4(2), e26474. <https://doi.org/10.2196/26474>
- <sup>614</sup> Krendl, A. C., & Perry, B. L. (2021). The impact of sheltering in place during the COVID-19 pandemic on older adults' social and mental well-being. *The Journals of Gerontology: Series B*, 76(2), e53-e58. <https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbaa110>
- <sup>615</sup> Holt-Lunstad, J., Smith, T.B., Baker, M., Harris, T., & Stephenson, D. (2015). Loneliness and social isolation as risk factors for mortality: A meta-analytic review. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(2), 227-237. <https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1745691614568352>
- <sup>616</sup> World Health Organization. (2005). *Promoting mental health: Concepts, emerging evidence, and practice. Summary report*. World Health Organization. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/42940/9241591595.pdf>

- <sup>617</sup> Marquine, M. J., & Jimenez, D. (2020). Cultural and linguistic proficiency in mental health care: A crucial aspect of professional competence. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 32(1), 1–3.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610219000541>
- <sup>618</sup> Williams, M. E., Latta, J., & Conversano, P. (2008). Eliminating the wait for mental health services. *Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 35(1), 107–114.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11414-007-9091-1>
- <sup>619</sup> Mental Health America. (n.d.). Position statement 41: Early identification of mental health issues in young people. [https://www.mhanational.org/issues/position-statement-41-early-identification-mental-health-issues-young-people#\\_edn4](https://www.mhanational.org/issues/position-statement-41-early-identification-mental-health-issues-young-people#_edn4)
- <sup>620</sup> Butkus, R., Rapp, K., Cooney, T. G., Engel, L. S., & Health and Public Policy Committee of the American College of Physicians. (2020). Envisioning a better U. S. health care system for all: Reducing barriers to care and addressing social determinants of health. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 172(2\_Supplement), S50-S59. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M19-2410>
- <sup>621</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2022). *Mental health crisis triage*. Retrieved August 16, 2022, from <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/initiatives/triage/>
- <sup>622</sup> Speechley, M., Kunnilathu, A., Aluckal, E., Balakrishna, M. S., Mathew, B., & George, E. K. (2017). Screening in public health and clinical care: Similarities and differences in definitions, types, and aims - A systematic review. *Journal of Clinical and Diagnostic Research*, 11(3), LE01–LE04.  
<https://doi.org/10.7860/JCDR/2017/24811.9419>
- <sup>623</sup> Marlow, M., Servili, C., & Tomlinson, M. (2019). A review of screening tools for the identification of autism spectrum disorders and developmental delay in infants and young children: Recommendations for use in low-and middle-income countries. *Autism Research*, 12(2), 176-199.  
<https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2033>
- <sup>624</sup> Smith, R. A., Andrews, K. S., Brooks, D., Fedewa, S. A., Manassaram-Baptiste, D., Saslow, D., & Wender, R. C. (2019). Cancer screening in the United States, 2019: A review of current American Cancer Society guidelines and current issues in cancer screening. *CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians*, 69(3), 184-210. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21557>
- <sup>625</sup> Ekoe, J. M., Goldenberg, R., & Katz, P. (2018). Screening for diabetes in adults. *Canadian Journal of Diabetes*, 42, S16-S19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcid.2017.10.004>
- <sup>626</sup> Lofters, A. K., O'Brien, M. A., Sutradhar, R., Pinto, A. D., Baxter, N. N., Donnelly, P., Elliott, R., Glazier, R.H., Huizinga, J., Kyle, R., Manca, D., Pietrusiak, M.A., Rabeneck, L., Riordan, B., Selby, P., Sivayoganathan, K., Snider, C., Sopcak, N., Thorpe, K., Timmouth, J., Wall, B., Zuo, F., Grunfeld, E., & Paszat, L. (2021). Building on existing tools to improve chronic disease prevention and screening in public health: A cluster randomized trial. *BMC Public Health*, 21(1), 1-11.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11452-x>

- <sup>627</sup> World Health Organization. (2013). *Systematic screening for active tuberculosis: Principles and recommendations*. World Health Organization.
- <sup>628</sup> Mental Health America Board of Directors. (2016, September 18). Position statement 41: Early identification of mental health issues in young people. *Mental Health America*.  
<https://www.mhanational.org/issues/position-statement-41-early-identification-mental-health-issues-young-people>.
- <sup>629</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>630</sup> Mulvaney-Day, N., Marshall, T., Downey Piscopo, K., Korsen, N., Lynch, S., Karnell, L. H., Moran, G. E., Daniels, A. S., & Ghose, S. S. (2018). Screening for behavioral health conditions in primary care settings: A systematic review of the literature. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 33(3), 335–346. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-017-4181-0>
- <sup>631</sup> Selvaraj, K., Ruiz, M. J., Aschkenasy, J., Chang, J. D., Heard, A., Minier, M., Osta, A.D., Pavelack, M., Samelson, M., Schwartz, A., Scotellaro, M.A., Seo-Lee, A., Sonu, S., Stillerman, A., & Bayldon, B. W. (2019). Screening for toxic stress risk factors at well-child visits: The addressing social key questions for health study. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 205, 244-249.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2018.09.004>
- <sup>632</sup> de Pablo, G. S., De Micheli, A., Solmi, M., Oliver, D., Catalan, A., Verdino, V., Di Maggion, L., Bonoldi, I., Radua, J., Boy, O.B., Provenzani, U., Ruzzi, F., Calorio, F., Nosari, G., Di Marco, B., Famularo, I., Montealegre, I., Signorini, L.,... & Fusar-Poli, P. (2021). Universal and selective interventions to prevent poor mental health outcomes in young people: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Harvard Review of Psychiatry*, 29(3), 196-215.  
<https://doi.org/10.1097/hrp.000000000000294>
- <sup>633</sup> American Academy of Pediatrics. (2022). Recommendations for preventive pediatric health care.  
[https://downloads.aap.org/AAP/PDF/periodicity\\_schedule.pdf](https://downloads.aap.org/AAP/PDF/periodicity_schedule.pdf)
- <sup>634</sup> Mental Health America Board of Directors. (2016, September 18). Position statement 41: Early identification of mental health issues in young people. *Mental Health America*.  
<https://www.mhanational.org/issues/position-statement-41-early-identification-mental-health-issues-young-people>.
- <sup>635</sup> Kamphaus, R. W., Reynolds, C. R., & Dever, B. V. (2014). Behavioral and mental health screening. In R. J. Kettler, T. A. Glover, C. A. Albers, & K. A. Feeney-Kettler (Eds.), *Universal screening in educational settings: Evidence-based decision making for schools* (pp. 249–273). *American Psychological Association*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/14316-010>
- <sup>636</sup> World Health Organization. (2004). *Prevention of mental disorders: Effective interventions and policy options: Summary report*. World Health Organization.  
[https://www.who.int/mental\\_health/evidence/en/prevention\\_of\\_mental\\_disorders\\_sr.pdf](https://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/en/prevention_of_mental_disorders_sr.pdf)

- <sup>637</sup> American Academy of Pediatrics. (2022). Recommendations for preventive pediatric health care. [https://downloads.aap.org/AAP/PDF/periodicity\\_schedule.pdf](https://downloads.aap.org/AAP/PDF/periodicity_schedule.pdf)
- <sup>638</sup> Hansen H, Braslow J, Rohrbaugh RM. From cultural to structural competency—training psychiatry residents to act on social determinants of health and institutional racism. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2018;75:117- 118. doi: 10.1097/HRP.0000000000000294
- <sup>639</sup> Butkus, R., Rapp, K., Cooney, T. G., Engel, L. S., & Health and Public Policy Committee of the American College of Physicians\*. (2020). Envisioning a better US health care system for all: Reducing barriers to care and addressing social determinants of health. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 172(2\_Supplement), S50-S59. <https://doi.org/10.7326/M19-2410>
- <sup>640</sup> Adler, N. E., Glymour, M. M., & Fielding, J. (2016). Addressing social determinants of health and health inequalities. *JAMA*, 316(16), 1641-1642. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.14058>
- <sup>641</sup> California Health & Human Services Agency. (n.d.) *Master Plan for Early Learning and Care*. <https://www.chhs.ca.gov/home/master-plan-for-early-learning-and-care/>
- <sup>642</sup> California Department of Health Care Services. (2021). *California advancing and innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM): Population health management*. <https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/Pages/CalAIM.aspxhttps://www.dhcs.ca.gov/CalAIM/Documents/CalAIM-PH-a11y.pdf>
- <sup>643</sup> Adler, N. E., Glymour, M. M., & Fielding, J. (2016). Addressing social determinants of health and health inequalities. *JAMA*, 316(16), 1641-1642. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.14058>
- <sup>644</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>645</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>646</sup> Huffstetler, A. N., & Phillips Jr, R. L. (2019). Payment structures that support social care integration with clinical care: Social deprivation indices and novel payment models. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 57(6), S82-S88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2019.07.011>
- <sup>647</sup> Ash, A. S., & Mick, E. (2016). *UMass risk adjustment project for MassHealth payment and care delivery reform: Describing the 2017 payment model*. UMass Medical School, Center for Health Policy and Research. <https://www.mass.gov/files/documents/2017/11/03/1610-umass-modeling-sdh-summary-report.pdf>
- <sup>648</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>649</sup> Adler, N.E., D.M. Cutler, J.E. Jonathan, S. Galea, M. Glymour, H.K. Koh, & D. Satcher. (2016). Addressing social determinants of health and health disparities. *Vital Directions for Health and Health Care Series*. National Academy of Medicine, Washington, DC. <https://nam.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/addressingsocial-determinants-of-health-and-health-disparities.pdf>

- <sup>650</sup> Minnesota Department of Human Services. (2016, April). *Accounting for social risk factors in Minnesota health care program payments: Phase I initial findings*.  
<https://www.lrl.mn.gov/docs/2016/mandated/160992.pdf>
- <sup>651</sup> Adler, N.E., D.M. Cutler, J.E. Jonathan, S. Galea, M. Glymour, H.K. Koh, & D. Satcher. (2016). Addressing social determinants of health and health disparities. *Vital Directions for Health and Health Care Series*. National Academy of Medicine, Washington, DC. <https://nam.edu/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/addressingsocial-determinants-of-health-and-health-disparities.pdf>
- <sup>652</sup> Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2014). Crisis services: Effectiveness, cost effectiveness, and funding strategies. *Health and Human Services Publication No. (SMA)-14-4848*. <https://store.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/d7/priv/sma14-4848.pdf>
- <sup>653</sup> National Association of State Mental Health Program Directors. (2018). A comprehensive crisis system: Ending unnecessary emergency room admissions and jail bookings associated with mental illness.  
[https://www.nasmhpd.org/sites/default/files/TACPaper5\\_ComprehensiveCrisisSystem\\_508C.pdf](https://www.nasmhpd.org/sites/default/files/TACPaper5_ComprehensiveCrisisSystem_508C.pdf)
- <sup>654</sup> Department of Health Care Services. (2022, January 10). *Assessing the continuum of care for behavioral health services in California: Data, stakeholder perspectives, and implications*.  
<https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/Documents/Assessing-the-Continuum-of-Care-for-BH-Services-in-California.pdf>
- <sup>655</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>656</sup> National Suicide Hotline Designation Act of 2020, S.2661 116th Congress § 116-172 (2022).  
<https://www.congress.gov/bill/116th-congress/senate-bill/2661>
- <sup>657</sup> Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2022, July 29). *988 suicide & crisis lifeline*. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Retrieved August 16, 2022, from <https://www.samhsa.gov/find-help/988>.
- <sup>658</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>659</sup> 988 California Suicide Prevention and Mental health Crisis Lifeline. (2022). *About 988*. WellSpace Health. Retrieved August 16, 2022, from <https://www.988california.org/>
- <sup>660</sup> Kalafat, J., Gould, M.S., Munfakh, J.L.H. & Kleinman, M. (2007). An evaluation of crisis hotline outcomes part 1: Nonsuicidal crisis callers. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 37: 322-337. <https://doi.org/10.1521/suli.2007.37.3.322>
- <sup>661</sup> California Department of Health and Human Services. (2022). *Planning to improve the behavioral health crisis care continuum*. CA.gov. Retrieved August 16, 2022, from <https://www.chhs.ca.gov/988-cccp/>
- <sup>662</sup> Mental Health:988 Crisis Hotline, 988 California Assembly Bill § Article 63. (2021)  
[https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill\\_id=202102020AB988](https://leginfo.legislature.ca.gov/faces/billTextClient.xhtml?bill_id=202102020AB988)

- <sup>663</sup> Crisis Services Task Force. (2016). *Crisis now: Transforming services is within our reach*. Washington, DC: Education Development Center, Inc.  
<https://theactionalliance.org/sites/default/files/crisisnow.pdf>
- <sup>664</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2022). *Innovation incubator*. Retrieved August 16, 2022, from <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/initiatives/innovation-incubator/>
- <sup>665</sup> Senate Bill 82, Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, 2013
- <sup>666</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2022). *Mental health crisis triage*. Retrieved August 16, 2022, from <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/initiatives/triage/>
- <sup>667</sup> Senate Bill 82, Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review, 2013
- <sup>668</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>669</sup> Cummings, N. A., & O'Donohue, W. T. (2012). *Understanding the behavioral healthcare crisis: The promise of integrated care and diagnostic reform*. Routledge.  
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203828069>
- <sup>670</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing Mental Health Promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>671</sup> Satcher, D., & Rachel, S. A. (2017). Promoting mental health equity: The role of integrated care. *Journal of Clinical Psychology in Medical Settings*, 24(3-4), 182-186.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10880-016-9465-8>
- <sup>672</sup> Butler, M., Kane, R. L., McAlpine, D., Kathol, R. G., Fu, S. S., Hagedorn, H., & Wilt, T. J. (2008). Integration of mental health/substance abuse and primary care No. 173. *Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality*. <https://www.ahrq.gov/downloads/pub/evidence/pdf/mhsapc/mhsapc.pdf>
- <sup>673</sup> Cornwell, B. L., Szymanski, B. R., Bohnert, K. M., & McCarthy, J. F. (2021). Treatment initiation following positive depression screens in primary care: A propensity score–weighted analysis of integrated mental health services. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 36(2), 561-563.  
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11606-020-05694-5>
- <sup>674</sup> Crowley, R. A., Kirschner, N., & Health and Public Policy Committee of the American College of Physicians. (2015). The integration of care for mental health, substance abuse, and other behavioral health conditions into primary care: Executive summary of an American College of Physicians position paper. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 163(4), 298–299.  
<https://doi.org/10.7326/M15-0510>
- <sup>675</sup> Szymanski, B. R., Bohnert, K. M., Zivin, K., & McCarthy, J. F. (2013). Integrated care: Treatment initiation following positive depression screens. *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, 28(3), 346-352. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-012-2218-y>
- <sup>676</sup> Spoorenberg, S. L. W., Wynia, K., Fokkens, A. S., Slotman, K., Kremer, H. P. H., & Reijneveld, S. A. (2015). Experiences of community-living older adults receiving integrated care based on the

- chronic care model: A qualitative study. *PLoS One*, 10(10), e0137803.  
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0137803>
- <sup>677</sup> Rodgers, M., Dalton, J., Harden, M., Street, A., Parker, G., & Eastwood, A. (2018). Integrated care to address the physical health needs of people with severe mental illness: A mapping review of the recent evidence on barriers, facilitators and evaluations. *International Journal of Integrated Care*, 18(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.5334/ijic.2605>
- <sup>678</sup> California Department of Health Care Services. (2021). *CalAIM*.  
<https://www.dhcs.ca.gov/provgovpart/Pages/CalAIM.aspx>
- <sup>679</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>680</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>681</sup> Hope Sparks Family Services. (2022). *Lighting the way for children and families*. Retrieved August 23, 2022, from <https://hopesparks.org/>
- <sup>682</sup> Hope Sparks Family Services. (2022). *Pediatric healthcare integration*. Retrieved August 23, 2022, <https://hopesparks.org/programs/pediatric-healthcare-integration/>
- <sup>683</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>684</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>685</sup> Marquine, M. J., & Jimenez, D. (2020). Cultural and linguistic proficiency in mental health care: A crucial aspect of professional competence. *International Psychogeriatrics*, 32(1), 1–3.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610219000541>
- <sup>686</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>687</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>688</sup> United Health Group. (2020). *Expanding and diversifying the mental health workforce in California to meet the need*.<https://www.unitedhealthgroup.com/newsroom/posts/2020-10-20-uhg-ucsandiego-ucsanfrancisco-four-year-grant-378761.html>
- <sup>689</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>690</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>691</sup> Shalaby, R. A. H., & Agyapong, V. I. (2020). Peer support in mental health: Literature review. *JMIR Mental Health*, 7(6), Article e15572. <https://doi.org/10.2196/15572>
- <sup>692</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>693</sup> Sokol, R., & Fisher, E. (2016). Peer support for the hardly reached: A systematic review. *American Journal of Public Health*, 106(7), e1–e8. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2016.303180>
- <sup>694</sup> Bakshi, S. (2021). Peer support as a tool for community care: “Nothing about us, without us”. *Columbia Social Work Review*, 19(1), 20-43. <https://doi.org/10.52214/cswr.v19i1.7602>
- <sup>695</sup> Nealy, E. C. (2008). Working with LGBT families. In M. McGoldrick & K. V. Hardy (Eds.), *Re-visioning family therapy: Addressing diversity in clinical practice* (pp. 363–387). The Guilford Pres.

- <sup>696</sup> Gagne, C. A., Finch, W. L., Myrick, K. J., & Davis, L. M. (2018). Peer workers in the behavioral and integrated health workforce: Opportunities and future directions. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 54(6), S258-S266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2018.03.010>
- <sup>697</sup> Schlichthorst, M., Ozols, I., Reifels, L., & Morgan, A. (2020). Lived experience peer support programs for suicide prevention: A systematic scoping review. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 14(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13033-020-00396-1>
- <sup>698</sup> Suresh, R., Alam, A., & Karkossa, Z. (2021). Using peer support to strengthen mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: A review. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 12, 714181. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2021.714181>
- <sup>699</sup> Shediach-Razallah, M. C., & Bone, L. R. (1998). Planning for the sustainability of community-based health programs: Conceptual frameworks and future directions for research, practice and policy. *Health Education Research*, 13(1), 87–108. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/her/13.1.87>
- <sup>700</sup> Shalaby, R. A. H., & Agyapong, V. I. (2020). Peer support in mental health: Literature review. *JMIR Mental Health*, 7(6), Article e15572. <https://doi.org/10.2196/15572>
- <sup>701</sup> Chaptered by the Secretary of State. Chapter 803, Statutes of 2020.
- <sup>702</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>703</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>704</sup> Gagne, C. A., Finch, W. L., Myrick, K. J., & Davis, L. M. (2018). Peer workers in the behavioral and integrated health workforce: Opportunities and future directions. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, 54(6), S258-S266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2018.03.010>
- <sup>705</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>706</sup> Sokol, R., & Fisher, E. (2016). Peer support for the hardly reached: A systematic review. *American Journal of Public Health*, 106(7), e1–e8. <https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2016.303180>
- <sup>707</sup> Sacramento County. (2021, August 24). Mental Health Services Act fiscal year 2021-22, 2022-23, 2023-24 three-year program and expenditure plan. <https://dhs.saccounty.gov/BHS/Documents/Reports--Workplans/MHSA-Reports-and-Workplans/RT-2021-22--2022-23--2023-24-MHSA-Three-Year-Plan.pdf>
- <sup>708</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>709</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>710</sup> World Health Organization. (2004). *Prevention of mental disorders: Effective interventions and policy options: Summary report*. World Health Organization. [https://www.who.int/mental\\_health/evidence/en/prevention\\_of\\_mental\\_disorders\\_sr.pdf](https://www.who.int/mental_health/evidence/en/prevention_of_mental_disorders_sr.pdf)
- <sup>711</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>
- <sup>712</sup> Ibid.

<sup>713</sup> Ibid.

<sup>714</sup> Ibid.

<sup>715</sup> Shediak-Razallah, M. C., & Bone, L. R. (1998). Planning for the sustainability of community-based health programs: Conceptual frameworks and future directions for research, practice and policy. *Health Education Research*, 13(1), 87–108. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/her/13.1.87>

<sup>716</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>

<sup>717</sup> Barnett, M. L., Gonzalez, A., Miranda, J., Chavira, D. A., & Lau, A. S. (2018). Mobilizing community health workers to address mental health disparities for underserved populations: A systematic review. *Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research*, 45,195–211. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10488-017-0815-0>

<sup>718</sup> Looi, J. C. L., Allison, S., Bastiampillai, T., & Kisely, S. R. (2021). Headspace, an Australian youth mental health network: Lessons for Canadian mental healthcare. *Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 30(2), 116-122.

<sup>719</sup> Painter, K. R., Scannapieco, M., Blau, G., Andre, A., & Kohn, K. (2018). Improving the mental health outcomes of LGBTQ youth and young adults: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Social Service Research*, 44(2), 223–235. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01488376.2018.1441097>

<sup>720</sup> Brewster, A. L., Wilson, T. L., Curry, L. A., & Kunkel, S. R. (2021). Achieving population health impacts through health promotion programs offered by community-based organizations. *Medical Care*, 59(3), 273–279. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0000000000001492>

<sup>721</sup> Nguyen, T. U. N., Tanjasiri, S. P., Kagawa-Singer, M., Tran, J. H., & Foo, M. A. (2008). Community health navigators for breast- and cervical-cancer screening among Cambodian and Laotian women: Intervention strategies and relationship-building processes. *Health Promotion Practice*, 9(4), 356–367. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839906290251>

<sup>722</sup> Holt-Lunstad J, Smith TB, Layton JB (2010) Social Relationships and Mortality Risk: A Meta-analytic Review. *PLoS Med* 7(7): e1000316. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000316>

<sup>723</sup> Martino, J., Pegg, J., & Frates, E. P. (2017). The connection prescription: Using the power of social interactions and the deep desire for connectedness to empower health and wellness. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine*, 11(6), 466-475. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1559827615608788>

<sup>724</sup> Tuolumne County Behavioral Health Department. (2021). *Mental health services act (MHSA): Three year program and expenditure plan FY 2020-2023*. Available at: <https://www.tuolumnecounty.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/19718/MHSA-3-Year-Program--Expenditure-Plan-FY-20-23-Signed--Approved?bidId=>

<sup>725</sup> Ibid.

- <sup>726</sup> Tuolumne County Behavioral Health Department. (2021). *Mental health services act (MHSA): Three year program and expenditure plan FY 2020-2023*. Available at:  
<https://www.tuolumnecounty.ca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/19718/MHSA-3-Year-Program--Expenditure-Plan-FY-20-23-Signed--Approved?bidId=>
- <sup>727</sup> Settapani, C. A., Hawke, L. D., Cleverley, K., Chaim, G., Cheung, A., Mehra, K., Rice, M., Szatmari, P., & Henderson, J. (2019). Key attributes of integrated community-based youth service hubs for mental health: A scoping review. *International Journal of Mental Health Systems*, 13(1), 52-78.  
<https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13033-019-0306-7>
- <sup>728</sup> Mental Health Services Oversight and Accountability Commission. (2021). *allcove™ youth drop-in center*. <https://mhsoac.ca.gov/initiatives/allcove-youth-drop-in-centers/>
- <sup>729</sup> Hmong Cultural Center of Butte County. (2022). *Programs and services, Cov kev pab*.  
<https://hmongculturalcenter.net/programs-and-services.html>
- <sup>730</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>731</sup> Siegler, E. L., Lama, S. D., Knight, M. G., Laureano, E., & Reid, M. C. (2015). Community-based supports and services for older adults: A primer for clinicians. *Journal of Geriatrics*, 2015, 678625.  
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/678625>
- <sup>732</sup> San Francisco Village. (2022). *Who we are*. Retrieved August 02, 2022, from  
<https://www.sfvillage.org/>
- <sup>733</sup> San Francisco Village. (2022). *Events and programs*. Retrieved August 02, 2022, from  
<https://www.sfvillage.org/programs/>
- <sup>734</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>735</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>736</sup> Newman, M. G., & Zainal, N. H. (2020). The value of maintaining social connections for mental health in older people. *The Lancet. Public Health*, 5(1), e12–e13. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(19\)30253-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(19)30253-1)
- <sup>737</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>738</sup> Barry, M. M., Clarke, A. M., Petersen, I., & Jenkins, R. (Eds.). (2019). *Implementing mental health promotion*. Springer Nature. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23455-3>